Consultation and Community Engagement

The community consultation and engagement was conducted over the period between 7th March and 4th April 2013. That process involved the following:

- Advertising in local newspapers (7th and 14th March 2013)
- Advertising on Council’s website
- Promotion through Victor Viewpoint
- Promotion through radio interviews
- Promotion through press-releases
- Provision of FRAC Information and Feedback forms, concept plans and frequently asked questions (FAQs) information online (Council’s website and MySay) and via Customer Service, the Library, via e-mail distribution lists, through Elected Members and by mail to specific stakeholders including:
  - Schools – including day care and pre schools
  - Unit SA, TAFE and U3A
  - Surf Lifesaving Clubs
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- Lifesaving Society
- Surfing businesses
- Sea Rescue
- District Sporting Clubs
- Business Associations
- Service Groups
- Aged Care Facilities
- Health Care Providers
- Medical Centres
- Leisure Centre/Local Gyms
- Learn to Swim (SA Government)
- Swimming Australia

- Provision of the FRAC Information and Feedback forms during community engagement sessions:
  - Thursday 7th March 10am - noon Victor Harbor Central and Goolwa Village Shopping Centre
  - Saturday 9th March 10am – noon Victor Harbor Central and Goolwa Village Shopping Centre
  - Saturday 16th March – 10am – noon Victor Harbor Library, Strathalbyn Library and Goolwa Library
  - Circulation of the FRAC Information and Feedback forms in The Times on 14th March 2013
  - School and community group presentations

Public consultation and Survey Feedback

On 4 March, Alexandrina Council and City of Victor Harbor endorsed a community consultation process under Section 50 of the Local Government Act 1999, which exceeded minimum legislative requirements by approving a four week consultation period. The community consultation and engagement was conducted over the period between 7th March and 4th April 2013.

Circulation of the FRAC Information and Feedback forms was made in The Times on 14th March 2013 and during the school and community group presentations.

As at close of consultation for Alexandrina, 950 survey respondents contributed their views, while City of Victor Harbor had 1009 survey respondents, making a cumulative total of 1959 survey respondents.

Taken together, the results show that a majority of respondents in the catchment area support the proposed concept plan at 71.9%. While a slightly lower figure, a majority of residents in the catchment area are prepared to incur rate rises at 58.9%.

Residents in Victor Harbor are slightly more supportive of the FRAC than Alexandrina residents, noting Alexandrina experienced a trend of ‘no support’ in the northern reaches of the Council area which already has an outdoor pool facility in Strathalbyn.

Positive or constructive

Across both councils, the most similar trends included:

- Demographics – with most respondents over 51 years, while all age groups are represented; special consultation effort made to reach a key client – school children (see report below).
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- Usage – inclusion of a 50 metre pool would improve attendance
- Level of support – affirmation of councils’ partnership and expressing preference to ‘just get on with it’
- Concept Plan – highly positive commentary on the design

Negative

Across both councils, the most similar trends included:

- Usage – that the facility would not be used (whether too far away or suggesting the ocean was sufficient)
- Level of support – that rate increases are a concern, especially for pensioners
- Concept Plan – that the facility is unnecessarily large and complex

Letters of Support

Letters of support were sought and received from a variety of organisations including:

- 29 letters of support received across the Australian Government, State Government, Schools and Industries including sport and recreation, peak bodies and ageing.
- Letters from Australian Government SA Senators representing every party – Liberal, ALP, Independent and Greens
- Letters from 7 schools (including most schools in the catchment of 10 schools).

Given the sensitivity of requests for rate rises, with these figures indicating an approximate half of respondents are willing to incur rate rises, is seen as significant. The question about rate rises was included to temper the overwhelming nature of support from previous consultations with an indication of the reality of a potential rate rise. Yet the figures continue to show there is a buoyant level of support for a facility, despite a potential rate rise.

As stated in the agendas for councils’ meetings of 8 April which ultimately approved to proceeding with the RDAF Round 4 funding application:

“This bodes well for applying for external agency funding, where it can be demonstrated to Federal and State Governments that our community is committed to supporting a regional aquatic facility, and for many constituents, to the extent of preparedness to pay through rates and entrance fees.

Of course, some segments of our communities voiced their lack of support, particularly with respect to rate rises, and this too should be acknowledged as part of future deliberations while highlighting that the consultation did achieve a broad cross section of input from the community.”
2. Consultation Process
On 4 March, Alexandrina Council and City of Victor Harbor endorsed a community consultation process under Section 50 of the *Local Government Act 1999*, that exceeded minimum legislative requirements by approving a four week consultation period. The community consultation and engagement was conducted over the period between 7th March and 4th April 2013. That process involved the following:

- Advertising in local newspapers (7th and 14th March 2013)
- Advertising on Council’s website
- Promotion through Victor Viewpoint
- Promotion through radio interviews
- Promotion through press-releases
- Provision of FRAC Information and Feedback forms, concept plans and frequently asked questions (FAQs) information online (Council’s website and MySay) and via Customer Service, the Library, via e-mail distribution lists, through Elected Members and by mail to specific stakeholders including:
  - Schools – including day care and pre schools
  - Uni SA, TAFE and U3A
  - Surf Lifesaving Clubs
  - Lifesaving Society
  - Surfing businesses
  - Sea Rescue
  - District Sporting Clubs
  - Business Associations
  - Service Groups
  - Aged Care Facilities
  - Health Care Providers
  - Medical Centres
  - Leisure Centre/Local Gyms
  - Learn to Swim (SA Government)
  - Swimming Australia

- Provision of the FRAC Information and Feedback forms during community engagement sessions:
  - Thursday 7th March 10am - noon Victor Harbor Central and Goolwa Village Shopping Centre
  - Saturday 9th March 10am – noon Victor Harbor Central and Goolwa Village Shopping Centre
  - Saturday 16th March – 10am – noon Victor Harbor Library, Strathalbyn Library and Goolwa Library

- Circulation of the FRAC Information and Feedback forms in *The Times* on 14th March 2013
- School and community group presentations

Photo Courtesy: *The Times*
3. Copy of community survey and FAQs
The following survey form and FAQs were used for community consultation, in addition to a video and other online content on My Say Alexandrina http://mysay.alexandrina.sa.gov.au/racconcept.

South Coast Regional Aquatic Centre – Feedback Form (7 March – 4 April 2013)

This exciting project will deliver sport and recreation facilities to support the lifestyle and wellbeing of the growing South Coast communities. The project involves the construction of an environmentally sustainable indoor aquatic centre consisting of:

- an 8 lane, 25 metre lap swimming pool
- a rehabilitation pool
- a leisure pool / children’s pool
- crèche facilities
- fitness centre
- an outdoor splash park
- spectator areas
- office accommodation
- a commercial kiosk
- plant room, change rooms and toilets
- first aid room
- storage areas

The councils are seeking $8 million in Federal Government funding towards the total project build cost of $21 million. Both councils will contribute up to $6.5 million each towards the build cost as well as sharing the annual operating cost. To fund the Regional Aquatic Centre, property owners will incur a rate increase over a four year planning and construction period. Estimates indicate that the average annual increased cost per rateable property over the first four years will be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate increases to fund the Regional Aquatic Centre</th>
<th>Year 1 – project design and planning</th>
<th>Year 2 – Construction</th>
<th>Year 3 – Construction &amp; commence operation</th>
<th>Year 4 – First full year of operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of Victor Harbor cost per year</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$17.00</td>
<td>$42.00</td>
<td>$42.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative contribution</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$62.00</td>
<td>$104.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandrina Council cost per year</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>$8.00</td>
<td>$23.00</td>
<td>$18.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative contribution</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$33.00</td>
<td>$51.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Once fully operational the average annual cost of the proposed Regional Aquatic Centre will be $51.00 per Alexandrina Council rateable property and $104 per City of Victor Harbor rateable property.

Concept plans can be viewed at:
- Council offices – Goolwa (11 Cadell Street), Strathalbyn (1 Colman Terrace) or Victor Harbor (corner George Main Road and Bay Road).
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Opportunities for public consultation are available:

Thursday 7 March 10am - 12 noon    Victor Harbor Central Shopping Centre
Saturday 9 March 10am - 12 noon   Victor Harbor Central Shopping Centre & Goolwa Village Shopping Centre
Thursday 14 March 10am – 12 noon  Goolwa Village Shopping Centre
Saturday 16 March 10am - 12 noon  Victor Harbor Library, Strathalbyn Library, Goolwa Library

Council staff and Elected Members are also available by appointment to discuss the project with community groups during the consultation period.

Full name:______________________________________________________
Postal address:_____________________________________________________________
E-mail: __________________________________________________________________
Contact phone number:______________________________________________________

If a Regional Aquatic Centre is built, how often would you expect to use it?

Part A:  
Year round ☐  Seasonal ☐

Part B:  
Daily ☐  2-3 times / week ☐  Once a week ☐
Once a fortnight ☐  Once a month ☐  Every few months ☐
Once a year ☐  Never ☐

What type of activities will you participate in?

Swimming lessons for children ☐  Swimming lessons for adults ☐  Recreation/play ☐  Lap swimming ☐
Fitness centre - personal use ☐  Fitness centre – group classes ☐  Crèche facilities ☐  Rehabilitation ☐
Aqua Aerobic Classes ☐  No activities/will not use Aquatic Centre ☐  Spectator ☐

Do you support the Regional Aquatic Centre Concept Plan?  Yes ☐  No ☐
Are you a ratepayer?  Yes ☐  No ☐
If yes, would you be prepared to incur an increase to rates (see figures overleaf) to fund the proposed Regional Aquatic Centre?  Yes ☐  No ☐

Further comments:
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
____________________________________________________________________________
Thank you for your feedback. Your comments are valuable to us.

Please return this completed survey by the 4th April 2013 to:

Victor Harbor Council Office
Cnr of George Main Rd and Bay Rd, Victor Harbor 5211
PO Box 11, Victor Harbor SA 5211
localgov@victor.sa.gov.au

Alexandrina Council Office
11 Cadell Street, Goolwa SA 5214 or 1 Colman Tce, Strathalbyn
PO Box 21, Goolwa SA 5214
alex@alexandrina.sa.gov.au
1. ABOUT THE FACILITY
The South Coast Regional Aquatic Centre project presents Fleurieu Peninsula communities with an exciting and unique opportunity to provide valuable input into the proposed construction of a modern sport and recreation facility, enhancing the lifestyle and well-being of a growing South Coast community.

This project involves the construction of an **environmentally sustainable indoor aquatic centre** consisting of:

- an 8 lane, 25 metre lap swimming pool
- a multi-use rehabilitation, leisure & children’s pool
- an outdoor ‘splash and play’ park
- a fitness centre facility
- a crèche facility
- a commercial kiosk; incorporating feature deck with views to wetland and open space surrounds
- plant and equipment rooms
- office accommodation
- dedicated change rooms and toilet facilities
- first aid and lifeguard rooms
- dedicated car parking, bus parking, pedestrian linkages and traffic management

2. COMMUNITY CONSULTATION
Q. Where can I get more information?
A. Further details are provided within this document. Concept plans can be viewed at:

**Council offices**
Alexandrina Council; Goolwa Office (11 Cadell Street, Goolwa), Strathalbyn Library & Community Centre (1 Colman Terrace, Strathalbyn) AND City of Victor Harbor Civic Centre (1 Bay Road, Victor Harbor).

**Council websites** –
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Q. Where can I speak to Council staff and Councillors about the project?

A. Council staff and Elected Members will be available throughout our respective communities during the consultation period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alexandrina Council</th>
<th>City of Victor Harbor Council</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saturday 9 March, 10am – 12 noon –</td>
<td>Thursday 7 March 10am - 12 noon – Victor Harbor Central</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goolwa Village Shopping Centre</td>
<td>Shopping Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thursday 14 March, 10am – 12 noon –</td>
<td>Saturday 9 March 10am - 12 noon – Victor Harbor Central</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goolwa Village Shopping Centre</td>
<td>Shopping Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturday 16 March, 10am – 12 noon –</td>
<td>Saturday 16 March 10am - 12 noon – Victor Harbor Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strathalbyn Library and Goolwa Library</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Forums - Milang, Langhorne Creek and Clayton.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Stakeholder Engagement**

Council staff will also seek opportunities for further consultation with stakeholder groups.

A static display will also be erected at the Beyond Today development at Hayborough.

Q. Why is community feedback so important?

A. South Coast Regional Aquatic Centre concept plans have been prepared in response to a decade long campaign by the community for a regional aquatic facility. Both councils are committed to consulting with respective communities and gauging community views regarding the proposed facility and its contents and styling. In addition, the financial commitment to deliver this project needs to be well considered and supported by community members at large.

Furthermore, a key requirement of the Regional Development Australia Fund grant application is to demonstrate strong community support for the project.

Q. How can I have my say?

A. The best way to provide feedback is to complete a Feedback Form either online via councils’ website or by completing and returning a Feedback Form before 4 April 2013.

Feedback Forms are available from council offices and libraries and also at community engagement sessions. A Feedback Form will also be circulated in The Times on Thursday 14 March 2013.
Q. How long is the public consultation on the concept plans open?
A. 7 March – 4 April 2013.

3. THE CONCEPT PLANS

Q. Why the curved roof?
A. Adelaide architectural firm Brown Falconer was engaged to deliver a dynamic and vibrant concept design.

This concept presents a built form that compliments the surrounding environment, by reflecting the natural topography and landscape. In addition to the aesthetic aspects, the ‘arched’ roof provides an exciting opportunity to utilise natural light and ventilation to assist with natural temperature control. By utilising a north-south orientation, combined with strategically placed glass walling, the building can provide fantastic natural views towards the hill’s face, wetlands and coast.

It has also been acknowledged that the roof line shape is reminiscent of both Kondoli (Ngarrindjeri for whale) and the Chiton fossil that has also been discovered nearby.

The roof arching has been designed by structural engineering consultants to provide a sound construction method which minimises the need for trusses and supporting columns. This method affords a cost effective roof that can span large distances whilst utilising common construction practices.

Q. The architecture of the building is striking. Is there a more cost effective design?
A. A modern aquatic facility such as this will stand in its form for some 50 years or more. Any design needs to be sympathetic to its surrounding environment whilst providing a modern, attractive facility for the community. It also needs to meet our sustainability objectives. This design proposes the use of sustainable materials and technologies where practical, to assist with
both objectives and operational costs for the longer term.

Q. Will the concept plans / design change?

A. Yes – there are a number of reasons why the concept plans will change. Community feedback will influence the councils’ decision on the final design of the proposed South Coast Regional Aquatic Centre. Should the project proceed to the detailed design phase, many factors will influence revision including (but not limited to) budget limitations, predicted patronage, engineering requirements and building and planning approval requirements.
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Q. Why does the proposed South Coast Regional Aquatic Centre include two indoor pools?

A. The proposed South Coast Regional Aquatic Centre has been developed in response to previous community feedback and seeks to provide a variety of facilities to meet leisure, health and recreational needs.

A 25 metre, 8 lane pool designed in accordance with current FINA standards will meet the needs of recreational, lap and learn to swim users. In addition this pool will also cater for school swimming carnivals and local competitions.

A second, multi-purpose pool suitable for rehabilitation, fitness classes and learn to swim has been included. This pool incorporates both shallow and deeper zones, and a beach style entry ramp along the western edge making it suitable for learn to swim classes, general leisure and play area, rehabilitation and water aerobic activities. This pool will be heated to a higher temperature than the lap pool in order to provide a greater level of comfort for users involved in the specific activities outlined above.

The two proposed pools are similar in design and function to many other regional aquatic centres throughout Australia. A project business case is being prepared by industry experts in consideration of the community’s population, profile and likely needs. The preliminary draft business case supports the two-pool proposal.

Q. Why does the proposed South Coast Regional Aquatic Centre provide space for a fitness centre?

A. During preparation of the concept plans, the councils have received advice from industry experts stating that an incorporated fitness centre will add a positive financial contribution to the proposed South Coast Regional Aquatic Centre. The fitness centre will generate income to assist with the operating cost and draw attendance to the proposed South Coast Regional Aquatic Centre. The project business case currently being prepared will specifically include a cost benefit analysis of a regional aquatic centre with and without a fitness centre.

Q. Why does the proposed South Coast Regional Aquatic Centre provide space for a crèche?

A. Provision of a crèche contributes to equity of access for our communities. Similar facilities demonstrate that a crèche service contributes to the successful operation of the facility by supporting adults swimming for fitness, swimming lessons (where one child might be at a lesson while a sibling is in the crèche) and general use of the fitness centre by parents of young children. The project business case currently being prepared will specifically include a cost benefit analysis of the proposed Regional Aquatic Centre with and without a crèche.

Image by Olivia, Goolwa-Hindmarsh Island, aged 8 years

Q. Why does the facility include an outdoor splash pool area?

A. An outdoor ‘splash and play’ pool provides an additional feature to compliment the surrounding open space components
proposed. In providing any facility, specialists seek to provide functions that compliment and enhance one another. In the case of an outdoor space, the splash pool is seen as a wonderful addition to a community open space which may provide future opportunities to host events such as children’s birthday parties, family picnics and social gatherings.

The outdoor area will also provide an informal picnic area where parents and visitors may wish to enjoy the scenery whilst also affording an opportunity to supervise children utilising the splash and play pool area. This remains an optional inclusion and may be reviewed through the detailed design and costing stages.

Q. Why is there a Wetland lagoon or pond in the design?

A. The lagoon or pond is a dedicated wetland feature, to be used to capture and treat stormwater from both the building and car parking areas. Should the project proceed, the detailed design phase may consider other water re-use options including an opportunity to treat ‘back-wash’ water from the pool via this wetland facility.

4. BUILDING THE FACILITY

Q. Where will the proposed South Coast Regional Aquatic Centre be built?

A. The site is at the Beyond development, on the corner of Ocean and Waterport Roads, Hayborough. The land was gifted to the councils by the owners of the site. The site is on the boundary of both the Alexandrina Council and City of Victor Harbor.

Q. When could the proposed South Coast Regional Aquatic Centre be built and how long will it take?
A. Should the community support this proposal, and the councils be successful in obtaining external grant funding towards the project, it will take approximately two and a half years to fully design and construct the South Coast Regional Aquatic Centre.

Q. Is the design environmentally friendly?
A. Although the detailed design work is still to be done the councils intend to incorporate environmentally sustainable design principles which are widely accepted in the construction industry today. This will include collection and re-use of rainwater, appropriate building orientation and shading, natural ventilation options and on-site treatment of stormwater run-off. The utilisation of photovoltaic panels will also be explored subject to final cost estimates and additional grant funding opportunities.

Q. Has soil testing been completed?
A. Yes – Coffey Geotechnics were engaged to undertake bore log samples across the proposed site. These results have been used by Brown Falconer architects to inform the concept design and provide construction cost estimates.

Q. Has an indigenous heritage study of the site been completed?
A. Yes – A cultural assessment has been undertaken by Dr Neale Draper, Australian Cultural Heritage Management. The report documents that the site is not subject to indigenous heritage restrictions.

5. FUNDING THE FACILITY

Q. How much will the proposed South Coast Regional Aquatic Centre cost?
A. Detailed cost estimates have been prepared by Rider Levett Bucknell, Quantity Surveyors.

All costs including architectural design, detailed design, site preparation, project management, construction and fit-out have been determined as approximately $21 million.

Q. How will the proposed South Coast Regional Aquatic Centre be paid for?
A. The councils are seeking $8 million in funding from the Federal Government’s Regional Development Australia Fund. Both councils are proposing to contribute up to $6.5 million each towards the build cost; with a view to share equally in annual operating costs of the facility. To fund the South Coast Regional Aquatic Centre, it is proposed that Alexandrina Council and City of Victor Harbor property owners will incur an incremental rate increase over a four year planning and construction period.

Estimates indicate that the average annual increased cost per rateable property over the first four years will be as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate increases to fund the Regional Aquatic Centre</th>
<th>Year 1 – project design and planning</th>
<th>Year 2 – Construction</th>
<th>Year 3 – Construction &amp; commence operation</th>
<th>Year 4 – First full year of operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of Victor Harbor</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$17.00</td>
<td>$42.00</td>
<td>$42.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative impact on rates</td>
<td>$3.00</td>
<td>$20.00</td>
<td>$62.00</td>
<td>$104.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexandrina Council</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>$8.00</td>
<td>$23.00</td>
<td>$18.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative impact on rates</td>
<td>$2.00</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>$33.00</td>
<td>$51.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Once fully operational the average annual cost of the proposed Regional Aquatic Centre will be $51.00 per Alexandrina Council rateable property and $104 per City of Victor Harbor rateable property.

Q. Will the proposed Regional Aquatic Centre cause rates to rise every year?

A. No - there will be incremental rate increases over the four year planning and construction period. At the time that the facility is fully functional (Year 4) rates will have been sufficiently adjusted to cover the ongoing capital and operating costs each year.

Q. Will the project proceed without grant funding?

A. The project will not proceed in its current form without external grant funding. It is important that the councils seek grant funding to minimise the financial impact upon ratepayers in both council areas.

Q. Will the councils seek other funding towards the project?

A. Yes - whilst the councils are consulting on a contribution of up to $6.5 million for the construction from each council, they will continue to seek other funding such as Federal or State Government Grants and public sponsorship opportunities. If the councils are successful in attracting additional funding this will reduce the project’s overall financial impact on ratepayers.

Q. The proposed South Coast Regional Aquatic Centre is a joint Alexandrina Council and City of Victor Harbor project. How are the costs being shared?

A. The costs associated with capital, operating and depreciation expenses are to be shared equally.

Q. How much more would a 50 metre pool cost in place of the 25 metre pool?
A. The increased size would add significantly to construction costs. The project cost consultant advises the extra construction costs range between $6-7 million in capital cost. A 50 metre pool would also add more than 50% to the estimated operating costs. The draft business case forecasts estimate a 50 metre pool would add $180,000 per year to the operating costs.

6. USING THE FACILITY

Q. Will we be able to hold swimming carnivals in the proposed Regional Aquatic Centre?

A. Yes - The 25m lap pool will be built to FINA standards – 1.35m depth throughout with pool specifications permitting diving from both ends.

Q. Will the proposed South Coast Regional Aquatic Centre be accessible to people with a disability?

A. Yes - The concept plans propose all facilities, both wet and dry, to be fully disability compliant. Appropriate ramp access will provided to the centre, pools, change rooms and all other facilities.

Q. What will it cost to use the proposed Regional Aquatic Centre?

A. Entry prices will be similar to existing market prices in Adelaide:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Price</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>$6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult concession</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>$4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School swim</td>
<td>$3.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q. Will access to the site be from Ocean Road or Waterport Road?

A. Access to the South Coast Regional Aquatic Centre will be via Ocean Road, Hayborough.

Q. Will something be done to make the site more accessible and safe to enter and exit?

A. Access treatments including vehicle ‘slip-lanes’ will be considered within the detailed design phase. In addition, pedestrian and cyclist access will be well considered, to ensure linkage and connection with existing networks.

Q. How many car parks will there be?

A. The concept design includes 200 permanent car parks and bus parking. A provision for an overflow car park area has also been included within the concept design.

Q. Can I travel to the proposed Regional Aquatic Centre by bus?

A. City of Victor Harbor and Port Elliot residents can use the Link SA ‘Dial-a-bus’ service (which is a door-to-door, flexible service). If the project proceeds, councils plan to liaise with existing bus companies to provide improved access to the site by public transport.
Q. Will the Encounter Bikeway connect to the proposed South Coast Regional Aquatic Centre?

A. Yes.

*Image shows Encounter Bikeway connecting to Beyond Development under the Port Elliot to Victor Harbor Road.*
4. Consultation Results – Collated results (Alexandrina and City of Victor Harbor)

As at close of consultation for Alexandrina, 950 survey respondents contributed their views, while City of Victor Harbor had 1009 survey respondents, making a cumulative total of 1959 survey respondents.

Demographics (Age of respondents)

By far, the majority of collated respondents were over 51 years of age representing 65.9% all respondents, however all age groups are represented in the consultation. Please also note separate school consultations were run in both Council districts – see report below.

Usage of facility

Just over a majority of respondents nominated to use the facility year round at 52.85%.
Frequency of usage was popular, a total of 61.5% respondents indicated that they would use the facility, with 46.95% of those respondents nominating the most frequent times of usage as daily, 2-3 times/week and once a week.

The most popular activities included lap swimming, recreation and play, fitness centre usage and aqua aerobic classes.
Level of support

The data around level of support shows that a majority of 1648 respondents were ratepayers (88.5%). A solid majority of the 1585 respondents support the concept plan (71.9%), and just over a majority of the 1414 respondents who answered the question were prepared to incur rate increases (58.9%).
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of support - Ratepayers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes: 88.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No: 11.50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of support - If yes to (b), would you be prepared to incur a rate increase*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes: 58.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No: 41.10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Only ratepayers answered this question. Non-ratepayers responded to (a) Concept Plan only.
5. Consultation Results – Graphs for Alexandrina Council

Demographics (Age of respondents)

By far, the majority of respondents in Alexandrina were over 51 years of age, however all age groups are represented in the consultation. Please also note separate school consultations were run in Alexandrina – see report below.

Usage of facility

Just under a majority of respondents nominated to use the facility year round at 49.4%.
Frequency of usage was popular, a total of 56.3% respondents indicated that they would use the facility, with 41.2 % of those respondents nominating the most frequent times of usage as daily, 2-3 times/week and once a week.

Leaders were popularizing the mode of support data around respondents supported to incur rate increases (54.8%).

Level of support

The data around level of support shows that a majority of 937 respondents were ratepayers (89.9%). A solid majority of the 907 respondents support the concept plan (64.5%), and just over a majority of the 786 respondents who answered the question were prepared to incur rate increases (54.8%).
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Alexandrina Level of support - Ratepayers

- Yes: 89.90%
- No: 10.10%

Alexandrina Level of support - If yes to (b), would you be prepared to incur a rate increase?

- Yes: 54.80%
- No: 45.20%

*Only ratepayers answered this question. Non-ratepayers responded to (a) Concept Plan only.
6. Consultation Results – Graphs for City of Victor Harbor

Demographics (Age of respondents)

By far, the majority of respondents in City of Victor Harbor were over 51 years of age, however all age groups are represented in the consultation. Please also note separate school consultations were run in City of Victor Harbor – see report below.

Usage of facility

Just over a majority of respondents nominated to use the facility year round at 56.3 %.
Frequency of usage was very popular, a total of 67.7% respondents indicated that they would use the facility, with 53.7% of those respondents nominating the most frequent times of usage as daily, 2-3 times/week and once a week.

![CVH Responses - Usage of facility - Part B](image1)

**Level of support**

The data around level of support shows that a majority of 711 respondents were ratepayers (87.1%). A solid majority of the 680 respondents support the concept plan (78.9%), and just over a majority of the 626 respondents who answered the question were prepared to incur rate increases (63.0%).

![CVH Level of support - Concept Plan](image2)
CVH Level of support - Ratepayers

- Yes: 87.10%
- No: 12.90%

CVH Level of support - If yes to (b), would you be prepared to incur a rate increase*

- Yes: 63.00%
- No: 37.00%

*Only ratepayers answered this question. Non-ratepayers responded to (a) Concept Plan only.
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7. Consultation Results – Data

The following table presents data that underpins the graphs above.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response category</th>
<th>Sub category</th>
<th>Alexandrina Council</th>
<th>City of Victor Harbor</th>
<th>Cumulative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total responses</td>
<td></td>
<td>950</td>
<td>1009</td>
<td>1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>My Say Alexandrina</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hard copy</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (as a % of total responses)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under 18</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-35</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-50</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.4%</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
<td>17.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-70</td>
<td></td>
<td>41.9%</td>
<td>37.7%</td>
<td>39.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 70</td>
<td></td>
<td>23.2%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not answer</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>2.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usage of facility Part A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year round</td>
<td></td>
<td>49.4%</td>
<td>56.3%</td>
<td>52.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonal</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.6%</td>
<td>7.1%</td>
<td>7.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not answer</td>
<td></td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>36.6%</td>
<td>39.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usage of facility Part B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-3 times/week</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.6%</td>
<td>29.6%</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once a week</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.6%</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
<td>17.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once a fortnight</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.9%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
<td>4.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once a month</td>
<td></td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>3.95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Every few months</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Once a year</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td></td>
<td>36.1%</td>
<td>25.7%</td>
<td>30.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not answer</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>6.9%</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Swimming lessons for children
- Swimming lessons for adults
- Recreation/play
- Lap swimming
- Fitness centre personal use
- Fitness centre group classes
- Crèche facilities
- Rehabilitation
- Aqua Aerobic classes
- Will not use Aquatic Centre
- Spectator

Most popular activities
- Swimming lessons for children
- Lap swimming
- Recreation/play
- Fitness centre
- Aqua Aerobics
- Rehabilitation

Least popular activities
- Spectator
- Crèche
- Swimming lessons for adults

Swimming lessons for children
- Lap swimming
- Recreation/play
- Fitness centre
- Aqua Aerobics
- Rehabilitation
# Fleurieu Regional Aquatic Centre

**Summary of community consultation held 6 March to 4 April 2013**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of support</th>
<th>Concept Plan</th>
<th>Ratepayers</th>
<th>Additional comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| (a) Concept Plan | 64.5% (585) Yes; 35.5% (322) No | 78.9% (564) Yes; 22.1% (116) No | - Expand to a 50 metre pool  
- Create diving boards/platforms and a water slide  
- ‘Just get on with it!’  
- Positive health benefits  
- Rehabilitation pool fantastic  
- Great for children to learn to swim  
- Something for people to do on the Fleurieu  
- Should have a spa and sauna  
- A ‘great idea and concept’  
- Can build a strong swimming team/squad |
| (b) Ratepayers | 89.9% (842) Yes; 10.1% (95) No | 87.1% (619) Yes; (92) 12.9% No | - Expand to a 50 metre pool  
- A great idea  
- Great to keep young people entertained  
- ‘Just get on with it!’  
- Positive health benefits  
- A ‘great idea and concept’  
- Waiting a long time for this |
| (c) If yes to (b), would you be prepared to incur a rate increase* | 54.8% (431) Yes; 45.2% (355) No | 63% (424) Yes; 37% (204) No | - Expand to a 50 metre pool  
- Create diving boards/platforms  
- ‘Just get on with it!’  
- Positive health benefits  
- Rehabilitation pool fantastic  
- Great for children to learn to swim  
- Something for people to do on the Fleurieu  
- A ‘great idea and concept’  
- Can build a strong swimming team/squad |

### Additional comments

**Most common – positive or constructive**
- Expand to a 50 metre pool  
- Create diving boards/platforms and a water slide  
- ‘Just get on with it!’  
- Positive health benefits  
- Rehabilitation pool fantastic  
- Great for children to learn to swim  
- Something for people to do on the Fleurieu  
- Should have a spa and sauna  
- A ‘great idea and concept’  
- Can build a strong swimming team/squad

**Most common - negative**
- Too far away  
- Disagree with rate increase  
- Pensioners cannot afford a rate increase  
- Should be ‘user pays’  
- Already have a pool in Strathalbyn  
- No need for a pool  
- Pay enough Council rates  
- Waste of money

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|   | Too expensive – especially for pensioners  
- Swim in the ocean  
- Rates are already too high  
- Only need a pool not a whole complex  
- Should be ‘user pays’ | - Disagree with rate increase  
- Pensioners can not afford a rate increase  
- Too far away  
- Should be ‘user pays’  
- Already have a pool in Strathalbyn  
- No need for a pool  
- Pay enough Council rates  
- Waste of money |

*Only ratepayers answered this question. Non-ratepayers responded to (a) Concept Plan only.*
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8. Consultation Results – Trends

Collated

Taken together, the results show that a majority of respondents in the catchment area support the proposed concept plan at 71.9%. While a slightly lower figure, a majority of residents in the catchment area are prepared to incur rate rises at 58.9%.

Residents in Victor Harbor are slightly more supportive of the FRAC than Alexandrina residents, noting Alexandrina experienced a trend of ‘no support’ in the northern reaches of the Council area which already has an outdoor pool facility in Strathalbyn.

Positive or constructive

Across both councils, the most similar trends included:

- Demographics – with most respondents over 51 years, while all age groups are represented; special consultation effort made to reach a key client – school children (see report below).
- Usage – inclusion of a 50 metre pool would improve attendance
- Level of support – affirmation of councils’ partnership and expressing preference to ‘just get on with it’
- Concept Plan – highly positive commentary on the design

Negative

Across both councils, the most similar trends included:

- Usage – that the facility would not be used (whether too far away or suggesting the ocean was sufficient)
- Level of support – that rate increases are a concern, especially for pensioners
- Concept Plan – that the facility is unnecessarily large and complex

Alexandrina Council

- People who nominated ‘Year Round’ were more likely to use the facility frequently.
- Most popular activities for the younger demographics included swimming lessons for children, recreation/play and lap swimming.
- Most popular activities for the older demographics included rehabilitation, aqua aerobics and lap swimming.
- People who do not support the concept plan and who do not agree with a rate increase were likely to do so because they would not use the facility. This trend was most prominent with Northern Alexandrina residents, who often mentioned the Strathalbyn Swimming Pool as sufficient.
- Amongst those who supported the FRAC:
  - Reference to preferring a 50 metre pool was one of the most common additional comments
  - Affirmation of the Council partnership
  - Strident messages of action such as ‘get on with it’ or ‘wonderful’
- Amongst those who did not support the FRAC:
  - Referred to not being in close proximity to the proposed site
  - Disagreed with a rate increase with many mentioning the fiscal challenges of being on a pension or fixed income
Summary of community consultation held 6 March to 4 April 2013

- Preferred City of Victor Harbor to pay or a user pays philosophy
- A small minority of South Coast residents preferred Goolwa as a proposed site (for example, a Recreation Complex planned for Goolwa North)

My Say Alexandrina (online consultation website)

My Say Alexandrina received a total of 1,045 visitors during the consultation, spending an average of 3 minutes 41 seconds on the site visiting just under 3 web pages – a good result for showing the depth of engagement. They downloaded documents 428 times, and played the video 134 times. 410 took the survey showing that more than double the number of survey takers were content to be engaged and informed, without needing to participate in providing a response to Council.

Participant conversion was strong, with 168 new My Say registrants representing 16% conversion from total visitors. This is at the upper end of the national benchmark for conversion of 8-14%. This reflects the strong interest in the Fleurieu Regional Aquatic Centre as a single issue matter.

The majority of My Say respondents were ratepayer residents, while the younger demographics were well represented online as compared to hard copy respondents who tended to be over 51 years.

A total of 38 My Say respondents were non-resident ratepayers, visitors or interested stakeholders.

Quick Poll

133 answered the following quick poll, with just over 65% in support of the Concept Plan. These results are indicative of the broader result from the same survey question but should be considered in the context of being the view of 133 respondents (a quarter of all My Say survey takers).

City of Victor Harbor

Most popular activities for the younger demographics included swimming lessons for children, recreation/play and lap swimming.
Most popular activities for the older demographics included rehabilitation, aqua aerobics and lap swimming.
Fleurieu Regional Aquatic Centre
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People who do not support the concept plan and who do not agree with a rate increase were likely to do so because they would not use the facility. This trend was most prominent with the residents aged 51-70 and over 70, who often mentioned limited funds due to being on a pension.

Amongst those who supported the FRAC:
- Reference to preferring a 50 metre pool to encourage future potential income and enable competitions. This was one of the most common positive additional comments
- The construction of the facility should be completed using a phased, prioritised approach
- Seen as a necessity for the community and will have a huge impact with recreation, tourism and health benefits
- Decent rehabilitation facilities are long overdue for the area
- Strident messages of action such as ‘just build it’ or ‘long overdue’

Amongst those who did not support the FRAC:
- Do not agree/understand why there are different rate increases for VH and Alexandrina
- Many would like to see a pool but not a fancy aquatic centre costing $21 million. Would have liked some different costing options.
- Many users did not agree with the consultation process and would like a “referendum” with a simple yes or no
- Disagreed with such a high rate increase with many mentioning the fiscal challenges of being on a pension or fixed income
- Many support a ‘user pays’ philosophy – ratepayers should not be forced to pay
- Many did not agree with the fitness centre being included in the facility…not seen as a necessity
- A ‘fenced in’ pool in the ocean would be a better idea.

Consultation results – as related to population data

Cumulative (2011 Estimated primary catchment: 20,724; estimated total catchment: 59,323)

At the conclusion of the consultation, there were 1959 survey takers, representing 9.45% of the primary catchment and 3.33% of the total catchment.

Alexandrina Council (2012 Estimated Resident Population: 24,055 persons)

At the conclusion of the consultation, there were 950 survey takers representing 4% of the Alexandrina population. This figure is conservative as many survey takers represented couples or families, so the proportion of the population represented is likely to be higher.

In terms of overall engagement, My Say attracted 1,045 visitors, which combined with hard copy survey respondents shows that 6% of our population informed themselves about the consultation.

City of Victor Harbor (2011 Estimated Resident Population: 14,093 persons)

At the conclusion of the consultation, there were 1009 survey takers representing 7.15% of the City of Victor Harbor population. This figure is conservative as many survey takers represented couples or families, so the proportion of the population represented is likely to be higher.
9. Engagement with local school children

Summary of Regional Aquatic Centre Consultations by CVH

Schools Visited:
- Encounter Lutheran (full school assembly)
- Investigator College (Student Representative Group of middle school)

All were shown the RAC presentation and forms provided for the entirety of school bodies. Approximately 400 students were addressed.

Summary of Regional Aquatic Centre Consultations by OPAL

Schools Visited:
- Goolwa Primary School
- Langhorne Creek Primary School
- Port Elliot Primary School
- Investigator College

Total number of children involved in consultation: 208

Methodology:

Obesity Prevention and Lifestyle (OPAL) staff and an Alexandrina Council Manager consulted in a year 2 or 3 class and a year 6 or 7 class at each school. This allowed Council to get a broad age range response, ages 7 years through to 12 years.

All classes were shown the RAC presentation and then a general class consult was had with the following example lead in questions:
- What would you do at the swimming pool?
- What swimming pools have you been to? What did you like doing at those pools?
- What types of things do we need in a pool?
- Do you go to swimming lessons and if yes, where?

All the children involved in the consultation were exceptionally engaging and excited to share their ideas and experiences about swimming pools. It was impressive that some of the older children even questioned Council staff about how they would fund the RAC.
Brief Summary of Responses:

Swimming Lessons:

Years 2 /3

The vast majority of children in these year levels have or are doing swimming lessons at various pools including: Strathalbyn, Victor Harbour and Sue Prior (Strathalbyn). There were a few children doing swimming lessons at State Swim – Seaford and the Goolwa Caravan Park.

One could assume that many of these Alexandrina children would do swimming lessons at the RAC.

*Swimming lessons would be a significant source of revenue

Years 6/7

Virtually none of the children in this age bracket are doing any form of swimming lesson. Most children are doing activities linked to Surf Life Saving Club. Most of the children in the year 6/7 classes stated they would only visit the centre if there was an attraction.

What do children want to see in the RAC?

Overwhelming outcome through the school consultation is the desire for casual, aquatic leisure opportunities. Children want to DO SOMETHING. They want to be provided with a form of ENTERTAINMENT when they visit the centre.

Years 2 /3

Water park concept EXCEPTIONALLY popular. Children love the bubblers, the water buckets, water guns, water umbrella’s, sprays, spinning water features etc

Most children had a story to tell us about their experiences at a water park!

This age group also very keen for water slides.

*Water parks are also safe – no water depth for drowning (however do acknowledge other risk areas)

*Water park – huge attraction for the weekend family market

Years 6 /7

They all want a WATER SLIDE – overwhelming response. Children spoke positively about their experiences at the State Aquatic Centre- Marion. Children all accepted that water slides would attract an additional charge. It was suggested a water slide simply run from a hole in the roof!

Other activities children would enjoy doing included: Tarzan Rope (*it is amazing how popular this is at Noarlunga Leisure Centre), Inflatables, Basketball Rings, Diving Boards etc

Birthday Party Rooms; interesting to note this was mentioned numerous times. Many children have been to parties at Noarlunga Aquatic and the State Aquatic Centre and had very positive experiences.
*Aquatic Birthday Parties are a great source of income to recreation facilities

CONCLUSION

The challenge is to make water space appropriate for practical usage eg. Swimming lessons and swimming carnivals but to also make the water space an income generator. Children have clearly stated that they want recreational opportunities in the RAC. It is the ENTERTAINMENT factor, that will bring families and children into the facility on weekends and during school holidays. The RAC has the fantastic potential to create and safe and healthy space for our young people in the region.
10. Engagement with local Indigenous, disability and obesity groups

Engagement with local Indigenous representatives

Consistent with Australian Government policy relating to Indigenous Australians and Closing the Gap, City of Victor Harbor and Alexandrina Council have regular and ongoing engagement with local Indigenous representatives.

Alexandrina Council engages regularly with the Ngarrindjeri Regional Authority under the Kungun Ngarrindjeri Yunnan Agreement. The Fleurieu Regional Aquatic Centre is on the agenda for the next meeting on 15 April 2013, including Indigenous elder Tom Trevorrow.

City of Victor Harbor meets regularly with Ramindjeri representative, Eileen McHughes and will be meeting with her in the week of 8 April 2013 to discuss the Fleurieu Regional Aquatic Centre.

An Indigenous heritage study was also completed by Dr Neale Draper, Australian Cultural Heritage Management. The report documents that the site is not subject to indigenous heritage restrictions.

Engagement with disability representatives

The framework for engagement with disability representatives is the City of Victor Harbor, Disability Advisory Committee. This is an active committee who will be consulted during the detailed design process about dignified access as well as consideration of equitable access for people of all abilities. This committee will be an ideal reference point especially over time, as the National Disability Insurance Scheme and related policy and implementation impacts emerge.

Engagement with children and the community on obesity

Further, Alexandrina Council has committed to reduce obesity in local children with a partnership on the SA Government program OPAL – Obesity Prevention and Lifestyle which commenced in 2012. A leading program for achieving lasting results on obesity prevention aimed at children, this program will operate for five years and able to directly fund local projects. This program is highly likely to be able to contribute funding to active play equipment for the Fleurieu Regional Aquatic Centre, possibly up to $50,000. These efforts are consistent with Australian Government initiatives related to health and wellbeing, particularly the Healthy School Communities program against Childhood Obesity.
11. Letters of support

The following table shows the letters of support sought, and those received.

Highlights of letters of support include:

- 29 letters of support received across the Australian Government, State Government, Schools and Industries including sport and recreation, peak bodies and ageing.
- Letters from Australian Government SA Senators representing every party – Liberal, ALP, Independent and Greens
- Letters from 7 schools (including most schools in the catchment of 10 schools)

Quotes of interest include:

**Australian Government**

‘With wide regional support and the generous gifting of land specifically for this purpose, this project has my full support. To see an aquatic facility finally become a reality would deliver a significant boost for the entire Fleurieu community.’ Jamie Briggs, MP, Federal Member for Mayo

‘I urge you to approve RDAF funding for this project which will undoubtedly enrich the growing South Coast communities of South Australia.’ Nick Xenophon, Independent Senator for South Australia

**State Government**

‘I acknowledge that such projects can greatly benefit from the support of the South Australian Government and I am pleased to offer this letter of in-principle support to the proposal… I wish you every success with your application.’ Jay Weatherill, Premier of South Australia

‘The project is truly one of regional significance and will offer multiple benefits to the residents of the region and the many people who visit from throughout the State…I wish you every success with seeking funds for this significant project.’ Leon Bignell MP, Minister for Tourism, Minister for Recreation and Sport

**Schools**

‘Our college would give serious consideration to relocating and extending our swimming and aquatic programs to the new facility. Students from Reception to Year 6 who number approximately 500 will gain great benefit from swimming lessons at the facility.’ Don Grimmett, Principal, Investigator College

‘Our school will give serious consideration to committing part of the school year for our students particularly those in Reception to Year 5 who number approximately 270 to take swimming lessons at the facility or utilise it for other events such as graduation celebrations.’ Brenton Hudson, Principal, Port Elliot Primary School

**Industry**

‘It has long been of concern to us that an area such as this, with demographics clearly dominated by older people, has so little available to assist with fitness and rehabilitation.’ Andrew Stoll, General Manager, Community Services, ECH Inc.
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‘I cannot speak highly enough of building a facility that may encourage more people to become confident in, and around the water, particularly in that region, because its beaches have far more perilous conditions than the metropolitan area.’ Elaine K Farmer, JP, OAM, CEO, Surf Lifesaving SA

‘Swimming SA rates “the support of local facilities” highly among its strategic responsibilities to the sport. Therefore, Swimming SA commend this project for Federal and State funding.’ Michelle Doyle, General Manager, Swimming SA

Index of letters of support

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ORGANISATION</th>
<th>DATE PROVIDED</th>
<th>SECTOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Jamie Briggs MP to Department</td>
<td>Federal Member for Mayo</td>
<td>Received 31/1/13</td>
<td>Australian Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Senator McEwen</td>
<td>Senator for South Australia (ALP)</td>
<td>Received 28/3/13</td>
<td>Australian Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Senator Gallacher</td>
<td>Senator for South Australia (ALP)</td>
<td>Received 10/4/13</td>
<td>Australian Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Senator Nick Zenophon</td>
<td>Senator for South Australia (IND)</td>
<td>Received 27/3/13</td>
<td>Australian Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Senator Edwards</td>
<td>Senator for South Australia (LIB)</td>
<td>Received 7/3/13</td>
<td>Australian Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Senator Birmingham</td>
<td>Senator for South Australia (LIB)</td>
<td>Received 27/3/13</td>
<td>Australian Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Senator Fawcett</td>
<td>Senator for South Australia (LIB)</td>
<td>Received 21/3/13</td>
<td>Australian Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Senator Wright</td>
<td>Senator for South Australia (LIB)</td>
<td>Received 4/4/13</td>
<td>Australian Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Hon Jay Weatherill</td>
<td>Premier &amp; Treasurer of SA (ALP)</td>
<td>Received 28/2/13</td>
<td>State Government of SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Hon Leon Bignell</td>
<td>Minister for Recreation &amp; Sport (ALP)</td>
<td>Received 21/3/13</td>
<td>State Government of SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Michael Pengilly MP</td>
<td>Member for Finniss (LIB)</td>
<td>Received 6/2/13</td>
<td>State Government of SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Adrian Pederick MP JP</td>
<td>Member for Hammond (LIB)</td>
<td>Received 5/2/13</td>
<td>State Government of SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Dr Duncan McFetridge</td>
<td>Shadow State Minister (LIB)</td>
<td>Received 8/3/13</td>
<td>State Government</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Stephen Griffiths</td>
<td>Shadow State Minister (LIB)</td>
<td>Received 26/2/13</td>
<td>State Government of SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Mayor Kym McHugh, President</td>
<td>Local Government Association of South Australia</td>
<td>Received 3/4/13</td>
<td>Local Government – Peak Body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Adrian Skull, Chief Executive</td>
<td>District Council of Yankalilla</td>
<td>Received 3/12/12</td>
<td>Local Government – Regional Partner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Amanda O’Shea</td>
<td>Victor Harbor High School</td>
<td>Received 28/3/13</td>
<td>Education – School Principal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Brenton Robins</td>
<td>Victor Harbor Primary School</td>
<td>Received 25/3/13</td>
<td>Education – School Principal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Don Grimmett</td>
<td>Investigator College –</td>
<td>Received 26/3/13</td>
<td>Education – School</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organisation</th>
<th>Contact Date</th>
<th>Industry/Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Pam McRobbie</td>
<td>Goolwa Primary School</td>
<td>Received 20/3/13</td>
<td>Education – School Principal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Brenton Hudson</td>
<td>Port Elliot Primary School</td>
<td>Received 25/3/13</td>
<td>Education – School Principal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Colin Minke</td>
<td>Tatakilla Lutheran</td>
<td>Received 22/3/13</td>
<td>Education – School Principal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Kelvin Grivell</td>
<td>Encounter Lutheran</td>
<td>Received 25/3/13</td>
<td>Education – School Principal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Elaine Farmer JP OAM</td>
<td>Surf Lifesaving SA</td>
<td>Received 21/3/13</td>
<td>Industry – Sports and recreation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Graeme Stephenson</td>
<td>Swimming Australia</td>
<td>Received 28/3/13</td>
<td>Industry – Sports and recreation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Michelle Doyle</td>
<td>Swimming SA</td>
<td>Received 2/4/13</td>
<td>Industry – Sports and recreation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Deb Dutton</td>
<td>Medicare Local – Southern Fleurieu</td>
<td>Received 9/4/13</td>
<td>Industry – Health and Allied Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Andrew Stoll</td>
<td>ECH Inc</td>
<td>Received 26/3/13</td>
<td>Industry - Aged Care Provider</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Carol Gaston AM</td>
<td>Goolwa &amp; District Community Bank</td>
<td>Received 3/4/13</td>
<td>Industry - Banking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Mark Oliphant, Treasurer</td>
<td>Southern Fleurieu Youth Network</td>
<td>Received 3/4/13</td>
<td>Community - Youth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>