10.5 Community Land Revocation - Lot 202 Armstrong Road - Community Engagement Findings

Committee	Victor Harbor Council
Meeting Held	24/06/2024
From	Louise Hampel
File Reference	PM16.65.011

RECOMMENDATION

That Council, having considered the community and stakeholder engagement findings, authorise the submission of the appropriate revocation documentation to the Minister for Local Government for consideration.

INFORMATION

Purpose

The purpose of this report is to:

- present the findings of the recent public consultation regarding the proposed community land revocation of a portion of the land identified as Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor.
- seek endorsement from the Council to continue the community land revocation process by submitting the appropriate documentation to the Minister for consideration.

Background

At its Special Council meeting held on 18 April 2024, Council resolved the following:

SC132024	*Moved:*	*Cr Marilyn Henderson*
	Seconded:	*Cr Michael Quinton*

That Council endorse commencement of the process for the revocation of the community land classification for the portion of the land parcel identified as Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor, Certificate of Title 6264792, as identified in Figure 1 of this report, including public consultation for the proposed Revocation of Community Land Classification Public Consultation Report as required under Section 194 of the Local Government Act 1999.

CARRIED

The public consultation commenced on 6 May 2024 and closed on 11 June 2024.

Commentary

The community was invited to share their thoughts and ideas relating to the proposed revocation of community land classification via a survey that could be accessed online at www.yoursay.victor.sa.gov.au or in hard copy from the Council Office. The opportunity to

participate in the survey was promoted as per the endorsed Community Engagement Plan, which included: social media (Facebook and Instagram), Council's Your Say website project newsletter, Victor Viewpoint, signage on-site, notices in the local paper, and direct letters to adjacent residents. There were 233 survey responses received, 136 of which were against the proposed revocation. The full Community Engagement Summary is provided at Attachment A. This summary details the process, consultation methodology, submission, demographics, key themes and a conclusion.

All responses received during the consultation period are provided in full under separate cover.

The Community Engagement Report, refer to Attachment A, details the consultation methodology and submissions including key themes that arose in support, or otherwise, of the proposal.

The draft Community Engagement Report was workshopped with Council Members on 17 June 2024.

After consideration of all the submissions and the findings in the Community Engagement Report there isn't a sufficient basis on which to discontinue the proposed community land revocation at this stage and it is recommended that Council authorise the submission of the appropriate revocation documentation to the Minister for Local Government for consideration.

As detailed in the Community Engagement Summary, many reasons provided for not supporting the proposal were:

- Not relevant to the current stage of the project.
- Able to be resolved or mitigated during later stages of the project (within a contract etc.).
- Based on incorrect information or assumptions.

There was a relatively small number of respondents whose reasons could not be mitigated or resolved within the current proposal, i.e., Council should not be considering the delivery of a Regional Community, Sports and Recreation Precinct at all.

For many years Council has been strategically and diligently working towards the most beneficial and cost-effective way to deliver a Regional Community, Sports and Recreation Precinct, for which there is an evidenced need. As detailed in all the materials supporting this revocation, the current delivery proposal is the result of these efforts.

In consideration of the questions and concerns raised by some of the respondents in regard to the details and mechanics of the contract, Council intends to only enact the revocation, if granted by the Minister, in the event that a satisfactory and agreeable contract is signed.

Next Steps

If Council resolves to continue with the community land revocation process, the Report (including Council resolutions), all feedback received, and other relevant information is

required to be sent to the Minister for Local Government for consideration.

Progressing with the community land revocation is one step within the next stage of negotiations. It does not lock Council into continuing with the development but enables the negotiations to continue. Council would concurrently be:

- Negotiating a contract with the consortium (Agreement for Lease)
- Seeking a code amendment for rezoning the land

If the Minister approves the proposal, a further report will be presented to Council for endorsement prior to the revocation taking effect.

At this point, if Council were to be unsatisfied with the negotiations, they would not have to continue with the revocation or could condition the revocation taking effect on the execution of a satisfactory and agreeable contract.

RISK ASSESSMENT

The following risks have been assessed in line with Council's Risk Management Framework and Policy:

Legal and Compliance - There is a risk that the Minister does not support the revocation. Several meetings have taken place with the Minister's staff to advise of the Council's intent to request a revocation and for what purpose. These meetings have given staff confidence that the request will be considered and to continue to the preliminary phases in earnest. The consultation phase showed that there is a portion of the community who object to the proposal. As per the Community Engagement Summary, the concerns raised by these community members can be mitigated or removed by subsequent steps in the negotiations, planning and agreements still to take place. This risk is assessed as ***low***.

Reputation – Several community members have expressed concern with the proposal for varying reasons. As detailed in the Community Engagement Summary most concerns were based on misinformation or could be addressed or mitigated during future stages of the proposal. There was a level of objection to the need for a Regional Community Sports and Recreation Precinct at all. This objection can't be addressed however Council have been planning and prioritising the delivery of a recreational facility for several years based on the need and benefit. Continuing the community land revocation process after receiving this feedback could be perceived as Council not listening to the community. There is a misunderstanding that the consultation is a vote and the fact that the Council received a high proportion of responses that were not supportive should result in a discontinuation of the project. Consultations are not a substitute for decision making but a tool to improve decision-making. To this end, responses are taken in the context of the reasoning and relevance. This risk is mitigated by giving the proposal a balanced, merit-based assessment and providing proactive, clear, and transparent communication to the community in regard to the decision. It is also mitigated by considering and incorporating the feedback that was received during the consultation, around risk and access in particular, in future stages of negotiations. This risk is assessed as ***medium***.

REFERENCES

City of Victor Harbor Community Plan 2030

Aspiration 3 - We manage growth and change responsibly.

- Strategy – Promote and support local business development and employment opportunities

Aspiration 6 – We are a financially sustainable and well-governed organisation.

- Strategy – Engage and involve our community in decision making.

Other references used:

- Local Government Act 1999
  Section 193 - Classification
  Section 194 - Revocation of classification of land as community land

BUDGET IMPLICATION

Excluding endorsed budgeted staff resources, there are no budget implications in endorsing the submission of revocation documentation to the Minister.

No additional funds are required.

CONSULTATION

Council Members (Briefing Session held 17 June 2024)

Chief Executive Officer – Victoria MacKirdy

Director Community and Development – Graham Pathuis

Strategy and Engagement Business Partner – Michelle James

Attachment A

Community Engagement Summary

Community Land Revocation Proposal – Allotment 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor

Executive Summary

The proposed revocation of community land status for a portion of land on Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor, aims to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sports, and Recreation Precinct (The Precinct).

This proposal arises from the need to accommodate the increasing demand for indoor sports and recreation facilities to meet the needs of our growing and diversifying community and ensure affordability and financial sustainability.

Following significant investigations and analyses undertaken to determine the feasibility of the project if delivered and operated directly by the Council, a decision was made to explore potential partnership opportunities with the private sector. This approach was considered a way to balance the prime considerations and ensure the delivery of a facility that provides the best outcome for the broader community in terms of addressing gaps in current facility provision and achieving value for money.

Following an expression of interest process, a potential partnership opportunity was identified and negotiations have been occurring to progress commercial terms that provide for the best outcome for the community. In order to make the investment viable, the private consortium requires a long-term lease over a portion of the site, beyond the term allowed under the current Community Land classification.

As a result, the Council is proposing that a portion of the Armstrong Road site has the Community Land status removed to enable the longer-term lease, noting that the remaining portion will remain Community Land and the Council retains ownership of the whole site.

A report, required under Section 194(2)(a) of the Local Government Act 1999, was prepared in line with legislative requirements and formed the basis of the consultation with the community. The consultation exceeded the requirements of the Local Government Act 1999 and the Council's Public Consultation policy in aspects of duration, engagement material and opportunities afforded to the community to participate.

Who we heard from

It is estimated that our consultation reached 26,565 people either online, in person, directly or via the local newspaper. We received 231 responses, whilst only representing 1.4% of our population, which is still relatively high in terms of Council surveys.

The highest number of respondents are from the 65-74 and 75+ categories. These categories also had the highest non-support rates at 73% and 77% respectively. Alternatively, the 18-24 age group and 25-34 age groups had 100% and 93% support rates.



Most of the responses (97%) were from people who lived in the City of Victor Harbor.

What we heard

It was clear that there was a considerable amount of misunderstanding about the project and the correlation with the current decision, regarding the community land revocation. This resulted in many of the responses not being based on correct information and/or not relevant to the current stage of the project. The passion of the community was evident throughout responses received in written form but also in the discussions had at all the face-to-face engagement opportunities across the period. This passion led to some diligent and thorough consideration and evaluation of the proposal which will assist the Council in future stages of the proposal, should it continue.

Themes relating to reasons for not supporting the proposal are as follows:

- **Financial Impact on Ratepayers** – The rates and debt levels are already too high and that it was not the right time to put the community and ratepayers under additional financial pressure (cost of living etc.)
- **Benefit / Need in the Community vs. Other Priorities** – There is no need for a Regional Community, Sports and Recreation Precinct and the Council should focus on the basics and improving existing infrastructure.
- **Alternate Delivery Options** – There are more cost-effective and accessible options that the Council should be pursuing for the delivery of a Regional Community, Sports and Recreation Precinct
- **Access Fees** – The proposed delivery model will result in high community access fees that Council has no control over.
- **Process Transparency and Provision of Timely Information** – The Council was not transparent in the information being shared with the community and not enough was known about the proposed delivery to inform a decision.
- **Risk Management** – There was considerable risk inherent in the proposed delivery model.
- **Lease Agreement and Principles** – The lease agreement terms were not favourable, and on principle, the Council should not partner with a profit-making business.
- **Other** – General concerns with traffic, environment and possible inclusions in the Precinct.

The themes relating to the reasons for supporting the proposal are as follows:

- **Need –** Our growing community needs more Community, Sport and Recreation facilities and the current facilities are not fit for the purpose. There is also need to attract and retain younger families in Victor Harbor and the surrounds, who support the ageing population.
- **Delivery model -** Council should borrow money to invest in community infrastructure like this and having private investment reduces the reliance on the resident's funded council to cover costs.
- **Land revocation -** The community will still benefit from the land after the revocation.

Introduction

The City of Victor Harbor sought feedback from the community in regards to a proposal to revoke the community land status for a portion of Allotment (Lot) 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor. This document outlines a summary of the consultation activities that were undertaken and the feedback gathered during the engagement period.

Background

Community Revocation Process

All local government land (except roads) that is owned by Council (or under its care, control and management) is Community Land under the Local Government Act 1999 (the Act), unless it has been exempted through legislation, excluded by resolution of Council or the classification has been revoked.

Once classified as Community Land, provisions within the Act mean that the land –

- cannot be disposed of, except in prescribed cases (s201(2));
- may require the preparation and adoption of a management plan (s196);
- can be leased or licensed but only in accordance with prescribed requirements (s202); and
- can be used for business or commercial purposes, subject to the use being authorised in an approved management plan for the land (s200).

Whenever Council proposes to revoke the community land status over such land, Council is required to prepare a report for public consultation/consideration and provision to the Minister for Local Government. This report needs to address the requirements of Section 194(2) of the Act and will be made publicly available. The report needs to include:

- a summary of the reasons for the proposal;
- a statement of any dedication, reservation or trust to which the land is subject;
- a statement of whether revocation of the classification is proposed with a view to sale or disposal of the land and, if so, details of any Government assistance given to acquire the land;
- a statement of how the council proposes to use the proceeds (if relevant);
- an assessment of how implementation of the proposal would affect the area and the local community;
- if the council is not the owner of the land – a statement of any requirements made by the owner of the land as a condition of approving the proposed revocation of the classification; and
- the relevant steps that Council must follow set out in its public consultation policy.

A Community Land Revocation Report was prepared to address the above and formed the basis of this public consultation. It was circulated for feedback during the consultation period.

Subject Land – Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor

The land that is being considered for revocation is a portion of the parcel located at Allotment 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor. The site is adjacent to the Encounter Community Centre, Victor Harbor TAFE Campus, the Victor Harbor Police Station and the Inman River.

The Land is bounded in yellow on the aerial map below, with the portion proposed to be revoked bounded in red. The portion, proposed for revocation is approximately 35000 sqm (approximately, 32% of the total land size) and is bounded by a right of way, easement and provides a sufficient buffer from the watercourse.

The City of Victor Harbor purchased Lot 202 Armstrong Road from the Department of Infrastructure and Transport (DIT) in 2021. Given its strategic significance as one of the last sizeable vacant land parcels remaining in the township area, the parcel of land was purchased by Council in the long-term interest of the community and as a location option for the proposed sporting precinct.

Since 2021, the Council has been investigating options for the delivery of a community, sport and recreation precinct, during which time the land has remained vacant and used for informal passive exercise by the community.



In 2022, following extensive consultation and investigations, the site was selected by the City of Victor Harbor as the preferred location of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct (The Precinct).

Following significant investigations and analyses undertaken to determine the feasibility of the project, the Council decided to explore potential partnership opportunities with the private sector. This approach was considered a way to balance prime considerations for affordability



and financial sustainability while ensuring the delivery of a facility that provides the best outcome for the broader community in terms of addressing gaps in current facility provision and achieving value for money.

An expression of interest (EOI) campaign was undertaken seeking proposals from the private sector to deliver innovative development and operating solutions for The Precinct. The EOI attracted strong interest from the private sector, and a potential partnership opportunity was identified. Subsequently, Council entered commercial-in-confidence negotiations to set out the terms of any future partnership with the best interest of the community being paramount.

In order to make the investment viable, the private consortium requires a long-term lease over a portion of the site, beyond the term under the current Community Land classification. As a result, the Council is proposing that a portion of the Armstrong Road site has the Community land status removed to facilitate the development of The Precinct, noting that the remaining portion will remain Community Land and the Council retains ownership of the whole site.

Further details can be found in the Community Land Revocation Report that formed the basis of the consultation.

Consultation Methodology

The consultation was undertaken in accordance with the requirements of the *Local Government Act 1999* and the Council's Public Consultation Policy.

To meet the base requirements, Council:

- Provided public notice of the consultation opportunity;
- Provided information on a Council website from 6 May 2024 until 11 June 2024 (https://www.yoursay.victor.sa.gov.au/);
- Provided information available for viewing at the Civic Centre;
- Published public notice in a local newspaper (2 May 2024 edition of the Fleurieu Sun, shown right);
- Provided a minimum of 21 days for people to make submissions;
- Received submissions in relation to the proposal;
- Will provide submissions to Council for consideration;
- Will inform the public of the outcome of the consultation.



Public Notices and Event

Have Your Say:
Community Land Revocation
Allotment 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor

The City of Victor Harbor is seeking public comment on a proposal to revoke the classification of community land for a portion of Allotment 202 Armstrong Road Victor Harbor.

The land is identified as Allotment 202 of Deposited Plan 127821, CT 6294/793, consisting of approximately 110,000 square metres.

The proposed revocation of community land status for a portion of land on Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor, aims to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct (The Precinct).

This proposal arises from the need to accommodate the increasing demand for indoor sports and recreation facilities to meet the needs of our growing and diversifying community. The land, currently owned by the City of Victor Harbor, was determined to be the preferred location for developing The Precinct in 2022.

Affordability and financial sustainability are prime considerations for Council in planning for The Precinct. Following significant investigations and analyses undertaken to determine the feasibility of the project, the Council made the decision to explore potential partnership opportunities with the private sector. This approach was considered a way to balance the prime considerations and ensure the delivery of a facility that provides the best outcome for the broader community in terms of addressing gaps in current facility provision and achieving value for money.

Following an expression of interest process, a potential partnership opportunity was identified and negotiations have been occurring to progress commercial terms that provide for the best outcome for the community. In order to make the investment viable, the private consortium requires a long-term lease over a portion of the site, beyond the term allowed under the current Community Land classification.

As a result the Council is proposing that a portion of the Armstrong Road site (approximately 35,000 sqm) has the Community Land status removed to enable the longer-term lease, noting that the remaining portion will remain Community Land and the Council retains ownership of the whole site.

For the public's information, Council has prepared a Community Land Revocation Report as required by Section 194 of the Local Government Act 1999. The report is available for viewing online at www.yoursay.victor.sa.gov.au or at the Civic Centre (1 Bay Road, Victor Harbor).

All submissions relating to the proposal must be made in writing and addressed to the City of Victor Harbor's Chief Executive Officer (Community Land Revocation). Submissions should be returned by 5pm on Tuesday, 11 June 2024 be post (PO Box 11, Victor Harbor SA 5211), email (localgov@victor.sa.gov.au), online (www.yoursay.victor.sa.gov.au) or in person (at the Civic Centre).

For more information about the proposal, contact the City of Victor Harbor's Community and Development Team on (08) 8551 0500.

Graham Pathuis, Acting Chief Executive Officer

In addition to the base requirements, the Council:

- Provided for an extended consultation period of 36 days (6 May 2024 – 5 pm, 11 June 2024);
- Promoted the opportunity for involvement via Council's Social Media channels and Victor Viewpoint;
- Utilised local media to promote the consultation opportunity;
- Developed materials to support the consultation (fact sheet, survey);
- Provided an opportunity for community members to ask questions at listening posts in prominent locations;
- Provided an opportunity for community members to hear about the proposal and ask questions at a public meeting held at the Council Chambers;
- Placed additional advertisements in local newspapers with information about the proposal to ensure the community was provided with factual information;
- Provided notice of the consultation at the multiple entry points to the subject site (signage);
- Directly advise key stakeholders of The Precinct project including several face-to-face meetings;
- Direct letters sent to surrounding residents and ratepayers who live/own property near the subject land (George Main Road, Ewen Terrace, Meyer Street and Jolly Street).

The following table is provided to demonstrate the reach of the consultation:

Tool	Reach / Circulation
Your Say Victor Harbor – Project Webpage	1,200
Public Notice - Fleurieu Sun, 2 May 2024	3,500
Facebook Post - 7 May 2024	2,267
Project Update Email - 8 May 2024	438 (71.5% open rate)
Victor Viewpoint e-newsletter - 10 May 2024	2,514 (58.4% open rate)
Facebook Post – Farmers' Market Listening Post - 16 May 2024	490
Facebook Post – Victor Central Listening Post - 16 May 2024	508
Facebook Post – Public Meeting - 16 May 2024	499
Facebook Post – Mayor's Video Message - 16 May 2024	473
Facebook Post – Listening Post Promotion - 29 May 2024	753
Advertisement – Your Questions Answered - Fleurieu Sun, 30 May 2024	3,500
Facebook Post – Get The Facts - 31 May 2024	2,027
Project Update Email	458 (61% open rate)
Advertisement – Your Questions Answered - The Times, 4 June 2024	3500
Facebook Post – Public Meeting Reminder - 6 June 2024	381
Advertisement – Your Questions Answered - Fleurieu Sun, 6 June 2024	3,500
Direct Letters – to surrounding ratepayers and residents - Sent 6 May 2024	64
Listening Post – Victor Central – 30 May 2024	42
Listening Post – Victor Central – 4 June 2024	39
Listening Post – Farmers Market – 1 June 2024	25
Public Meeting – Council Chambers – 6 June 2024	52
Key Stakeholder Meetings – 22 April 2024, 21 May 2024, 24 May 2024, 4 June 2024,	25

Submissions and Feedback

During the consultation period, the Council received 231 submissions. The submissions are provided in full at Appendix A.

The survey asked the question whether respondents support the proposal to revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct.

The response to this question was as follows:

- Support – 79
- Support with changes – 17
- Do not support – 137

The respondents were also asked to explain their support, or otherwise. The review of these responses and the subsequent context of the above question is detailed below.

Demographics

Several demographic-related questions were asked of the respondents. A breakdown of that is as follows:

1. **Age**

The age demographic of respondents, and the subsequent level of support, are as follows:

*211 respondents disclosed their age.

Grouping into age brackets, the level of support is as follows:

Age Bracket	Do support	Support with changes	Do not support	% of 2021 population	% of 2051 population
Under 54	68%	13%	11%	43.29%	43.28%
Over 55	32%	87%	89%	56.70%	56.72%

This means that of those who did not support the proposal, 92% were over 55 and 12% were under 54. Similarly, of those who supported the proposal, 68% were under 54 and 32% were over 55.

2. **Suburb**

The location of respondents, and the subsequent level of support, are as follows:

	Respondent Number				Proportion of Response		
Suburb	**Support**	**Support with changes**	**Do not support**	**Total**	**Support**	**Support with changes**	**Do not support**
Encounter Bay	25	5	47	77	32%	6%	61%
McCracken	3	1	20	24	13%	4%	83%

Victor Harbor	22	6	41	69	32%	9%	59%
Hayborough	9	3	16	28	32%	11%	57%
Lower Inman Valley	1	0	3	4	25%	0%	75%
Back Valley	2	0	1	3	67%	0%	33%
Waitpinga	1	1	3	5	0%	0%	100%
Other	4	0	1	5	80%	0%	20%

3. Respondent Type

The type of respondents, and the subsequent level of support, are as follows:

	Respondent Number				Proportion of Response		
Type	**Support**	**Support with changes**	**Do not support**	**Total**	**Support**	**Support with changes**	**Do not support**
Resident	41	4	44	89	46%	4%	49%
Ratepayers	25	10	80	115	22%	9%	70%
Resident of Surrounding Council	6	0	2	8	75%	0%	25%
Sport and Recreation Group or association	6	0	2	8	75%	0%	25%
Community Group	1	0	0	1	100%	0%	0%

Explanations of Support, or otherwise

The respondents were asked to explain their support, or otherwise, as part of the survey circulated for this consultation.

The responses to this question are critical in determining whether the support or otherwise, is based on the facts of the proposal or whether the reasons, in the case of respondents not supporting the proposal, could be mitigated or resolved within the proposal.

All the responses have been reviewed and repeating themes have been identified. The themes relating to those who do not support the proposal can be categorised, as follows:

1. Based on misinformation/assumptions
2. Can be mitigated or resolved within the proposal
3. Cannot be mitigated or resolved

The themes identified during the consultation period, and commentary regarding the above categories, are as follows:

Financial Burden to Ratepayers

The City of Victor Harbor's financial position and corresponding rate levels were cited in many responses as reasons to not support the proposal. These objections in themselves do not explicitly relate to the land revocation, but the cost and/or need for the Precinct given the impact on the rates and Council's debt levels. The financial implications inherent in this proposal were provided in the consultation documentation. There was a level of misinformation circulating in the community during the consultation period, suggesting financial impacts beyond what is being proposed.

As detailed in the report, the current proposal is considered the most cost-effective way to deliver a Regional Community, Sports and Recreation Precinct and therefore has less of an impact on the financial position of the Council.

Comments	Occurrence
Council rates are too high	23
Council debt level is too high	15
It is not the right time to put the community and ratepayers under additional financial pressure (cost of living, economy etc)	13
The proposal is too expensive	12
Those who do not plan to use it should not have to pay for it	9
Intergenerational debt is not fair to future generations	4
Council should not fund projects that it cannot afford or that increase rates	4
Costs will blow out and ratepayers will wear it	4
The City of Victor Harbor Audit and Risk Committee has reservations about the proposal	2
Concerned about what future increases in rates will be to support the shortfall in operating costs, like the aquatic centre	2
Will Council be borrowing the full $11.7 million, and if so, what is the projected total cost of this loan? What is it secured against?	1
The rate increases proposed are compounding (not just for two years)	1
Income from facilities such as sports precincts should go into councils' pockets for other community projects.	1
How much will this cost ratepayers?	1
Council's operating deficit ratio of -4.3% is too high	1
Proposed development should be at no cost to ratepayers and Council operating costs should be cut by a minimum of 10% and debt halved by the end of the 24/25 financial year	1

Benefit / Need in the Community vs. Other Priorities

Whilst the previous theme discussed the cost-effectiveness of the current proposal some respondents considered that the Council should not be considering the delivery of any Community, Sports and Recreation Precinct as there was no need in the community for it. Several respondents suggested that as they will not use the facility, they should not have to pay for it. Many suggested that the Council should be focussing on improving existing services/facilities.

Council has prioritised the delivery of a Community, Sports and Recreation Precinct for many years as it was identified as a facility that was needed in the community, for use by both young and old, that could provide social and economic outcomes for Victor Harbor and its community. Whilst the City of Victor Harbor does have a high proportion of aged residents, who are provided for and considered in the current scope of the Precinct, recent strategies endorsed by the Council prioritise the need to attract and retain work-aged residents to provide services and support the economy.

Comments	Occurrence
Council should focus on the basics and improving existing infrastructure/services	14
There is no need for a Community, Sports and Recreation Precinct. There are multiple choices in our area of Sports Centres which can be utilised	12
Most of the community is over 65 and doesn't play basketball so they will not use it or benefit from it	12
The proposal doesn't represent value for the community / the financial commitment outweighs the benefit	6
Concerns with the loss of open space that will never be regained. Preference to have the site developed as parkland.	5
The facility will be a white elephant	5
Medical/health facilities are more of a priority	3
Revitalising the mainstreet is more of a priority	2
Accommodation for the disadvantaged/homeless is more of a priority	2
The Precinct will not improve the community in any way. We have lived without it all this time and it won't make a significant improvement.	2
Affordable housing is more of a priority	1
Addressing climate change is more of a priority	1
Why do these sports need to be played indoors?	1
The land is crown land and earmarked for the emergency services precinct	1
The land should be sold to private enterprises for residential and business opportunities and scaled-down social opportunities that reflect the current population's needs. The profits could be used to fix current/existing issues.	1

Alternate Delivery Options

It was clear that many believed that there were better ways to deliver the Precinct or that the scope of the Precinct was not reflective of the needs of the community. Just prior to the commencement of the consultation period, two members of a local sporting group presented a concept and cost plan for a sports facility. The delivery of this alternative proposal and/or a council-run/owned facility funded partially from state/federal grants was preferred by many respondents. For those respondents who provided a reason as to why an alternative was preferred, it was generally suggested that it was due to alternatives being more cost-effective and accessible.

Any proposed alternative was still predicated on Council delivering and managing the precinct, not local groups as assumed or suggested throughout the consultation period. This, as explored in-depth previously would not lead to savings, efficiency or necessarily a more accessible facility.

Comments	Occurrence
Council should support local clubs/associations with their plans/upgrades	14
The facility should be Council/community-owned and managed	11
Council should focus on seeking government grants to develop the precinct	9
Better options available (options not provided)	6
All we need is 4 courts, the plan is too grandiose and expensive.	6
Council should be supporting relocation of the existing community childcare, not a private operator	3
A staged approach should be employed	3
Not the right location for the facility	3
A local firm should develop this project, why did the EOI state that the applicant had to have experience building at least two stadiums?	2
The local community, not-for-profit associations and clubs can deliver what was originally planned for the site more efficiently than the private consortium.	2
The private consortium does not know the Council ratepayers and users of the complex and will not address their needs.	2
There are other areas previously proposed for similar buildings with much lower costs to the community	1
Why does the bill come in so high when other SA towns can make a sports complex for much less?	1
The amount of land kept by Council is of limited use, a beautiful place to walk the dog, but we have seen no real plans for what is being offered here.	1
Council should explore an alternative that is more affordable and reflects the size of the population and demographic of its constituents.	1
Council should just upgrade the existing Recreation Centre	1
Unaffordable extravagant way to bring into the area something that could be done cheaper and more user-friendly to locals.	1
I do agree we need a good sporting venue but one that can be purpose-built, designed with future inclusions in an easily accessible location.	1
The Old Recreation Centre or Encounter Centre should be purchased by a private entity to meet childcare needs.	1
The proposal should be delayed and further considerations and options for funding evaluated for the delivery of the precinct	1

Access Fees

Linked to the preference for alternate delivery options, concern was raised by several respondents that the access fees will be higher in a private facility than one that is Council-owned. As detailed in the report, the access fees have been considered and safeguards have been proposed to ensure charges are kept within reasonable levels. Conversations are ongoing with key stakeholders and the consortium regarding the access fees to ensure that it is accessible for the community and that clubs are prepared and across operational changes inherent in this delivery model. There seems to be some misconception that Council-run facilities are free to use, however, members of the community pay to use the current Recreation Centre and lease/hire of any other Council-owned facility.

Comments	Occurrence
Council will have no control over the access charges/rents, and they will be too high for community and sporting clubs to utilise	8
The cost to use facilities run by a private organisation will become more expensive than if run by the Council.	3
There should be community involvement in price setting for the use of the facilities on an ongoing basis.	2
No guarantee that the Victor sporting community to have 'no cost access' to a complex that becomes privately owned which the ratepayer is funding.	1
Sports participation will become too expensive	1
As they are stated as non-profit generating uses can the community assume there will be no fees or subs payable to the private partner for the use of the courts	1
The private sector operators will require community members to pay to use the developed facilities. A right of use they have already paid for through the original land purchase and subsidising the development project	1
High rent will be passed on via private developers with Long-term peppercorn leases (such as the foreshore)	1

Process Transparency and Provision of Timely Information

It was evident that some members of the community considered that Council were not being transparent and withholding information regarding the proposal. As the proposal, in its current form, is predicated on the community land revocation taking place, a determination of said revocation is required to continue the negotiations. The Council believe that the community was provided with enough information to inform of the intent, but it was clear that several members of the community either did not trust Council to act in the community's best interest or follow due diligence procedures or did not quite understand what stage the project is up to and where this land revocation fits within it.

Comments	Occurrence
Need more information on costing/developer etc. / process not transparent	14
Council is ignoring the needs of and not working with local sporting groups	9
Council decided to progress with this option for the Sport and Recreation precinct without community consultation on all possible options.	6
Land which had been flagged as suitable for storage for local community groups has now disappeared from the footprint	3
Why has childcare now been included? What part does it play in this development? Assume only for parents while they are being active.	3

Why was the community not consulted again on the 'new model', with all its elevated financial implications, before Council progressed to this land revocation stage?	1
The document provided by the council for this proposal does not provide any guarantee of exactly what the precinct development will include.	1
A Referendum is required due to this new information about the development and the cost of $43 million, to find out what residents want for their community.	1
Did the council not know the community land status would need to be revoked before asking for expressions of interest?	1
Council employees in favour of this development have no real intent or concern for Victor Harbor residents and businesses and what we actually want.	1
Has the Council already entered into an agreement with this developer which will incur penalties if the partnership doesn't go ahead? If so, how much?	1
Council purchased the site before it had been decided what to build, and at a far greater cost than expected in 2021.	1
Previous consultation results have only reflected a small percentage (1.2%) of the community. Decisions need to be made based on all members of the community	1
Council has not presented a solid case to justify its participation in the Project for the benefit of the local community	1
Why won't council disclose how much it paid for the land	1
This is a foregone conclusion to proceed	1

Risk Management

Many respondents highlighted areas where they identified risk in the proposal. Whilst Council has already considered a number of these risks and can provide for them in subsequent negotiations, should the proposal go ahead, the scrutiny and questioning by the community is commended and valued.

Comments	Occurrence
What will the impact on the community be if the private partner walks away or becomes insolvent, in the event of things like continued financial losses?	6
What if the lease is transferred or sold to another business/entity? Will Council have the first right to purchase?	4
The length of the lease means that Council/the land cannot adapt and be flexible with the changing needs of the community	4
Consideration needs to be given to flood mitigation/stormwater management involving the Inman River being investigated	3
Council will lose all control over the outcomes, and is endeavouring to make operational assurances on matters that it will have no future control over.	3
What constraints does the Council have that this could not become high-rise residential facilities (i.e. hotel, pokies) or anything the private consortium wishes (i.e. change of function/no provision of community facilities)	3
The private partner cannot have credibility if it cannot make a financial return after 42 years	2
This proposal is modelled on other private projects like the Summit Mt Barker which have failed	1

The developer plans on making a large capital gain by selling a long-term lease	1
Council does not have enough information to understand the true impacts of this proposal	1
Will the investor be able to make sufficient profit to cover their required rate of return on building costs?	1
The community should have had access to a properly documented risk assessment and risk mitigation plan from both the developer's and the council's perspective.	1
There is no way the developer will get the required returns to make it viable	1
The proposal would be more acceptable if the risk was borne by the private developers	1
This proposal, as opposed to a community/council-owned facility, lends itself to corruption	1
Council needs to consider impacts on future generations	1
The current model strongly favours the interests of the commercial entity, whose core mission is to make a profit	1
Will the private developer be able to enter a mortgage over the lease with their financier	1
Failure to charge an upfront or annualised lease fee for the use of the land is irresponsible management on behalf of ratepayers.	1
Why should the City of Victor Harbor (the community) consider any revocation just to appease any private developers and their financier's funding requirements	1
There must be good reasons why leases over community land are capped at 42 years	1
Who pays for maintenance and upgrades over this lease period and what condition will the building be left in after the lease period	1
It will be many years before we see any money can be made out of the Precinct	1
In the short term this may appear to keep rate costs down in the future Council will need to pay out a lot of money to ensure that local children and adults can access what the locals need, and can afford.	1
How many more community facilities will be axed during the planning stage as the private developer focuses on profit to the detriment of residents' requirements?	1
It is not possible to estimate inflation over the lease period	1
Shared facilities only work if the sharers have their own space and fundraising capacity. The VH Football Club project has not fostered community spirit but instead has created divisions in the community.	1
Who covers the cost of the levee when global warming causes a rising sea level?	1
How will the Precinct adapt when technology changes our sporting needs? When we play 'virtual' tennis/basketball etc. against teams in another town. Who will bear the cost of the technology?	1
Do we have a guarantee that the owners will cater for changing sports, and will adapt, or will we have an empty facility in 30 years?	1
Provisions in the Local Government Act are designed to protect the ratepayer and indeed, council itself, from miscalculation as to plans that may arise for the use of such public land.	1

Lease Agreement and Principles

Many explanations given for not supporting the proposal were based on the principle of not partnering with a private entity in the delivery of a facility. There were several objections to the lease/agreement terms.

Whilst the private parties will expect to return a profit, the framework in which the proposal is based is of mutual benefit whereby the partner is provided with a long-term lease of the land on which they can develop profit-generating infrastructure (within Council-set parameters and the scope/footprint detailed in the report) in return for their the investment in non-profit making community facilities (such as the recreational components of the Precinct), including both upfront and ongoing operating costs. Whilst Council is contributing proportionally to the upfront cost of the community facilities (not the profit-generating ones) and supporting the private partner financially by supplementing a portion of the annual operating costs (of the community facilities) in the establishment period, the framework moves all future costs including upgrades, operations and maintenance to the developer. The section above refers to many risks inherent in this proposal which can be mitigated through thorough due diligence and agreement proceedings. That being said, the risk is still too high for some members of the community or is misaligned with their principles as detailed in several responses.

Comments	Occurrence
Private developers should not be allowed to lease the land for such an extended period	13
The private partner should not get a rate exemption	10
Council is funding a private business project that will expect to return a profit	8
Ratepayers shouldn't need to fund the construction of a private development that is not a community-owned asset	8
A 99-year lease is too long as it is beyond the likely construction lifespan.	4
Council / Community should not have to pay an annual subsidy. What will the annual subsidy be per year?	4
Not in the community's best interest to allow private companies free or low-cost access to community land when it is not of any benefit to residents.	3
In the long term, the community is disadvantaged by privatisation	3
Do not support community land revocation for any reason	2
This land should not be leased to private companies and developed at the rate payers' expense	1
Council should not be able to leave community land that was acquired with assistance from the Open Space Grant Program. Was the government aware of this intention when the funding was awarded?	1
I don't want public land handed over to private interests for potentially 149 years.	1
Giving away a public asset to a private entity is a betrayal of trust	1
Why would Council pay for something it will never own	1
I strongly object to the whole idea of giving away community land, to allow private enterprises to take control of building and operating a private business	1
This land should remain as community land and any proposal for the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct must work within the lease boundaries of 42 years.	1

Other

There were other concerns raised in areas such as gaming, traffic management, environment and the broader economy. The concern regarding gaming and pokies was generated by material proffered by members of the community to a local paper, letter boxes and community notice boards. This material stated that the Precinct will have TAB and pokies and generate no local jobs which is not correct. The material also contained other disinformation, mentioned in previous sections.

There are also suggestions for inclusions in the Precinct. Many of the comments /suggestions have already been considered, like a disaster refuge, environmental impacts and accessibility provisions. Future stages of the project will ensure these fundamental planning elements are incorporated.

Comments	Occurrence
Alcohol and Gaming	
Do not support pokies or gambling in the Precinct	5
The provision of a licensed venue is unnecessary, It sets a very poor example to young people teaching them that alcohol is a necessary partner to sport	3
The tavern is too close to the childcare	1
Traffic Management	
Concerned about entry and exit roads into the grounds	2
Oppose any increase in congestion on the ring road.	2
Strongly against any entry and or exit driveways into or out of Ewen Terrace	1
This will increase traffic/congestion in the area.	2
I would support proposed changes conditionally, based on being able to view a traffic flow and management plan.	1
What is the total of car parking space to be allocated?	1
The current proposal is so close to the town area that traffic will become more congested	1
Who will provide the roadways, entrance and parking?	1
Environment	
Do not support any loss of vegetation or development in the floodplain	4
The project should include solar power, backup batteries, EV charging, and water tanks	2
The remaining community land should be invested in rendering it fit for community recreation purposes. How will biodiversity considerations be addressed should further development of this environmentally sensitive area occur?	1
Inclusions / Considerations	
The precinct should be fit to serve as a disaster relief/shelter	3
The precinct will have no 'community feel'	1
Are the current lessees of the Recreation Centre to have their current 50-year lease revoked before its expiration, if so do they get compensation?	1
Will the current lessees be offered a management role in the new facility?	1
Will the facility cater for those with a physical (or other) disability?	1
Is the private partner South Australian?	1
Negative Economic Impacts	
No local jobs	6
Local supporting groups will suffer, including loss of income	4
Local businesses will suffer	3

Need/benefit

The themes for those in support of the proposal are as follows:

Comment	Occurrence
Our growing community needs more Community, Sport and Recreation facilities	23
The current recreation centre is in disrepair and not fit for purpose.	13
Needed to attract and retain younger families in Victor Harbor and surrounds, who support the aging population.	7
There is a dire need for childcare in this community	6
Having private investment reduces the reliance on the resident's funded council to cover costs.	6
Council should borrow money to invest in community infrastructure like this	5
The community will still benefit from the land after the revocation.	5
It will encourage people of all ages to get active	5
Need for a fit-for-purpose sporting complex to keep up with other regional centres in SA	5
This will be a great facility for the town of Victor Harbor and especially our young people who are the future of our town	3
The project seems to have been through several phases to gain community response and the financial planning appears sound.	3
Economic driver – through the attraction of regional events	3
The growing cohort of ratepayers who are employed, younger and currently more active in the community deserve a great, modern facility	3
Enrich further sport development which has always been a staple in our community.	2
This is a good location	2
Great idea to include meeting spaces for community access, and given the potential usage, it is sensible to incorporate food and drink facilities.	2
Regional Centre of excellence - SASI / Office for Recreation and Sport Green building strategy	1
A 30% revocation of community land is minimal, and it will remain the property of the council in any case.	1
The City of Victor Harbor has a responsibility on ratepayers' behalf to manage the development of infrastructure, including recreation facilities. The town is not only for those waiting for God's call.	1
Offering a lease at peppercorn rates for sporting clubs is not new, examples of current leased land for recreation such as the Yacht Club, Croquet Club, and the Bowling Club etc. All benefit the community, without providing a financial return to the city revenue stream.	1
While I'm unlikely to use it, I support this development.	1

Conclusion

On consideration of all the submissions received during the consultation period, it is concluded that there isn't a sufficient basis on which to discontinue the proposed community land revocation at this stage.

As detailed above, many reasons provided for not supporting the proposal were:

- Not relevant to the current stage of the project.
- Able to be resolved or mitigated during later stages of the project (within a contract etc.).
- Based on incorrect information or assumptions.

There was a relatively small number of respondents whose reasons could not be mitigated or resolved within the current proposal, i.e., Council should not be considering the delivery of a Regional Community, Sports and Recreation Precinct at all.

For many years Council has been strategically and diligently working towards the most beneficial and cost-effective way to deliver a Regional Community, Sports and Recreation Precinct, for which there is an evidenced need. As detailed in all the materials supporting this revocation, the current delivery proposal is the result of these efforts.

In consideration of the questions and concerns raised by some of the respondents in regard to the details and mechanics of the contract, Council intends to only enact the revocation, if granted by the Minister, in the event that a satisfactory and agreeable contract is signed.

Appendix A

(refer under separate cover)

Attachment Under Separate Cover

Ordinary Council Meeting – 24 June 2024

Agenda Item 10.5 - Community Land Revocation - Lot 202 Armstrong Road - Community Engagement Findings

This page is intentionally left blank

Survey Responses

09 April 2015 - 12 June 2024

Make a submission

Your Say Victor Harbor

Project: Community Land Revocation - Allotment 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor

BANG THE TABLE engagementHQ

VISITORS					
226					
CONTRIBUTORS			**RESPONSES**		
158			230		
5	0	153	70	0	160
Registered	Unverified	Anonymous	Registered	Unverified	Anonymous

Respondent No: 1
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 07, 2024 14:12:40 pm
Last Seen: May 07, 2024 14:12:40 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Joan Therese Whitbread
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	Yes please!
Q5.	**What is your age:**	75+
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I want this facility built for the benefit of our community, especially the youth of our region. If Victor Harbor becomes a Hub for indoor sports events, especially those at competition level, with participants and their followers coming here from other regions, our businesses such as caravan parks and eateries will benefit.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 2
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 07, 2024 22:16:27 pm
Last Seen: May 07, 2024 22:16:27 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Lachlan Weeden
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	Yes please!
Q5.	**What is your age:**	45-54
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

It is time to build a larger basketball stadium similar to other country towns around South Australia. The stadium can be used for other sports and community groups as required

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 3
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 08, 2024 14:08:15 pm
Last Seen: May 08, 2024 14:08:15 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Richard Pocklington
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	Yes please!
Q5.	**What is your age:**	65-74
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

This council has a very short memory of what transcribes when key parcels of land are given with long term peppercorn leases to private developers. The council has only in recent years moved the Visitors Information Centre due to a developer charging massive rent to occupy a building on the best location in town on council land which was virtually gifted to that developer on a peppercorn lease. To do a similar thing on a much larger scale with only the requirement for six courts is beyond belief. This crown land belongs to the community and should not have its purpose revoked for private profit. This land was previously earmarked for an emergency services precinct. Your proposal sets aside all of the usable land on the most accessible lot in the city for a 149 year peppercorn lease to a private consortium. If the development is to be utilised by our local sporting groups then they can expect to the pay the likely exorbitant rents that council has suffered for use of privately owned facilities on council land. There is no urgency in this matter other than the opportunity for politicians to have a photo opportunity when parts of this complex are opened. We are already suffering financially the failings of attempts to corporatize the horse tram. Stop this rot and get back to the basics of maintaining and enhancing existing infrastructure.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 4
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 10, 2024 08:27:48 am
Last Seen: May 10, 2024 08:27:48 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Greg Leonard
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	No thanks
Q5.	**What is your age:**	65-74
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Can only be supported if Council provides a binding undertaking that the proposed development will be at no cost to rate payers and that council operating costs will be cut by a minimum of 10% and debt is halved by end of fy 24/25. This is council's priority.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 5
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 10, 2024 09:19:11 am
Last Seen: May 10, 2024 09:19:11 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Peter Downes
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	Yes please!
Q5.	**What is your age:**	65-74
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor resident
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Council is too far in Debt, Rates are too high and we really do not need a Community & Sports Centre. There is a multiple of choices in our area of Sports Centres which can be utilised.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 6
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 10, 2024 09:21:04 am
Last Seen: May 10, 2024 09:21:04 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Richard wallman
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	Yes please!
Q5.	**What is your age:**	65-74
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor resident
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

As per usual the question is where is all the money coming from …the project is commendable BUT we r all under severe financial pressure so put in on the. back burner for now and let's make the whole project open to the public !!!

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 7
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 10, 2024 09:52:58 am
Last Seen: May 10, 2024 09:52:58 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	John Burdett
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	Yes please!
Q5.	**What is your age:**	55-64
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Allows VHC to move the project forward for the betterment of the community

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 8
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 10, 2024 09:58:02 am
Last Seen: May 10, 2024 09:58:02 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Michael Somerset
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	not answered
Q5.	**What is your age:**	55-64
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor resident
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

The proposed area should be developed as parkland i.e. grassed, trees, seating, paved paths, rubbish bins. Open spaces that the community at large has access is limited in our region, and with further development will become more endangered. Once open space is lost to built infrastructure it will never be regained.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 9
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 10, 2024 11:21:49 am
Last Seen: May 10, 2024 11:21:49 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Joan Valerie Davies
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	Yes please!
Q5.	**What is your age:**	75+
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor resident
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

As a ratepayer, I object to ANY ratepayer money being spent on a so-called "sport precinct". I believe any such facilities should be paid for entirely by those interested in using/watching those activities and their clubs and not by unconnected parties. Rates are high enough and constantly increasing and no Council money should be spent on such unnecessary amenities.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 10
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 10, 2024 12:16:24 pm
Last Seen: May 10, 2024 12:16:24 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Louisa Lenderyou
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	Yes please!
Q5.	**What is your age:**	75+
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor resident
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

This proposal is needed for our growing community.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 11
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 10, 2024 18:45:55 pm
Last Seen: May 10, 2024 18:45:55 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Indiana Flint
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	No thanks
Q5.	**What is your age:**	65-74
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

The land should stay as open green space, not be given over to a private developer.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 12
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 10, 2024 22:10:25 pm
Last Seen: May 10, 2024 22:10:25 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Rosalie Somerset
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	No thanks
Q5.	**What is your age:**	55-64
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

There are enough sporting facilities in Victor Harbor and the area and we don't really need anymore. What we do need is more green open space where people can: walk; sit; chat; enjoy the scenery; and just relax. It would be a good area to be planted with native plants to attract more native animal and birdlife.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 13
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 11, 2024 19:56:14 pm
Last Seen: May 11, 2024 19:56:14 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Denise Auger
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	Yes please!
Q5.	**What is your age:**	55-64
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	N/A
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I think this is a fabulous proposal for recreational activities for the City. The current recreation centre is in disrepair and any opportunity to provide new facilities for the community is welcomed.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 14
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 13, 2024 12:45:41 pm
Last Seen: May 13, 2024 12:45:41 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Chad Smith
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	No thanks
Q5.	**What is your age:**	45-54
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor resident
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

The proposed Recreation facility is a long overdue upgrade to the outdated sports facilities in Victor Harbor, i.e. the Recreation Centre. I feel there could be no better use of community land than a modern facility that will benefit ALL of the community. It will still be community land after the revocation as the community will still benefit from it. If utilising public-private partnerships will allow this to happen then I fully support the council exploring this partnership as long as it doesn't result in the users being charged high fees. i.e. car parking, or spectator or entry fees. I'd love to see some retail hospitality options as a part of the new facility. With children between 6 and 12 years old who currently attend basketball and gymnastics in facilities that are no longer fit for purpose by modern standards I fully support the proposal.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 15
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 15, 2024 19:57:19 pm
Last Seen: May 15, 2024 19:57:19 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Andrea Dunkley
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	not answered
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	No thanks
Q5.	**What is your age:**	35-44
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I believe the facility should not be built at all. I have trouble paying council rates already, let alone if they rise further. I cannot afford to pay for my kids to play sport anyway, so I won't be using the facility if it is built. Why should I pay for it?!?! Can't afford to use the pool either, and yet I have paid for that in my council rates. Isn't that still running at a loss too?

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 16
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 22, 2024 17:23:45 pm
Last Seen: May 22, 2024 17:23:45 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Jenn Mase
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	not answered
Q5.	**What is your age:**	65-74
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I am over 70 and feel the precinct is far too expensive. It will mostly be funded through our rates for many many years. Victor is predominately over 65. We will fund it but not make use of it.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 17
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 27, 2024 17:56:59 pm
Last Seen: May 27, 2024 17:56:59 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Paul Stock
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	not answered
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	not answered
Q5.	**What is your age:**	35-44
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor resident
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Great addition to the town and desperately needed.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 18
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 28, 2024 14:09:36 pm
Last Seen: May 28, 2024 14:09:36 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Michael Monaghan
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	No thanks
Q5.	**What is your age:**	n/a
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor resident
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

We should not be giving away our community land to private enterprise and should focus on seeking government grants to develop it.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 19
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 28, 2024 22:05:50 pm
Last Seen: May 28, 2024 22:05:50 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Duncan Grant
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	No thanks
Q5.	**What is your age:**	35-44
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Our community desperately needs new basketball courts and more recreation facilities!

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 20
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 29, 2024 17:13:07 pm
Last Seen: May 29, 2024 17:13:07 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Dean Richardson
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	not answered
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	No thanks
Q5.	**What is your age:**	45-54
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor resident
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Victor Harbor needs more facilities. Sports are generally good for people's physical and mental health, socialising with peers and community involvement. While I'm unlikely to use it, I definitely support this development.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 21
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 29, 2024 23:24:03 pm
Last Seen: May 29, 2024 23:24:03 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	julia gazzola
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	Yes please!
Q5.	**What is your age:**	65-74
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Precinct project should go ahead as soon as possible. Our growing community population needs it.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 22
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 30, 2024 12:29:10 pm
Last Seen: May 30, 2024 12:29:10 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	michael & Gale watts
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	Yes please!
Q5.	**What is your age:**	75+
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

We feel that council should not incur more debt for anything until the budget is balanced. We balance our budget why not councils!

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 23
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 30, 2024 13:05:26 pm
Last Seen: May 30, 2024 13:05:26 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Ray Clark
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	Yes please!
Q5.	**What is your age:**	65-74
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

The whole project hasn't been transparent. Other sporting clubs input, advice and expertise has been offered but ignored. The private operator is unknown. The finance and audit committee within Council have reservations. During the process , the needs and support both now and in the future for many other sporting clubs within the vicinity have been ignored.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 24
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 30, 2024 22:09:38 pm
Last Seen: May 30, 2024 22:09:38 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	David Miller
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	No thanks
Q5.	**What is your age:**	55-64
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor resident
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I don't support the expense of the project in any way

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 25
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 30, 2024 22:50:59 pm
Last Seen: May 30, 2024 22:50:59 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Rebecca Bond
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	No thanks
Q5.	**What is your age:**	35-44
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	Select
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Not affordable in this current economic climate and so much uncertainty regarding jobs.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 26
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 31, 2024 09:18:06 am
Last Seen: May 31, 2024 09:18:06 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Kim William bayly

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

This council has one of the highest rate fees in the state. We do not believe this project proposal and it's associated costs represent value for our community. Local businesses will further suffer as local government pulls more disposable income from its ratepayers for exorbitant ventures that we cannot afford. We say NO.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 27
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: May 31, 2024 10:44:42 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Irene Curran

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** not answered

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 28
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: May 31, 2024 10:48:09 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Moira Pearce

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I support the proposed changes but am still concerned about entry and exit roads into the grounds. This I realise will not be made clear until final plans are drawn up. We are the only house directly facing this complex with a main driveway opposite an entrance and exit driveway. I would also like for there to be a town hall public meeting / forum.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 29
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: May 31, 2024 10:52:51 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Rachel Grant

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 35-44

Q6. **What best describes you:** Sport and recreation group, club or association

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Thank you for your willingness to invest in our community! We look forward to engaging in more detailed discussion around hire, costs, design etc. If you need help breaking ground.... I'll be there!

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 30
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: May 31, 2024 10:55:30 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Rebekah Hollamby

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 35-44

Q6. **What best describes you:** Sport and recreation group, club or association

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** Pistons Basketball Club

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Think a great proposed idea for our community! Thanks for all the work being put into it and trying to make an amazing facility for our town.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 31
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: May 31, 2024 10:59:06 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Cindy Finley

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 35-44

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 32
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: May 31, 2024 11:00:37 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Melissa Bates

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 35-44

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 33
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: May 31, 2024 11:02:32 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Matthew Parker

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 45-54

Q6. **What best describes you:** Sport and recreation group, club or association

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 34
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: May 31, 2024 11:05:22 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Paul Loughhead

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 45-54

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Regional centre of excellence - SASI / Office for Recreation and Sport Green building strategy

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 35
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 31, 2024 11:08:34 am
Last Seen: May 31, 2024 11:08:34 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Jean McGough

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** Resident of Alexandrina or Yankalilla council areas

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I do not agree with a private developer being allowed to lease that community land for such an extended period of time, nor for ratepayers to fund the construction of a complex, including an annual subsidy, that will not be a community-owned asset. Although I do not live within the CVH Council area at the moment, a mooted Boundary redistribution at the next Council elections may well see me become a CVH ratepayer who will be impacted by this, what I consider to be a totally irresponsible, decision.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 36
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 31, 2024 12:13:44 pm
Last Seen: May 31, 2024 12:13:44 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Robyn Smith

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Too little information on the costing. Ignoring local sporting groups. Disrespectful treatment of the Community

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 37
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 31, 2024 15:31:00 pm
Last Seen: May 31, 2024 15:31:00 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** G John Neld

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Get back to basics and do what we can afford when we can afford it. this is far too grandiose and expensive for the required 4 court/ multi purpose basketball stadium. I totally agree with the sentiments expressed by the Council Watch advertisement in the Fleurieu Sun May 30th. I have no connection with that group or the people on it but heartily agree with their sentiments.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 38
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 31, 2024 21:46:58 pm
Last Seen: May 31, 2024 21:46:58 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Mikayla McKenzie

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 25-34

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Australia

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 39
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: May 31, 2024 21:48:47 pm
Last Seen: May 31, 2024 21:48:47 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Jake Brown

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 25-34

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 40
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 01, 2024 15:53:10 pm
Last Seen: Jun 01, 2024 15:53:10 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Karen Simpson 39

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 55-64

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal with changes (please outline the changes in the next question)

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

A sporting complex and child care centre is needed in the area. The suggestion of a tavern on this site is a concern being too close to a child care centre and would have an impact on the local football club.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 41
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 02, 2024 16:16:53 pm
Last Seen: Jun 02, 2024 16:16:53 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Daryl Jesse Carr

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Not at this time, Council has too much debt and the community cannot afford any more rate increases.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 42
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 02, 2024 16:33:00 pm
Last Seen: Jun 02, 2024 16:33:00 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Jan Debra Carr

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** 55-64

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I do not support a partnership with private businesses and using Council land. I do not support Council taking on further debt at this time. I do however support Council seeking possible future funding through State and Federal Government programs to assist with providing our community with sporting facilities.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 43
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 02, 2024 23:03:35 pm
Last Seen: Jun 02, 2024 23:03:35 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Peter Smith

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** no

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

It makes sense

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 44
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 03, 2024 11:21:27 am
Last Seen: Jun 03, 2024 11:21:27 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Heather and David Dodd

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

With the cost of living as it is we believe this project should be left on the shelf until general living of the community improves. (Food, fuel, energy utilities, health, clothing, housing, education, childcare, COUNCIL RATES etc) Rates should be frozen at this crucial time. It is insulting that council proposes that a business would be given such a large amount of money to fund this project and also be rate free in the future. The majority of the community are elderly and either would not use such a facility or could not AFFORD to use it! Medical facilities and more Doctors are needed for both young and old - try and book an appointment to see a Dr in our town. The facilities lacking here to support any proposed growth of the town should be basic commonsense facilities. Road maintenance, pedestrian paths, childcare, health are all failing here. NOT another big business partially funded by council. All the schools, both private and public have sporting facilities as do the sports clubs themselves. Support the LOCAL clubs with upgrades don't undermine their members and committees hard work from many years. Council should be working with compassion and understanding the needs of their community not just trying to promote big business to profit from increased rate revenue from residents.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 45
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 03, 2024 13:12:36 pm
Last Seen: Jun 03, 2024 13:12:36 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Mila Smith

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** Under 18

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

The Victor Harbor area desperately needs a new recreation centre. I have played basketball in the current recreation centre and it is cold, drafty and not very nice, especially on hot days or cold winter nights. The change rooms are old and outdated. My little sister also does gymnastics on the same floor as basketball is played and there are many other sports that share the same facilities. The kids of Victor Harbor deserve better, we need a new, bright and modern recreation centre and maybe even a great cafe as well would really increase usage numbers as parents can have a coffee as the kids do sport. It kind of seems silly to me that we even need to change the land from Community Land as a recreation centre WILL still be a community site that will greatly benefit the community (more than a large empty block!) but I understand it is a legal thing and if that is required for the new recreation centre to be a reality then I am 100% for the proposal. I really hope all the people in Victor Harbor support this proposal.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 46
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 03, 2024 13:24:25 pm
Last Seen: Jun 03, 2024 13:24:25 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Lorraine Carbins
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	No thanks
Q5.	**What is your age:**	75+
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Current rates are excessively high without adding further impost on residents and businesses. Sporting clubs have shown they can have facilities they need much more cheaply. Why spend so much for a facility not even partly a community asset? Provision of some form of licensed venue is totally unnecessary as there are plenty of licensed venues in Victor. Also it's setting a very poor example to young people teaching them that alcohol is a necessary partner to sport whereas we should be more responsible in guiding our youth .

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 47
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 03, 2024 14:25:04 pm
Last Seen: Jun 03, 2024 14:25:04 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Don Gifford and Dorothy Gifford

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

We support the Great Southern Amateur Basketball Association proposal. We consider that the cost for the Council's current proposal is too great for ratepayers.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 48
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 03, 2024 15:03:11 pm
Last Seen: Jun 03, 2024 15:03:11 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Paul Tipple

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 49
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 03, 2024 15:03:55 pm
Last Seen: Jun 03, 2024 15:03:55 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** John W Wells

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

This land should be used for Grass root Community involvement. Not for profit motivated Developers. Strong communities need active community involvement in sporting facilities for a sense of ownership, involvement and a pride of place in their sport and community . We need a sporting community not a hired facility. This is a council cop out . Please wake up and face up to your community responsibilities.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 50
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 03, 2024 16:09:13 pm
Last Seen: Jun 03, 2024 16:09:13 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Jeneanne

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** not answered

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** Victor Dragons Inc

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 51
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 03, 2024 17:12:51 pm
Last Seen: Jun 03, 2024 17:12:51 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Kaye Elizabeth Moller

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I don't believe it is in the best interest of our community to allow private companies free or at low cost access to community land when it is not for the benefit of residents.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 52
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 03, 2024 17:48:53 pm
Last Seen: Jun 03, 2024 17:48:53 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Mr Cary G Hay

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 55-64

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

The intended land use once approved appears to have a big impact on subsequently increasing Council expenditure without direct positive financial benefit to the residents and with limited use benefit to the majority of ratepayers. Increased Council expenditure of this type will either increase rates or negatively impact Council services which would otherwise have to decrease to accommodate this expenditure. We don't need this development when there are other lower cost options to meet local residents sporting needs

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 53
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 04, 2024 09:30:10 am
Last Seen: Jun 04, 2024 09:30:10 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Stephen Roberts

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 55-64

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

It's a no brainer. The area needs more sports facilities and having private investment reduces the reliance on the resident's funded council to cover costs.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 54
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 04, 2024 10:39:41 am
Last Seen: Jun 04, 2024 10:39:41 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Kym Adrian EDWARDS

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Horrendous cost, unwise use of public funds. Foster existing smaller clubs, businesses.99 year lease or more ridiculous, way beyonf likely construction lifespan.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 55
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 04, 2024 11:19:35 am
Last Seen: Jun 04, 2024 11:19:35 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Margaret Patricia Ann Stewart

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

This precinct will provide a number of much needed facilities for Victor Harbor and surrounds. There is a dire shortage of Childcare places and the additional courts to host various sports will benefit sporting clubs, which are currently seeking upgrades to their facilities. Great idea to include meeting space for community access, and given the potential usage, it is sensible to incorporate food and drink facilities. The project seems to have been through a number of phases to gain community response and the financial planning appears sound. A 30% revocation of community land is minimal, and it will remain the property of the council in any case. Congratulations to all involved and I look forward to seeing the building take shape.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 56
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 04, 2024 11:33:13 am
Last Seen: Jun 04, 2024 11:33:13 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Jillian Luscombe

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** n/a

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Totally unnecessary - we wanted basketball etc courts. The council should NOT be able to lease out land for such a long period. Ratepayers pay enough already -

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 57
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 04, 2024 11:40:53 am
Last Seen: Jun 04, 2024 11:40:53 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Bill Kelton

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 55-64

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 58
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 04, 2024 13:18:47 pm
Last Seen: Jun 04, 2024 13:18:47 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Andrew Keenan

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 45-54

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 59
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 04, 2024 15:26:31 pm
Last Seen: Jun 04, 2024 15:26:31 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	John Francis Bracken
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	Yes please!
Q5.	**What is your age:**	65-74
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor resident
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	na
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I support the proposal with changes (please outline the changes in the next question)

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Can you clarify the following; 1 Are the current lessees of the Recreation Centre to have their current 50 year lease revoked prior to its expiration, if so do they get compensation ? 2 Will the current lessees be offered a management role in the new facility. ? I endorse the proposal in principle, the City of Victor Harbor has a responsibility on ratepayers behalf to manage the development of infrastructure, including recreation facilities. The town is not only for those waiting God's call. I see many advantages for the city with the current proposal. Reclassifying the portion of land from the existing title is quite appropriate. Offering a lease at peppercorn rates for sporting clubs is not new.,examples of current leased land for the purpose of recreation such as the Yacht Club, Croquet Club, and the Bowling Club etc. All benefit the community, without providing a financial return to the city revenue stream. Onward and upward. John Bracken.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 60
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 04, 2024 15:28:35 pm
Last Seen: Jun 04, 2024 15:28:35 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Desmond John McNeilly
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	No thanks
Q5.	**What is your age:**	75+
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor resident
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I feel that most of the clubs in the area currently have very functional amenities,and I think adding a larger multi-functional building would prove wrong. I base my opinion on my experience with REYNELLA and HAPPY VALLEY sports centres. I feel this is another monument some one can claim as something they have done that could cost ratepayers in the future .

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 61
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 04, 2024 16:20:09 pm
Last Seen: Jun 04, 2024 16:20:09 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Dorian Chambers

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 35-44

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal with changes (please outline the changes in the next question)

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I do not support the facility being owner/managed by a private company. The facility must be council or local committee owned.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 62
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 04, 2024 16:21:35 pm
Last Seen: Jun 04, 2024 16:21:35 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Mark Taplin

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Too expensive. Must look at alternatives

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 63
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 04, 2024 17:20:08 pm
Last Seen: Jun 04, 2024 17:20:08 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Lloyd Rodrigues
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	Yes please!
Q5.	**What is your age:**	65-74
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	private business[email rodrigueslloyd45@gmail.com]
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

my wife & I see the development of the intended precinct, as a waste of ratepayers money, when basic things like footpaths, along most of the roads in encounter bay are missing. Private enterprises are just interested in making money for themselves, & once the documents are signed sealed & delivered, locals can jump through hoops. Whatever happened to councils, providing facilities for ratepayers, when they seem to collect an in-ordinate amount presently for services delivered.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 64
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 04, 2024 17:57:10 pm
Last Seen: Jun 04, 2024 17:57:10 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Perry Schaedel

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 55-64

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I am opposed to a lease of public land to a private company. I am opposed to any ratepayer money to fund or subsidise a private company to develop council land. I am opposed to a rates exemption for private companies.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 65
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 05, 2024 08:40:56 am
Last Seen: Jun 05, 2024 08:40:56 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Carolyn Cotter

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** n/a

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Revoking Community Land for a developers right to lease for unlimited years. Not community owned asset. Council's subsidy to construction costs too great. Rate increases already operational without further substantial increases to residents. However, rate exemptions will apply to private operators!! Community long term debt. Federal/State grants negatively obtained. Surely better options are available. Your duty as our voted representatives is to seek with integrity a better solution. Counting on you to do so. Thank you.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 66
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 05, 2024 09:36:20 am
Last Seen: Jun 05, 2024 09:36:20 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Mark William Searle

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

We already have a 2 Basketball Courts here and We are an Ageing Population.Over 60sdon't want to Play Basketball. Use the $Money for a Hospital Expansion.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 67
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 05, 2024 09:48:40 am
Last Seen: Jun 05, 2024 09:48:40 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Sharryn Phillips

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 55-64

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Based on the information provided and what I have heard in the community the private developer can not afford to do this development. If they need to take out a significant loan and also need a 99 year+ lease it's not sustainable. They should also be making themselves know to the community. There is distrust because they are unknown. Council shouldn't be signing up to 99 year leases of any sort. As a born and bred resident I have seen successive councils make plenty of bad decisions, Please don't let this be another one.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 68
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 05, 2024 12:13:20 pm
Last Seen: Jun 05, 2024 12:13:20 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Amanda Tridgell

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I do not agree with the proposal to allow a private company to have use of this land for this project. The plans have been changed without full public consultation, the rates are already very high and it cannot be justified to increase them further. We need the public open space for peoples well being. This is not the right location for a facility and it has become too expensive and will be costly for people to use. The needs of the sporting community could be met with a simpler project. Why is there now a child care centre included?

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 69
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 05, 2024 12:32:37 pm
Last Seen: Jun 05, 2024 12:32:37 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Donald Graham Rumbelow

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** Sport and recreation group, club or association

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I do not support the proposal because the cost in total is far in excess of what is needed. A complex to suit the needs of the local sporting groups with their consultation is all that is needed.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 70
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 05, 2024 13:00:18 pm
Last Seen: Jun 05, 2024 13:00:18 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Lesley Angela Rumbelow

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I am against that type of development because the cost is exorbitant and the needs of the sporting groups can be met at a much cheaper price

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 71
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 05, 2024 15:29:14 pm
Last Seen: Jun 05, 2024 15:29:14 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** GEOFF BURDON

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I am of the opinion that the lease for 149 years to a developer/investment group is far too long. This will saddle a minimum of 6/7 generations to an outcome that may or may not prove a benefit to the community or to the sporting clubs it is intended to help Developers develop, generally after the completion it is on-sold to other entities. To contemplate Council's strategy is both shortsighted and naive and I strenuously suggest not a good solution. As for the $36m(est.) in rates over the 149 year life of the project that equates to average $241.6k,p/a. Not a great return on the value of the land and the $11,2m. upfront payment!

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 72
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 05, 2024 17:03:21 pm
Last Seen: Jun 05, 2024 17:03:21 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Mark Jurgens

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 55-64

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 73
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 05, 2024 17:17:47 pm
Last Seen: Jun 05, 2024 17:17:47 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Lauren Shine

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 45-54

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Lease is too long. Too many subsidies. Costs too high especially at this time period.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 74
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 05, 2024 17:29:04 pm
Last Seen: Jun 05, 2024 17:29:04 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Mark Taplin

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

No community asset and yet it costs us heaps. No rates coming in for 7 years, and then its a percentage of his profit which will be nil. No regard for local sporting groups, developer (un named) has a proven track record of delivering well above budget. This white elephant will cost more like $65 million

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 75
Login: Jay
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 05, 2024 17:46:38 pm
Last Seen: Jun 05, 2024 07:40:51 am
IP Address: 180.150.112.36

Q1. **Full Name:** Jay Burns

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 55-64

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

This is absolutely not what the city of Victor harbor needs or can afford in our current economic climate. For the majority of ratepayers who will be paying increased rates for this proposal will not use it or benefit from it. The majority think who would benefit would be renters and not ratepayers. This is an abomination of a proposal and I definitely will not be supporting it. It will be another white elephant like the swimming pool.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 76
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 05, 2024 21:09:04 pm
Last Seen: Jun 05, 2024 21:09:04 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Natasha fairhurst

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** not answered

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 35-44

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I believe that Victor Harbor needs this. We have had such an increase in younger families residing in Victor Harbor and surrounds. Which is massively supporting our growing age population. We need to look into the future for the growth that is still expected and what Victor has to offer for families. I myself used the gymnastics facilities as a young girl and also the basketball stadiums. Now 35 years on the gymnastics area is still in the same area. Yes they have added a few items but still so restricted by space and opportunity. Also having used the basketball stadiums many years ago. We went people of all ages to be active and to have great opportunities with a variety of uses with what's on offer with an appropriate recreational hub. Victor is growing and we need to keep up.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 77
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 05, 2024 21:31:10 pm
Last Seen: Jun 05, 2024 21:31:10 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Greg Pitman

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 55-64

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

1.Council is being fiscally irresponsible already by financing the current deficits - shear madness - live within your means! The debt burden is ridiculous for such a small community. 2. A town of approx 16000 people simply cannot afford a $45million complex or justify giving away $11.7million plus perks to a company for no fiscal return, just to bounce a ball. If the the project cannot stand on its own and be self sufficient - then it should not stand. Our town needs to grow way bigger with a much wider rate payer base before these extravagant ideas are put into action/place. 3. Our town has according to 2023 survey - 49% of population over 65years - very few if any of these will use a sporting complex. Your rate payers demand a better use of council funds maintaining lower council rates. Year on year 6% rate increases are NOT suitable or acceptable, pull your heads in! 4. There are many outdoor sport amenities/ovals/courts already in place, keep developing more little by little. If an indoor court is required - hire an indoor school court - user pays - I am sure the schools would appreciate the income. 5. Walk before you run, a community like ours should not be gifting away 100 - 149 year leases of prime land only purchased 3 years ago for a very flimsy "use of purpose", suiting such a small minority of ratepayers!

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 78
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 06, 2024 09:14:39 am
Last Seen: Jun 06, 2024 09:14:39 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Courtney barry

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 35-44

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

100% support this decision to have a recreational centre in VH. What a great development for the town. A fantastic facility that can be utilised by all ages.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 79
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 06, 2024 09:30:05 am
Last Seen: Jun 06, 2024 09:30:05 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Christine Bell

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** Resident of Alexandrina or Yankalilla council areas

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

As a recently retired YANKALILLA council area community member with young grandchildren living in Victor harbor I feel there is a strong need to support this proposal. Our children and grandchildren especially need a quality complex that will allow them to grow as fit and healthy citizens with opportunities to remain in Victor harbor and not have to travel to Adelaide. It is clear the Victor city is growing and there is a need to keep pace to support both the young and the aging population.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 80
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 06, 2024 09:39:29 am
Last Seen: Jun 06, 2024 09:39:29 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** John Bell

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** Resident of Alexandrina or Yankalilla council areas

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Although considered by some as an aging community, recent building approvals, new business buildings and a very impressive medical centre, there is no doubt Victor Harbor is becoming a wonderful destination for young families to reside. My short term plan is to move to Victor harbor in the near future to support our daughter and young family. I feel the new proposal will not only support our young people to enjoy a healthy wellbeing but it will also encourage the aging to enjoy a quality centre to enjoy. This complex will ensure Victor coward plans for the future of all its residents.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 81
Login: Cindy
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 06, 2024 10:37:03 am
Last Seen: Jun 06, 2024 00:27:17 am
IP Address: 143.216.105.219

Q1. **Full Name:** Lucinda Miller

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** 45-54

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I do not believe that we require another sporting facility of that calibre in the area. We have enough conference rooms etc that are currently not be utilised. The economic outlook for us is looking rather grim. I think as a community there are larger & better items, groups and infrastructure that needs to be addressed instead of having another building not used to its potential.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 82
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 06, 2024 11:05:53 am
Last Seen: Jun 06, 2024 11:05:53 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Hayley Evans

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** 35-44

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 83
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 06, 2024 11:35:59 am
Last Seen: Jun 06, 2024 11:35:59 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Dave Geoffrey Gilmore

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 55-64

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

This proposal is outrageous! 11.7 million dollar subsidy paid for by council.....wrong! No local jobs.....wrong! Rate exemption for 7 to 10 years.....wrong! How wrong can you be allowing or supporting greed and exploitation of our funds? This will also bring rate increases for many years to come!

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 84
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 06, 2024 12:20:31 pm
Last Seen: Jun 06, 2024 12:20:31 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Marilyn Sue McGrath

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

With the current cost of living crisis, it is not the right time to have to increase rates more than the approximate 6% proposed, before this $11.5 million contribution to the cost of building the facility. This means increased rates over the next two years at a minimum.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 85
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 06, 2024 13:30:12 pm
Last Seen: Jun 06, 2024 13:30:12 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Barrie G Carbins

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

It is to experience. There are other options The idea of a tavern in this current situation is out of the question Madness

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 86
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 06, 2024 13:47:24 pm
Last Seen: Jun 06, 2024 13:47:24 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** christine Dahl

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

ratepayers are being charged excessive rates already and funding another sports facility isn't something the council can afford. so many pensioners live here cant afford any more increases. We owned a similar cost home in Port Adelaide and the rates were approx half what your council charges. Its becoming a real issue that spending is out of control.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 87
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 06, 2024 14:38:23 pm
Last Seen: Jun 06, 2024 14:38:23 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** wayne john tonkin

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 55-64

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

The council proposal is subject to the land being virtually sold off to the developer. I suspect the long lease term was requested to allow interested parties to borrow funds against the lease. Shorter terms would not make this possible. As I understand the facts, the basketball association is only interested in the courts and cafe. Spending $40k seems excessive for a town of $15,000 and doesn't really pass the pub test. I am concerned how the tavern/licensed venue is going to affect existing town businesses and sporting groups in the area. Also worried about future increases in rates to support the shortfall in operating costs of the stadium, ie back up the basketball association.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 88
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 06, 2024 17:18:39 pm
Last Seen: Jun 06, 2024 17:18:39 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Thomas Rubie

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 18-24

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

As a young adult in the community who is involved in local sport and activities I believe that there is a great need for us to have a new rec center in the town, to help facilitate community and growth in our region. I am also wanting to raise my family here and having facilities for sports but also the proposed child care is something that i see as essential to ensure I stay here and raise my kids in the best community possible

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No:	89	**Responded At:**	Jun 06, 2024 18:29:47 pm
Login:	Anonymous	**Last Seen:**	Jun 06, 2024 18:29:47 pm
Email:	n/a	**IP Address:**	n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Brad Jorgensen
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	No thanks
Q5.	**What is your age:**	25-34
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	Sport and recreation group, club or association
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Sounds like a much needed upgrade for our city, it will enrich further sport development which has always been a staple in our community.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 90
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 06, 2024 19:11:09 pm
Last Seen: Jun 06, 2024 19:11:09 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Brodie Muller

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 25-34

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 91
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 06, 2024 20:59:53 pm
Last Seen: Jun 06, 2024 20:59:53 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Sarah Milosevic

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 45-54

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 92
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 06, 2024 21:00:38 pm
Last Seen: Jun 06, 2024 21:00:38 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Tracy Tuesley

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 35-44

Q6. **What best describes you:** Sport and recreation group, club or association

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

It needs to happen or we will never get this valuable structure built, it is long overdue.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 93
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 06, 2024 21:01:22 pm
Last Seen: Jun 06, 2024 21:01:22 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Daniel Western

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 25-34

Q6. **What best describes you:** Community group

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I work closely with the local basketball association.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 94
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 06, 2024 21:02:14 pm
Last Seen: Jun 06, 2024 21:02:14 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Caitlin Rose

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 18-24

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

We desperately need a new sporting complex

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 95
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 06, 2024 21:06:51 pm
Last Seen: Jun 06, 2024 21:06:51 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Kiara Edwards

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 35-44

Q6. **What best describes you:** Resident of Alexandrina or Yankalilla council areas

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Australia

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 96
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 06, 2024 21:11:16 pm
Last Seen: Jun 06, 2024 21:11:16 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Sam Baxter

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 18-24

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 97
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 06, 2024 21:39:03 pm
Last Seen: Jun 06, 2024 21:39:03 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Nyree Davis

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 35-44

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

It's not ideal, but it's time to work together to make this happen.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 98
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 06, 2024 21:50:35 pm
Last Seen: Jun 06, 2024 21:50:35 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Ryan Byrne

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 25-34

Q6. **What best describes you:** Sport and recreation group, club or association

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 99
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 06, 2024 21:58:23 pm
Last Seen: Jun 06, 2024 21:58:23 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Dianne Sinclair-Warren

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal with changes (please outline the changes in the next question)

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

THE LEASE TERM OF 100 YEARS IS TOO LONG TO A DEVELOPER. 10 X 10 YEARS TO EXTEND WOULD BE A PREFERABLE TIME PERIOD.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 100
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 06, 2024 22:18:54 pm
Last Seen: Jun 06, 2024 22:18:54 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Ebony Mackenzie

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 35-44

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Australia

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 101
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 06, 2024 22:22:13 pm
Last Seen: Jun 06, 2024 22:22:13 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Megan Smith

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 45-54

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

We need these facilities. The community would benefit to no end. This would bring health wellness and a sense of commit to the town. I can't wait

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 102
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 06, 2024 22:34:09 pm
Last Seen: Jun 06, 2024 22:34:09 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Richard Whittaker

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 45-54

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

This is a much needed upgrade to the facilities provided to the community and gives an area for so many sections of the population to use. The council will be less impacted and it is understandable to grant the extra lease and makes commercial sense for the project to be viable.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 103
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 07, 2024 01:50:55 am
Last Seen: Jun 07, 2024 01:50:55 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Janet Barr

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 55-64

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 104
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 07, 2024 07:55:02 am
Last Seen: Jun 07, 2024 07:55:02 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Alex Barton

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 25-34

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 105
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 07, 2024 08:08:29 am
Last Seen: Jun 07, 2024 08:08:29 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Brooke Riley

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 25-34

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

We need a larger and newer area for sporting events, for our growing community.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 106
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 07, 2024 08:29:00 am
Last Seen: Jun 07, 2024 08:29:00 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Natalie Muirhead

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 35-44

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I have lived in this town for over 20 years, I had lived in many places before, coming from Albany in WA to here I must say this place is lacking in facilities…. In 20 years not much has changed except shops petrol stations and subdivisions, it's time to do some good for this town. Hope to see this happen before I am of retiree age.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 107
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 07, 2024 08:34:41 am
Last Seen: Jun 07, 2024 08:34:41 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Peta Johnston

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 45-54

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Australia

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 108
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 07, 2024 08:40:59 am
Last Seen: Jun 07, 2024 08:40:59 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Kody Muirhead

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 18-24

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I have been playing basketball for many years of my life time at the same old council gym. It does the job but the equipment and floors are quite outdated so I think it is a time for a change, it could also make the sport bigger and encourage more people to come get active.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 109
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 07, 2024 10:16:14 am
Last Seen: Jun 07, 2024 10:16:14 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Susan Tierney Anderson

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

The proposal does not serve the best interests of the local community and fails in a number of areas including - local employment opportunities for the community, Land lease far to generous as regards to time (long Lease outside the control of any local governing authority), financial commitment of future rate payers far out ways the perceived benefit to the local community. Exclusion of local sporting clubs to the facilities both in the planning and development as well, there is no guarantee of the Victor sporting community to have "no cost access" to a complex which becomes privately owned which the ratepayer is funding. Council you have failed in this.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 110
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 07, 2024 10:49:15 am
Last Seen: Jun 07, 2024 10:49:15 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Nadia Zivkovic

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Rates are already too high in Victor Harbor compared to our previous rates and other council areas. Land leases should not exceed 30 years and state government already limits leases to 42 years, this is still to generous given the rate of social and economic changes experienced today. Council does not maintain the roads and waste management to an acceptable level as it is. More debt will not help. Private public ownership doesn't work and escalating costs is likely to blow out the project's costs. The majority of rate payers are retired and on fixed or contracted incomes which can't take extraordinary rate increases as proposed. Retirees usually do not benefit from sport's precincts and we already have access to fitness through government programmes. Intergenerational debt will leave those who follow us with less economic options nd result in poorer outcomes in the future. Income from facilities such as sports princes should go into councils pockets for other community project.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 111
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 07, 2024 11:00:43 am
Last Seen: Jun 07, 2024 11:00:43 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Cheryl Myra Milburn

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Not opposed to improved sports facilities but I oppose, increased rates. The majority of residents are retirees on fixed incomes. Privatpublic partnerships don't work and lead to increased costs. The is no guarantee that this project will not substantially increase in costs. Options for enhancing existing facilities or community services should be located. Any money made from facilities should go into council treasury for reallocation to community projects. I do not support inter generational debt as this result in poorer communist outcomes in the future. Land leases should be limited to 30 years to ensure flexibly although I note government currently legislates 42 years for land lease.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 112
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 07, 2024 11:53:11 am
Last Seen: Jun 07, 2024 11:53:11 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Malakye Muirhead

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** Under 18

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 113
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 07, 2024 11:56:45 am
Last Seen: Jun 07, 2024 11:56:45 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Eli White

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** Under 18

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

It would be good for carnivals and more space for games

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 114
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 07, 2024 12:10:35 pm
Last Seen: Jun 07, 2024 12:10:35 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Tara Butler

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 35-44

Q6. **What best describes you:** Resident of Alexandrina or Yankalilla council areas

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I support this proposal as the southern Fleurieu is in desparate need of these facilities for the current and future generations. As a family who uses sporting facilities, we love the proposal for The Precinct and look forward to using the facilities. Revoking the community land classification and working with a developer to build and manage The Precinct will see an exceptional facility built, a facility that most probably would not be achieveable if 100% of funding had to come from ratepayers.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No:	115	**Responded At:**	Jun 07, 2024 12:28:40 pm
Login:	Anonymous	**Last Seen:**	Jun 07, 2024 12:28:40 pm
Email:	n/a	**IP Address:**	n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Amy Cox
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	No thanks
Q5.	**What is your age:**	45-54
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	Sport and recreation group, club or association
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

There are still many issues and questions that are yet to be answered in regards to the land, lease agreement and sporting precinct. Having signed a non disclosure statement I say all I would like knowing what I do. In my opinion what the developer is asking for in terms of the lease agreement is absurd.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 116
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 07, 2024 12:44:09 pm
Last Seen: Jun 07, 2024 12:44:09 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Hailey Hay

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 55-64

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

The document provided by council for this proposal does not provide any guarantee of exactly what the precinct development will include. The proposal for the precinct only includes 4 basketball courts as non-negotiable. All other development is subject to change, like, for example, the childcare centre, where the council document states there is addition of much-needed community facilities like childcare and allied health, but does not specifically state that the childcare centre is guaranteed. Whilst the CEO at the public meeting on 6.6.24 stated that the childcare centre was guaranteed, there is nothing in writing to support that. Allied health services do not need this precinct. Half of Ocean St is vacant at the moment. The precinct includes food and beverage outlets. Being run by a profit driven private enterprise removes control of sports clubs to raise funds through canteen sales. All sporting clubs depend on such things to keep membership affordable. And, as with the Aquatic Center, fees for the use of the facility will increase indefinitely, the private enterprise will price to make a profit. Finally, as a retired member of the community I do not imagine myself benefiting from this development, despite having to pay for it through increased rates (1.2% this year and at least the same in subsequent years). I don't support the development of the Precinct, and I don't support the land revocation. I would ask that the council explore an alternative that is more affordable and reflects the size of the population and demographic of it's constituents. I would also suggest that council concentrate on it's core business of providing footpaths, for example, before racking up further dept on major projects such as this that do not appear to have the support of the community, including the sporting clubs its meant to be for.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 117
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 07, 2024 13:35:08 pm
Last Seen: Jun 07, 2024 13:35:08 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Christian Milne

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 35-44

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I am 300% behind the change in Community land use and the proposed development of this centrally located parcel of vacant land and I fully support the development of a new and overdue Community Recreation hub. I have 3 children who utilize the existing Recreation centre facilities over three different sports/activities and they are no longer fit for purpose. Leaky roofs that damage scoreboards, cold in winter, unbearably hot in Summer. Toilet and change rooms are from the 1980's. Parking and access issues. I urge the Council to hold it's nerve and maintain it's line and please persevere, as I expect a lot of older retirees and elderly people will be quite vocal and negative against this proposal as they won't plan to use it. Many elderly won't want to support a significant council expense that, in their opinion only goes to younger generations. However with a demographic that is becoming younger at each Census, with more and more families and children in the region, VH will need a new recreation facility at some stage. I think it is 10 years overdue. If it is pushed down the road another 5-10 years it will cost a lot more. Anyone who attends sports or music or other activities in the current centre knows just how well patronized it is, it is a busy, positive and energetic site that keeps hundreds of children and other residents fit, health and engaged in our vibrant community. The growing cohort of ratepayers who are employed, younger and currently more active in the community deserve a great, modern facility and I thank the Council for the opportunity to present our shared views - a family of 5.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 118
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 07, 2024 15:27:30 pm
Last Seen: Jun 07, 2024 15:27:30 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Scott Murphy

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 45-54

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

As per the Local Govt Act 1999, sect 202 (4), a lease should not be longer than 42 years. It could be argued that such a subsection is designed to protect the rate payer and indeed, council itself, from miscalculation as to plans that may arise for the use of such public land. Given the predominantly aging population of the local community and indeed, Australia as a whole, such a substantial, ongoing financial obligation being met by council and a population that such a precinct will not primarily service, is not objectively fair. The local area is currently sustained by a number of sporting ovals, clubs and schools that provide ample opportunity for social engagement without the need for council to offer community land to private enterprise for their own financial gain and community financial obligations. The revocation of community land for the purpose of sale to private enterprise with risk being borne by said enterprises, would be far more acceptable and provide much needed funding to council to maintain current requirements. Such proposals may include residential and business opportunities along with scaled down social opportunities that reflect the current population's needs. Aside from the above, the local area has an abundance of natural facilities that could be utilised far more appropriately and perhaps developed in such a way that enhances the councils finances, protects environmental considerations and enhances the social fabric of the local community. Such an extension of a lease for a purpose that is not appropriate should not be considered.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 119
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 07, 2024 16:20:37 pm
Last Seen: Jun 07, 2024 16:20:37 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Gary Clarke

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** 55-64

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

It is against my will to see the community land classification revoked for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor. This land should remain as community land and any proposal for the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct must work within the lease boundaries of 42 years.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 120
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 08, 2024 08:50:23 am
Last Seen: Jun 08, 2024 08:50:23 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** David Powell

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 55-64

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Australia

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 121
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 08, 2024 15:28:38 pm
Last Seen: Jun 08, 2024 15:28:38 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Sandra Patricia Arlidge
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	No thanks
Q5.	**What is your age:**	55-64
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor resident
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I support the proposal with changes (please outline the changes in the next question)

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

My concern is that the cost to use facilities run by a private organisation will become more expensive than if run by Council. I believe there should be community involvement in price setting for use of the facilities on an on-going basis. The proposal as it stands looks vague so exactly how cost to use the facilities will be determined should be spelled out clearly. Per my suggestion, that there should be community representation, for example through a residents association in the process and decision-making.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 122
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 08, 2024 15:49:19 pm
Last Seen: Jun 08, 2024 15:49:19 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Heidi Kowalski
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	Yes please!
Q5.	**What is your age:**	65-74
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	Resident of Alexandrina or Yankalilla council areas
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

This proposal is not in the best interest of Fleurieu Peninsular Residents..... in the long run it is best to keep Council property for the use and oversight of the residents and not private businesses..... its best to prevent corruption which we can do only by being pro-active and not re-active..... once the damage is done, it cannot usually be undone. Listen to the people. Government is by the people for the people, not just for a select few who stand and plan to benefit at the expense of the majority. thank you, Heidi Kowalski

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 123
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 08, 2024 16:40:15 pm
Last Seen: Jun 08, 2024 16:40:15 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Trevor Rose

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

On the basis that good process provides good policy and good policy provides good government and good government facilitates good investment then in my view this project fails on most of this common sense approach to public projects. in Terms of risk to the developer and to Council the community should have had access to a properly documented risk assessment and risk mitigation plan from both the developer and the councils perspective. In the event that the project failed from the developers perspective the risk associated with Council having to pick up the pieces, so to speak, should be a major part of the risk plan. This project will fail in terms of the developer getting the required returns to make it viable. Just look at the funds thrown at the VHGC kitchen, Port Elliott Life saving club/restaurant, Chiton Surf club/restaurant, VH Football/RSL Club restaurant Goolwa football club all struggling to make money out of food with volunteer staff. My comments above need to be addressed in conjunction with the CVH subsidy, higher Council rates which are already extreme, probably no local construction jobs, long term debt and I understand that some if not all of the key user organizations have been essentially locked out of the project process which in itself is enough to spell disaster. A big no from me. There must be better alternatives like upgrading the existing Rec centre!!! Thank you Trevor Rose Msc Project Management.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 124
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 08, 2024 16:51:51 pm
Last Seen: Jun 08, 2024 16:51:51 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Ian Husband

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I do not believe ant Rate Payers money should be used to provide recreational facilities that will only be used by a small minority of citizens while the Rate payers themselves are struggling with cost of living expenses. The council should concentrate its efforts on trying to bring life back into Victor Harbor main street which has become a dead zone since the street upgrades.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 125
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 08, 2024 18:30:39 pm
Last Seen: Jun 08, 2024 18:30:39 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Dennis Earl

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 55-64

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal with changes (please outline the changes in the next question)

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I support the proposal in principle, but overall price seems expensive on face value. We are obviously of desperate need of a major sporting complex in this city and the population requires this. The size of the lease seems extreme, and I would not support that. Would hope there will lengthy negotiations with all sporting bodies to ensure the management model keep the pricing at a level it doesn't reduce participation as we all know the overall benefits of children being involved with all sports but particularly team sports where all life skills values are taught and then the medical/health benefits and ultimately reducing the costs to the community as we will have a healthier community.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 126
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 08, 2024 18:33:33 pm
Last Seen: Jun 08, 2024 18:33:33 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Lisa McCombe
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	Yes please!
Q5.	**What is your age:**	55-64
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	Please note I am a rate payer and resident.
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I do not believe the project as proposed with a private investor and a 99 year lease plus two further 25 year options is appropriate. I am supportive of a sport and recreation centre and I understand an alternative has been proposed by one of the key stake holders that would cost approx 18 million. This proposal would be eligible for a state and possibly federal government grant while the current one with a private investor is not. The council appears to fear carrying the ongoing costs each year however there was not enough information provided at the community information evening at council about the demands and requirements of the potential investor. Is a bond being demanded of the potential investor to cover costs if they go broke? What if they just on sell the lease? What provision or restrictions have been made for this scenario? Will the investor be able to make sufficient profit to cover their required rate of return on building costs ? I am also concerned at the interest rates that are written in such a manner to suggest they would be present for only 2 years however your accountant at the public consultation advised that rates would remain up at this percentage once this was imposed. This 2 question community feedback submission is inadequate to really gauge what the consensus in the community is. It also appeared that the council decided to progress with this option for the Sport and Recreation precinct without community consultation on all possible options. The council asked for expressions of interest, says it is in negotiation with a private investor without community consultation on the revocation of the land. Surely the council understood revocation of the land would be required in order for the project to progress and to be commercial before they asked for expressions of interest. Is that not putting the cart before the horse? Did the council not know this before asking for expressions of interest?

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 127
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 08, 2024 18:37:44 pm
Last Seen: Jun 08, 2024 18:37:44 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Brian John Hockney
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	not answered
Q5.	**What is your age:**	65-74
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor resident
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I support the need for a sport & Rec centre, but don't yet agree with the current model, simply due to a lack of information. An informed decision cannot be made on the model proposed by Councillors or public on the model proposed due to the lack of information provided. Unless there is a very tight business plan on how the developer can make at least a $5M pa profit (to make a minimum 10% total profit, allowing for an expected $1.5 min loss from the rec centres), the model proposed is a very high risk proposal. If the developr doesn't make the profit required, they will walk away or go broke and shut down, leaving Council with a large white elephant to manage and justify. Remember that both the developer and managers of each of the 3 business projects will both want to make at least a 10-15%pa profit (If you are advised a lesser profit is acceptable, you should change advisers). Also a 99+ year lease is totally non cemmercial in todays world (we are not living in colonial past environment now). 42 years is plenty. The future value of a 99 year lease in such a position in the middle of a city is unacceptably high. The developer probably thinks they are going to make a large capital gain by selling a long term lease. A medium sized company doing a relatively small project like this is not likely to be in the same business or exist in say 30 years, which is not far away. Is this the best outcome for Council? If a tavern (it is not going to be a 50m X30m restaurant) and daycare centre is going to make $4-5M pa profit, why doesn't Council build the rec centre for the proposed $16-18M ($22M for a "tin shed" is not believable), and run it at a loss for the community, which everyone will accept as all communities need such a facility, and build a tavern and day care centre and own it themselves, and farm it out to independent specialist managers, and own the lot for the Councils own capital gain. With your current proposed model, believe me you actually are getting into the tavern/dauycare centre business, as you propose having your personnel on the management committee, and you could end up owning it in 5-10 years. Also as mentioned by another community member in letters to the "Sun", why wouldn't you do the right community thing and get the stake holders involved to get "Skin in the game". There will be a better long term outcome, and much cheaper. I have lived in country towns where this is the normal practice. Also i assume the developer is putting up a $5-10M security bond to cover the risk of them not completing the building, going broke, mismanaging, not cleaning up etc. That is standard business practice, but i haven't heard mention of such a security bond yet. I am also concerned that local sporting groups that rely on their small profit from their food and beverage operations on weekends will have a new competitor amongst them, and maybe go broke. Is that Councils wish. Have you discussed that with all of the relevant bodies.. Most of the other issues are acceptable, or trivial, but the big picture of the proposal needs to have a really tight and aqcceptble business plan to make the profit required. No one has seen that yet, so how can any informed opinion be made, and Council move ahead with this proposal?.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 128
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 08, 2024 20:49:50 pm
Last Seen: Jun 08, 2024 20:49:50 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Chris Shattock

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 55-64

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Rates charged by Council are already too high. This project will create further financial burden for rate payers. Council seems to have a complete lack of understanding of the effect of compound interest in relation to the impact on rates and borrowings. Council is putting its rate payers at unacceptable financial risk in pursuing this project. It is beyond Council's expertise to pursue such an expensive piece of infrastructure. Try concentrating on roads, rubbish and maintenance of parks. Council is well and truly out of its depth on this one.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 129
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 09, 2024 10:12:15 am
Last Seen: Jun 09, 2024 10:12:15 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Patricia McNamara
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	No thanks
Q5.	**What is your age:**	65-74
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor resident
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Victor Harbor Rates are already 'ONE" if not 'THE' Highest in the State....the impost of further 'large' rate hikes associated with this proposal is frankly an insult to the residents who, in the main, are struggling to pay now. Is it really Council Business to be partnering with 'a private consortium' to build this? I think there are many many questions still to be addressed re the proposal and I am concerned that the rising Debts Victor Council already appears to have does not fill me with confidence at all.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 130
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 09, 2024 12:23:06 pm
Last Seen: Jun 09, 2024 12:23:06 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Liza Harrington

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 55-64

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

This land should not be leased to private companies and developed at the rate payers expense.We do not need another sporting facility.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 131
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 09, 2024 13:44:43 pm
Last Seen: Jun 09, 2024 13:44:43 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Kenneth John Hansberry
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	Yes please!
Q5.	**What is your age:**	75+
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	n/a
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

As was the case with the recent building of the new aquatic centre age pensioner home owners (ratepayers) were hit with significant rate increases spread over a number of years to assist in paying for this venture.We (age pensioners) are now to be hit again with substantial rate increases over a number of years in a venture that is being undertaken by a private developer in the current uncertain prevailing economical conditions.The council's decision to proceed with this venture would be a load of rubbish & a step into the dark. SHAME ON YOU ALL!

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 132
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 09, 2024 14:55:57 pm
Last Seen: Jun 09, 2024 14:55:57 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Morag Craig
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	Yes please!
Q5.	**What is your age:**	65-74
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I agree that a Sports & Recreation precinct would be of great benefit to the current and future residents of Victor Harbor. Unfortunately, I cannot agree with the current proposal to Revocate the land on Lot 202 on the following basis: - This is and should remain community land There are alternative plans that have been carefully considered and put forward by sports associations, which would be more cost effective, keep building work in the local area, keep the management of the Centre within the community for the community, able to be extended to keep up with growing populations The amount of land kept by council, is of limited use, a beautiful place to walk the dog, but we have seen no real plans for what is being offered here. Or will it be left as green space? Land which had been flagged as suitable for storage for local community groups has now disappeared from the footprint The length of the agreement raises questions, 99 years with the possibility of 2 x 25-year extensions. How many times could this lease change hands in this amount of time? How does the council guarantee that all agreements in place (capped charges for users) at the start of the project are protected? Future generations will have no say in this matter, what are we leaving them? Whilst the council has at all times claimed transparency, I personally feel these consultations have gone into hiding and the community is being given a vote, far too late. I agree there is a need for additional Child Care within the area, but surely a Franchise would be able to build their own facility or would be possible new tenants in the Old Recreation Centre or Encounter Centre if this discontinues operation.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 133
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 09, 2024 21:21:25 pm
Last Seen: Jun 09, 2024 21:21:25 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Sarah Andrews

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** not answered

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 25-34

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I am not interested in my rate payments funding someone's private venture. I want a guarantee of local jobs and trades people.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 134
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 10, 2024 11:46:49 am
Last Seen: Jun 10, 2024 11:46:49 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Rebekah

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 35-44

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

This will be a great facility for the town of Victor Harbor and especially our young people who are the future for our town

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 135
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 10, 2024 12:08:25 pm
Last Seen: Jun 10, 2024 12:08:25 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Tracy Weeden

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 35-44

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 136
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 10, 2024 12:20:47 pm
Last Seen: Jun 10, 2024 12:20:47 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** GEORGE MALCOLM SMITH

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

In view of an operating deficit ratio of -4.3% - more than double the Council's target rate - I see it as irresponsible to continue planning for a Regional Community Sport and recreation Project.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 137
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 10, 2024 12:44:30 pm
Last Seen: Jun 10, 2024 12:44:30 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Malcolm Curtis

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

1. I don't want public land handed over to private interests for potentially 149 years. 2. I don't want the City to go into debt for 11 million dollars and therefore increase my taxes

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 138
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 10, 2024 12:51:47 pm
Last Seen: Jun 10, 2024 02:48:36 am
IP Address: 118.211.67.192

Q1. **Full Name:** Paula Curtis

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I oppose the increase in spending/debt and increases in our taxes. I oppose any increase in congestion on the ring road.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 139
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 10, 2024 13:26:27 pm
Last Seen: Jun 10, 2024 13:26:27 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Tennille Dunbar

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 25-34

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 140
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 10, 2024 13:27:22 pm
Last Seen: Jun 10, 2024 13:27:22 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Sam Stacey

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 45-54

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Community land should not be given to commercial enterprises. The cost to Victor Harbor ratepayers is too great. Council should focus on providing basic services to ratepayers (like a proper bin service) and reducing costs. Rates are already too high, unaffordable and additional development costs, like in this proposal, is not desired by the community.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 141
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 10, 2024 13:36:55 pm
Last Seen: Jun 10, 2024 13:36:55 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Ashleigh Egerton

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 25-34

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 142
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 10, 2024 13:45:02 pm
Last Seen: Jun 10, 2024 13:45:02 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Cathryn Barnaby

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I do not support this proposal because of the cost to all ratepayers. There must be a better alternative to this precinct development as I think it is unfair, in these times of financial hardship for all ages, to burden us with even more debt.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 143
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 10, 2024 14:22:58 pm
Last Seen: Jun 10, 2024 14:22:58 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** william j r harniman

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Re Management EBFC lease the lease for149 isnot accepital and i vote against the whole of the propsail w j r hatrniman

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 144
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 10, 2024 14:48:08 pm
Last Seen: Jun 10, 2024 14:48:08 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	SUSANNE C DAVIES
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	Yes please!
Q5.	**What is your age:**	65-74
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor resident
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

- The "Council Ongoing Subsidy" to subsidize a private developer, is actually paid by City VH rate payers - which indicates a significant increase in our rates. - It appears the profit from this development will be retained by the private developer - a repayment schedule of Council subsidized monies should be in place. - There is no ground rent payable for the leased land for 149 years and no council rates payable for at least 7 years. - We strongly object to the council giving away a lease on Community land that was acquired through a Council application - successful in receiving 50% of the purchase price through the SA Government's 2021/22 Open Space Grant Program. - The Community Land was acquired for use as Open Space and the Council now proposes to revoke the status of Community Land. Was the SA Government aware of this proposed change when they granted 50% of the purchase price? - The Rate Payers of the City of Victor Harbor are entitled to know who any proposed developer is. As the EOI closed in November 2023, this information is available and should be made public.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 145
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 10, 2024 16:51:47 pm
Last Seen: Jun 10, 2024 16:51:47 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Andrew Gordon robertson

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Bad long term proposal for local community but very good for developer. 149 years of leasehold of community land excessive

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 146
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 10, 2024 17:32:15 pm
Last Seen: Jun 10, 2024 17:32:15 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** jim Lonergan

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

this project must not be leased to any other organisation. it must be kept entirely in the hands of the council (i.e. the ratepayers) The project must be modeled on the Summit Project at Mt Barker, which is a dismal failure and not currently fit for purpose. Just consult with the local football club to see what a white elephant it has turned out to be. Victor Harbor needs to do better with ratepayers' money!

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 147
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 10, 2024 17:55:04 pm
Last Seen: Jun 10, 2024 17:55:04 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Mel Cronje

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 45-54

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

A growing population of young families needs updated facilities.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 148
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 10, 2024 18:45:43 pm
Last Seen: Jun 10, 2024 18:45:43 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Chelsi Ciccozzi

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** Under 18

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I dont think this will improve our community in any way. We have lived without it all this time and it won't make a significant improvement. All it will do is destroy our land and bring unwanted traffic to the area.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 149
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 10, 2024 19:16:01 pm
Last Seen: Jun 10, 2024 19:16:01 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Matthew Cahill

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 55-64

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I do not support a private developer's construction costs for a non community owned asset, through the increase of residential rate's & especially seeing as the developer gets rates exemption.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 150
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 10, 2024 19:24:01 pm
Last Seen: Jun 10, 2024 19:24:01 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Zac Bazzacco

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** not answered

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 25-34

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

We need more Childcare urgently and as a basketball player, the new stadium would give us better game times and help propel development within the community.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 151
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 10, 2024 19:25:43 pm
Last Seen: Jun 10, 2024 19:25:43 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Jude Cahill

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** Under 18

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I do not support a subsidy payed to a private developer through increasing residential rates for the construction of a non community owned asset. Especially seeing as the developer will be entitled to a rates exemption to a private operator.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 152
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 10, 2024 19:33:27 pm
Last Seen: Jun 10, 2024 19:33:27 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Michelle Cahill
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	Yes please!
Q5.	**What is your age:**	35-44
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I do not support providing subsidies to private developers for construction costs for a non community owned assets, nor rate exemptions for private operators. I do not support a project that takes away from local clubs and people and locals whilst increasing rates, especially when they are set to increase at a percentage far higher than my annual wages would. Furthermore, I do not want to see local peoples money funneled into, and establish multi-generational debt, for a project that will only serve 'sport'ing' percentage of people, with proceeds and benefits only going towards a private business. Community paid for projects should serve the entire community and should give directly back to the community who funded them. If the council cannot afford such a project, it shouldn't be setting up locals with more fees to subsidize something they couldn't afford in the first place.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 153
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 10, 2024 20:08:52 pm
Last Seen: Jun 10, 2024 20:08:52 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Phillip Kluske

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 45-54

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 154
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 10, 2024 20:54:00 pm
Last Seen: Jun 10, 2024 20:54:00 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Rowena LEWIS
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	No thanks
Q5.	**What is your age:**	75+
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I strongly object to the whole idea of giving away community land, to allow private enterprise to take control of building and operating a private business. Council need to plan with the ratepayer in mind. Sporting clubs are "community" (read "ratepayers") and deserve to have Council work with them to provide community facilities. 'Food and beverage' is not necessary. This plan Council propose is really giving a free hand to some private operator (why do we not know who this is??), and does not appear to benefit sporting groups apart from the building.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 155
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 10, 2024 22:33:36 pm
Last Seen: Jun 10, 2024 12:12:46 pm
IP Address: 27.33.248.35

Q1. **Full Name:** Deniece Press

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I am appalled that the council is taking on a proposal that would see a private consortium, construct and manage the recreational precinct. 11.7 million to be given to them (WHO are "the private consortium")The land and money GIVEN to THEM for 99+ years is despicable . Why isn't Victor Harbor managing this. Shame on you council. NO. NO

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 156
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 10, 2024 22:51:37 pm
Last Seen: Jun 10, 2024 22:51:37 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Michelle Bryant
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	No thanks
Q5.	**What is your age:**	45-54
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor resident
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 157
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 10, 2024 22:57:47 pm
Last Seen: Jun 10, 2024 22:57:47 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Sarah Bicknell

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 35-44

Q6. **What best describes you:** Resident of Alexandrina or Yankalilla council areas

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

The parcel of land is set in an appropriate location for a community precinct and the facility is much needed. Ensuring adequate off street parking will be important to avoid the main road being used for this. The land size seems appropriate and could allow for future additions if required. Would love to see the construction commence asap.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 158
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 16:19:15 pm
Last Seen: Jun 11, 2024 16:19:15 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Sylvie Clarke
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	Yes please!
Q5.	**What is your age:**	55-64
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor resident
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I believe Allotment 202 should remain Community Land for 2024-25 and further considerations and options for funding should be evaluated by the Council Members for the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct. The Tender process should be extended to allow for other Tenders to make their proposals. As well the option of seeking funding through State Government should be a priority to allow for Sport and Well Being investments in the Victor Harbor region to cater for a growing diverse population and demographic.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**

https://s3-ap-southeast-2.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-australia/9f78daf444f609d2807aaf2b8fb3bd4ca268c2c5/original/1718031498/e60b5fd90fb1f12888490abe90956cfb_Community_Land_Revocation_Submission10.06.2024___S_Clarke.doc_x.pdf?1718031498

Respondent No: 159
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 04:22:23 am
Last Seen: Jun 11, 2024 04:22:23 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Peter Robert Clisby

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Council must plan for a changing demographic needs. I support the forward planning demonstrated by this council and this proposal in particular.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 160
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 09:45:55 am
Last Seen: Jun 11, 2024 09:45:55 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Megan Johnson

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** 35-44

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** I speak for myself only.

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal with changes (please outline the changes in the next question)

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

The precinct represents a very large investment of ratepayer funds, so my support would be dependent on the project displaying good corporate citizenship. My expectations would be at a minimum that the project is required to include solar power, backup batteries, EV charging, and water tanks proportionate to what they will consume. I would also like to see publicly available recommendations from disaster-preparedness experts. In the case of a large-scale natural disaster in the local area (eg. bushfires like we saw on KI a few years ago, or an escalation of the flooding that we have been seeing in central Victor Harbor), it seems pretty likely that such a large centre would be used as a shelter. It should be fit to serve that purpose unless the experts recommend otherwise.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 161
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 10:24:28 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Kerry

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** 55-64

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**

I support the proposal with changes (please outline the changes in the next question)

I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I would support proposed changes conditionally, based on being able to view a traffic flow and management plan. Will access to site be opened up on Armstrong Road? What is the risk of congestion in Ewen Terrace and Jolly Street? Will George Main Road speed limit please be reduced to 50kph? Can study/review please be done on the vast increase in traffic on George Main Road, and the incidence of speeding and hoon driving? What is the total of car parking space to be allocated? Will existing trees be preserved - especially the line of mature gums that run behind the Encounter Centre? Until these questions are answered, I cannot support the proposal due to the close proximity of my home to this increased traffic activity.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 162
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 10:28:26 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Peter and Elaine Anderson

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

To: councilwatch44@gmail.com Subject: Proposed 40 Million Sporting Complex To whom it may concern, As Victor Harbor rate payers we wish to register TWO NO VOTES regarding the above proposed sporting complex. We are retirees and have lived in Victor Harbor for the last nineteen years trying to enjoy our twilight years. Our council rates have increased enormously on top of a very high rate to begin with , (double that of Burnside Council, Adelaide) during our time here. We are considering moving again should this reckless council spending continue creating further possibilities of ANY further rate increases. Roads have been forgotten, to the extent they have deteriorated so much they are the worst in our state and are dangerous to drive on. Please, consider your ratepayers and how you spend their money. Elaine and Peter Anderson. Victor Harbor. Sent from my iPad

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No:	163	**Responded At:**	Jun 11, 2024 10:36:13 am
Login:	[redacted]	**Last Seen:**	Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
Email:	[redacted]	**IP Address:**	103.68.105.12

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Steve Robertson
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	Yes please!
Q5.	**What is your age:**	75+
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

This is a grave error - a decision made in haste without proper time and detail. We as ratepayers do not know enough to support this move. My fear is that VH is giving away too much for too little benefit. Why such a long lease? Why bend over backwards to a private operator? What is the City giving away in rates? Why does the bill come in so high when other SA towns can make a sports complex for much less? One major dear I have is that the sports wind of this mega complex will be downgraded in favour of a large tavern (with its many pokies?) This will gravely affect the livelihood of existing bars, sports clubs and hotels. They do not deserve to be run out of business. I not the basketball association now rejects this plan. This to me is not supporting sport - it is a cash grab by a private and secret company. We as ratepayers are already it with rates far as due others SA councils we get precious little for our cash. Please do not make our plight even more dire.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No:	164	**Responded At:**	Jun 11, 2024 10:41:46 am
Login:	[redacted]	**Last Seen:**	Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
Email:	[redacted]	**IP Address:**	103.68.105.12

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Larry Davenport
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	not answered
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	No thanks
Q5.	**What is your age:**	75+
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Re: proposed transfer of community land to a private entity for the purpose of building a 'sporting complex' or for any other reason. I lodge my objection to the above proposal. Community is community land and needs to remain so. If Council cannot afford to undertake the task in its own right without burdening the safe paying community with extra costs it should not proceed particularly when the benefit will be for a minority.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 165
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 10:44:01 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** John and Janine Tarca

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal with changes (please outline the changes in the next question)

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

We are strongly against any entry and or exit driveways into or out of Ewen Terrace. Driving instructors block the road often to teach young drivers which is very annoying.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 166
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 10:46:01 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Victor Maloney

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** 55-64

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 167
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 10:49:05 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** W Williams

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** not answered

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 168
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 10:51:12 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Patricia Hilton

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 55-64

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**

I support the proposal as presented

I support the proposal with changes (please outline the changes in the next question)

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Would like to see a grandparents room.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No:	169	**Responded At:**	Jun 11, 2024 10:53:35 am
Login:	[redacted]	**Last Seen:**	Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
Email:	[redacted]	**IP Address:**	103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Deana Sparks

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Any improvement to Victor has got to be good. We are no longer gods waiting room. We have a strong growth in young families which is wonderful and we need these types of improvements for our town to grow. I strongly agree to no pokies or gambling as it should be family friendly.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 170
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 10:54:46 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Geraldine Rosser

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 171
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 10:57:33 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Mark Winter

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 35-44

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

As a father of two young kids, I wholeheartedly endorse this precinct. We have to consider the growth coming to our region, especially if we want young families.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 172
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 10:58:54 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Michael Rosser

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No:	173	**Responded At:**	Jun 11, 2024 11:00:40 am
Login:	[redacted]	**Last Seen:**	Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
Email:	[redacted]	**IP Address:**	103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Rosie Hage

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Great use of land and we need lots of facilities for younger people and older people.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No:	174	**Responded At:**	Jun 11, 2024 11:02:20 am
Login:	[redacted]	**Last Seen:**	Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
Email:	[redacted]	**IP Address:**	103.68.105.12

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Deborah Green
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	Yes please!
Q5.	**What is your age:**	55-64
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor resident
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 175
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 11:03:21 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Shane Hill

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 45-54

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 176
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 11:04:44 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Deb Hosking

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

It's needed for all ages. Please.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 177
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 11:05:50 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Emily Pettman

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 25-34

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 178
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 11:07:27 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Peter Drew

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

We do not have sufficient info to support a development, but I support the change to land use so a proposal can be progressed.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No:	179	**Responded At:**	Jun 11, 2024 11:08:41 am
Login:	[redacted]	**Last Seen:**	Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
Email:	[redacted]	**IP Address:**	103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Emily Heylen

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 35-44

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 180
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 11:10:33 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Julia Thomas

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** not answered

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** not answered

Q6. **What best describes you:** not answered

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal with changes (please outline the changes in the next question)

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 181
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 11:11:47 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Andrew Nicholls

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No:	182	**Responded At:**	Jun 11, 2024 11:18:03 am
Login:	[redacted]	**Last Seen:**	Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
Email:	[redacted]	**IP Address:**	103.68.105.12

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Kerri Godwin
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	not answered
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	not answered
Q5.	**What is your age:**	not answered
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	not answered
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Sir/Madam, I am not in favour of the revocation of community land in this instance, as I find that the subsequent leasehold over the (30%) portion of the land for potentially 149 years is unacceptable. Which leads to another objection. From my understanding of the articles in the Fleurieu Sun provided by the council, the facilities provided in the subsequent development will be privately run. This seems to work against the ability of local sporting groups to generate funds through catering etc. My observations of similar arrangements indicate to me that any rents/leases to provide these sorts of facilities are invariably too expensive to be sustainable. The outcome is that businesses fail and the facility overall becomes somewhat of a 'white elephant'. One could hardly say that the complex on Granite Island is a success story, for example. The object of private enterprises is to generate a profit, and this will take precedence over the viability or not of any sporting group. Revenue will be lost to local sporting clubs. Of course, following on from the land revocation and the handing over of a community asset to private investors is the funding and building of the actual sporting facility. There are many problems with this as I see it. 1.This proposal is too big, too much, too soon. I object to any increase in my council rates that is not necessary. In my view, increasing an already high rate by 6% for the first year (and who knows how much in subsequent years) is irresponsible and out of order. And we will not even own the facility for 149 years. I don't know what sort of accounting system the preferred supplier is working on, but to recoup costs over 100years does not seem to be very sound economics. There is surely some payoff for the provider that I am not aware of. 2. Ongoing costs. "NO ongoing costs to Council, the upfront cost includes a subsidy to offset costs for sporting facilities." (Fleurieu Sun 6.6.24, page 13). What does this mean? What costs, how much of a subsidy, for how long (100+ years)? 3. Other objections include; Possible rate exemptions for the provider. We all pay rates, why should a private enterprise be exempt? Again, the ratepayers are subsidising the provider. 4. Have all other alternatives been exhaustively pursued? It seems as though there is an alternative put forward by the people most affected by this Armstrong rd proposal which purports to be a more cost effective proposal. This should be explored. 5. The audit and risk committee have failed to endorse the current proposed budget, which includes the details of the land revocation and subsequent provision of the sport and recreation precinct. "We bring a business perspective and we give advice." (Mr. Papa, representative audit and risk committee; Fleurieu Sun 6.6.24 p5). I draw the conclusion that the budget in its current form poses a risk to the financial well being of the council and that the business case does not stack up. Will the council ignore this advice? For this reason alone, the proposal needs to be revisited. There seems to be a burning desire by the council (CEO?, Mayor?, elected members? others?) at the current time to provide a big project that will leave a lasting legacy. Having backed off from the cultural centre due to community objections, this proposal for the sporting precinct seems to be the latest iteration of that desire. It is a misplaced sentiment, untimely (in a time of high costs), out of touch with the community, and beyond the means of council. It is a worthwhile project, but not at the expense of the ratepayers, not to the extent proposed, and not to the benefit of private enterprise. For the above reasons I object to the adoption of this proposal in the strongest terms.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 183
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 11:19:31 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Peter John Watts

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** not answered

Q6. **What best describes you:** not answered

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Lot 202 I wish to oppose the land revocation at the above address. The option of a more cost-effective alternative, from the Amateur Basketball Association is the only sensible option moving forward.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 184
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 11:23:24 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Maureen Godwin

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** not answered

Q6. **What best describes you:** not answered

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Council Members I am totally opposed to this present Proposal and feel strongly that consideration must be given to an alternative business model. As a Victor Harbor ratepayer and a pensioner, I know I am one of many who are alarmed at the lack of community consultation by this Council. Community voices must be listened to and understood. This Proposal offers nothing to upbuild the community with private companies in fact being subsidised by our rates. It seems to me to be an unaffordable extravagant way to bring into the area something that could be done cheaper and more user friendly to locals. Please lodge my objection.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 185
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 11:27:06 am
Last Seen: Jun 11, 2024 11:27:06 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	David Middleton
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	No thanks
Q5.	**What is your age:**	n/a
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Council are asking the community for a great deal of trust and faith in their 'diligence', at a time when there's little substantiation regarding Council's purported 'efficiency measures' actually easing the severity of current household financial hardships. Sustainable communities are founded upon equitable qualities, and Christian values (which at the start of every meeting, the Council asks guide their decisions); where we look out for our neighbours and most vulnerable, and don't impose further hardships upon them. With 55-60% of our population (according to ABS) not in the labour force, and with the median family weekly income of $1255 being $634 (or 1/3rd) lower than the median State income, our residents are already falling well behind. There are too many unanswered questions, details yet to be divulged, and matters which don't make sense with this project. To proceed any further before these are fully disclosed to this community, is irresponsible at best. - What will the impact to the community be if the 'private partner' walks away from operating the sports facility, in the event of ie. continued financial losses? - Why was the community not consulted again on the 'new model', with all it's elevated financial implications, prior to Council progressing to this land revocation stage? - The 'Proposal to Revoke Classification of Community Land - Portion of Allotment 202 in Deposited Plan 127921, Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor', states on Page 7: "the private partner needs profit-generating uses, such as retail food and beverage, to offset the uses that do not generate income, like the courts". From this, are community to understand that there will be no fees or subs payable to the private partner for the use of the courts? - There has been no firm commitment by Council to improve the amenity value of the remaining community land, (70% of the site), to render it fit for community recreation purposes; nor has it been stipulated how the biodiversity considerations would be addressed should further development of this environmentally-sensitive area occur. - Will Council be borrowing the full $11.7 million, and if so, what is the projected total cost of this loan? What is it secured against? - Have we, (Victor Harbor ratepayers) already been locked-in to an agreement with this developer which will incur penalties if the partnership doesn't go ahead? If so, how much? I'm certain that my community will have many more diligently-conceived questions, which require transparent and truthful answers, not just the "don't worry about the fine print" lip service that we've been receiving.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 186
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 11:29:05 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Brian Johnson

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

The proposal is extremely expensive and doesn't benefit the local community or the ratepayers of Victor Harbor. Why is the Council using ratepayers money to subsidise a private developers costs? It seems to be that the Council are bending over backwards to assist this private developer - why? Surely it is better for a local firm to develop this project. If it does ahead. How will this proposal benefit the largely elderly population? How much will this large development cost ratepayers?

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 187
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 11:38:05 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Gillian Johnson

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

1) does not benefit the whole community or even a large percentage. Most V Harbor residents are 60+ and many are pensioners already struggling. 2) who decided that an applicant to build had to have experience in building at least two stadiums and why was this not mentioned BEFOREHAND? 3) Why do you want to spend SO MUCH MONEY when a lesser amount could achieve adequate facilities! a) Council is heavily in the red b) This would significantly add to debt c) This is a time for prudent expenditure NOT extravagant development money is tight d) how much extra will this increase our rates? e) you charged ratepayers a levy of Regional Hills and Fleurieu of $58.55 (up by 50% from the previous year)

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 188
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 11:43:04 am
Last Seen: Jun 11, 2024 11:43:04 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Jill Robertson

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I am protesting the recent development which I've only just heard about. I protest: that the apparent 'secret' or non-transparent negotiations have changed the original concept of a sports stadium for basketballers and netballers (a key issue of the Council elections) to privately owned tavern with pokies and a childcare centre. The basketballers are appalled that the promise made to them has been hijacked in favour of a private development. I protest: that the Council has not recommended a Referendum due to this new information about the development and the cost of $43million, to find out what residents really want for their community. I protest: that this private developer (who we are not told anything about) will be granted 7 years of paying no rates whereas we the residents will have our rates increased. Where is the concern for Victor Harbor Ratepayers. I protest: That the introduction of a tavern and pokies is irresponsible due to the documented effect of drinking and gambling on individual, on family and a community. I protest: because this development should be a community owned venture! It seems to me that the paid Council employees in favour of this development have no real intent or concern for Victor Harbor residents and businesses and what we actually want. I protest: because any project will not be directed to Victor Harbor residents, but to a private company I protest that no discussions or feasibility study have taken place with residents, nor any relevant information distributed so ordinary people can understand the implications - saying specifics are available to an ordinary resident not familiar with searching council information is dangerous.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 189
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 11:43:09 am
Last Seen: Jun 11, 2024 11:43:09 am
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** William Vincent Toop

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** n/a

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

1. The length of time that this community land will be given to a private entity far exceeds the life expectancy of anyone living at present, so we may consider that is is given away permanently. 2. I consider that giving away a public asset to a private entity is a betrayal of trust. 3. The proposal to jointly build a community asset with a commercial enterprise is effectively (partially) privatising that asset. Having been witness to a number of privatisations I know that in the long term the community is disadvantaged. This is common sense as a business does have to make a profit.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 190
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 11:52:16 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Beverley Earl

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal with changes (please outline the changes in the next question)

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

As a user of the Recreational Centre for training using 3 time slots some seasons 4 time slots and a member of Great Southern Association. Concerns that an outside developer is the considered builder of The Precint (no community feel) There has been many plans drawn over just the last 10 years, many workshops, many surveys, and as GSABA is a main user of stadiums 1 and 2. There is thought that the needs of the association have not been taken into consideration. The GSABA will still have talks with Council to how some of their concerns are looked into. The private consortium has not knowledge of the Council ratepayers and users of the complex. As a club our concerns are the raising of court hire fees and the prospect of the Association having to raise player fees. As the developer has appointed a group to run the Precinct the Association will not longer run the canteen which as been a money raises for them. Many of our junior players - play either district or state for basketball SA. We would hate to see those players only concentrating on the higher competitions and leaving our Association (mpney is tight) Great Concern Council is wanting to change lease arrangements from 42 years to 99 years and the Council will never own this building. What will be the lease payment for those 99 years. We as ratepayers have to pay rates yearly. The difference is we live in the area the consortium doesn't. The Council should look a bit harder to what they are giving away. The decisions made now our grandchildren/ great grand children will have to bare.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No:	191	**Responded At:**	Jun 11, 2024 11:55:01 am
Login:	[redacted]	**Last Seen:**	Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
Email:	[redacted]	**IP Address:**	103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Eliza Murphy

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** not answered

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Good afternoon, I write to you in relation to the revocation of land Lot 202. We relocated to Encounter Bay from NSW to give our teenage children a place where they can continue to grow and reside into adulthood with the possibility of being able to afford to buy their own home here one day. The idea of raising our rates by 6% to cover the cost of a development that is going to line the pockets of a private company is absurd and not something we support. Agreeing to a 150 year lease to a private organisation for a community site is also not appropriate and is not a decision that council should be allowed to make on behalf of the property owners in this area. A 150 year forecast of what this area will need is not attainable. We need medical facilities and a bigger hospital before a $43million sporting/social precinct. There are plenty of existing sporting clubs and social venues for youth in the area and money would be better spent of ensuring these community clubs thrive. - Council funds should not be used for privately owned developments and any small contributions, through community based support/protocols should be guided by the objects of the relevant legislation that established councils and set their objects. - Council developments should be based on planning within budget supplemented by government based grants and/or private sponsorship, that is monies given to council for the purpose of community based programmes. - Council owned land should not be converted for private use and council should ensure that any leases provided are time limited 40 years (as per existing laws) to enable flexibility commensurate with the changing needs of the community. - All projects should prioritise the use of regional suppliers and labour and only when these resources are clearly exhausted. I ask that I am kept informed of any meetings in relation to this matter and any decisions that are being made.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No:	192	**Responded At:**	Jun 11, 2024 11:58:22 am
Login:	[redacted]	**Last Seen:**	Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
Email:	[redacted]	**IP Address:**	103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Andrew Nicholls

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** not answered

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** not answered

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

To Members of the Victor Harbor Council and Planning group. My name is Andrew Nicholls. I reside at 74 Whalers Rd. Encounter Bay. My home was built on this property back in the mid 80's and yet: - I have no safe footpath for foot access around my property. - Despite Mains Sewer available to surrounding properties, I am on Septic. - My water metre is approx 45 metres from my property and I am liable for any leakage or damage to water mains outside my property. Cost to have the water meter on my property as per SA Water advice $45,000. (I am a pensioner with very little financial reserves). - There is no available shopping options in Encounter Bay. I PAY MY RATES and all Council costs AND YET I understand rather than ensuring the wellbeing of existing residence the Council is proposing extraordinary expense (ratepayer money) to fund Private Company Development projects on Community Land and increasing rates to ensure profitability for Private Developers in really tough times. Is this seriously community care and service? No. Please ensure the wellbeing of current residence before the interest of corporate greed.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No:	193	**Responded At:**	Jun 11, 2024 12:07:04 pm
Login:	[redacted]	**Last Seen:**	Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
Email:	[redacted]	**IP Address:**	103.68.105.12

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Nadia Zivkovic and Cheryl Milburn
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	not answered
Q5.	**What is your age:**	not answered
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Good morning, Please see our response below to the Council proposal re the Soorts precinct. From the time we became aware of the nature and extent of the proposal and our need to travel time was very limited. As a result we have been time poor in an effort to respond with a detailed submission. We are keen to participate in the consultation process and will be back in Victor by 15 June 2024. We have cut short our holiday with family as a result of this process. That is how strongly we feel about the proposal. We look forward to meeting each of you in due course. ___ Good afternoon, We are the owners and rate-payers for no 4 Stone Hut Cct, Encounter Bay and permanent residents of Victor Harbor since 2021 when we moved here as part of our retirement planning. We have been focussed on upgrading the house and gardens to ensure that we will be able to age in place for years to come. We have (not) had a lot of spare time to delve into council matters. This is changing with the current issues. We have only today become aware of the consultation regarding the sports precinct and proposed 2024/25 budget. There is insufficient time for us to become fully converse will all aspects of the debate surrounding the proposal but there are a number of principles we can support that are relevant to this debate. We will outline those below but would ask that you seriously consider extending the consultation period (we are leaving the state for a fortnight tomorrow) to allow wider community debate, discussion and information sharing through meetings and other types of face to face contact that will enable overcoming the disinformation your site claims exists. The principles we support are these: - council funds should not be used for privately owned developments and any small contributions, through community based support/protocols should be guided by the objects of the relevant legislation that established councils and set their objects - council developments should be based on planning within budget supplemented by government based grants and/or private sponsorship, that is monies given to council for the purpose of community based programmes. - Council owned land should not be converted for private use and council should ensure that any leases provided are time limited (eg 30 years) to enable flexibility commensurate with the changing needs of the community. - All projects should prioritise the use of regional suppliers and labour and only when these resources are clearly exhausted and or unavailable should resources be drawn from other Australian: councils, regions and or from interstate. With cost of living increases and living on a fixed income with no prospect of this changing as we age, we do not support council rate increases in the proposed circumstances or at the level proposed. Rate increases should not occur until and when council can demonstrate clearly to rate payers that it is necessary to support sustainable roads, parks, waste management and civic services (eg Library). In addition there is a growing need in our community for residents to access social and economic support where emergencies or crisis arises. These are your priorities in time of hardening economic and social circumstances. We want a safe sustainable community and one that is also focussed on climate change. Please extend the consultation period and do not proceed with your proposal. We feel strongly about this and will be active on our return from our visit with family. Thank you in advance for your consideration.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 194
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 12:08:58 pm
Last Seen: Jun 11, 2024 12:08:58 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Yvonne hoogveld

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 195
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 12:09:57 pm
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Samantha Middleton

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** not answered

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Please accept the following as my response to the Community Land Revocation – Allotment 202 Armstrong Road: I do not support this proposal as presented. • Council consulted on the model of a 'Zebra', and has then claimed those results justify the delivery of an 'Elephant'. • Community responses have been captured from an extremely small number of respondents; 195 submissions to the Phase 2 survey – which contains financial implication predictions. In a population of some 16,000, this represents only 1.2%. Given the magnitude of this project, and it's financial impost upon every rate-payer (whether supportive or not), this was an inappropriate core sample size upon which to extrapolate. • I absolutely oppose the proposed public/private partnership model, as I believe that Council is endeavouring to make operational assurances on matters that it will have no future control over. Questions over this at the public meeting were repeatedly fielded with references around 'working out these details as planning progresses'. • I favour the model of 'start with the basics, and keep it within community/grant means', as this commercial model effectively 'landlocks' the site against future possibilities and adaptability to changing community and environmental needs. • I also believe that the current model strongly favours the interests of the commercial entity, whose core mission is to make a profit, not administer benevolence during a cost of living crisis. On the matter of Community being repeatedly asked by the CEO to trust that due diligence has been undertaken: During recent months, the consequences of the failure of Council to uphold this obligation to residents in relation to another impactful local development have begun to unfold. On the matter of Elected Members making subjective decisions, I have witnessed such decisions being made without requisite due diligence, or more disturbingly, with potentially wilful disregard, as an instrument of the State. Hence I have zero confidence in the integrity of Council's 'due diligence' processes, and accordingly, no faith in the integrity of this current constitution of Chamber, the majority of whom I believe are being 'led by the nose'.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 196
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 12:31:23 pm
Last Seen: Jun 11, 2024 12:31:23 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Alana Bazzacco

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 25-34

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I support the proposal for the Sports and Rec Centre as I believed it is something that will benefit the community and my children for many years to come - We are also in desperate need of more childcare

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 197
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 13:08:56 pm
Last Seen: Jun 11, 2024 13:08:56 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Adam Twelftree
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	No thanks
Q5.	**What is your age:**	35-44
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	Resident of Alexandrina or Yankalilla council areas
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I support the proposal as presented

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

As a basketball player and father of 2 children who play basketball I believe improved facilities for the area are absolutely required. Our facilities are outdated and fall a long way behind what other rural areas offer. For such a proposal to be possible I believe the revoking of community land classification makes sense and may be the only way for such a project to actually happen.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No:	198	**Responded At:**	Jun 11, 2024 16:19:16 pm
Login:	[redacted]	**Last Seen:**	Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
Email:	[redacted]	**IP Address:**	103.68.105.12

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Terence Andrews
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	Yes please!
Q5.	**What is your age:**	75+
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Refer attached.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	https://s3-ap-southeast-2.amazonaws.com/ehq-production-australia/9e3f3f67440b3f15f24807c37dcd3d534e16be97/original/1718077197/16540f1f0f1b00c78f0c74074f5a2dff_Terry_Andrews_submission.png?1718077197

Respondent No: 199
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 13:42:26 pm
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Lee Blair Jenke

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 200
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 14:17:42 pm
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** George Huxtable

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** not answered

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**

I support the proposal with changes (please outline the changes in the next question)

I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

In principal I support a new basketball stadium but not the 'bells and whistles' model proposed, the city hardly needs another facility, resturant etc. run by a Victorian company for 99 years. Therfore that means I dissagree with revocation of the classification of community land portion of allotment 202 Armstrong Rd Victor Harbor.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No:	201	**Responded At:**	Jun 11, 2024 14:20:50 pm
Login:	[redacted]	**Last Seen:**	Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
Email:	[redacted]	**IP Address:**	103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Josephine Andrews

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

the council owes too much money even at this stage. I don't feel it is fair to pardon a huge debt to not just my children by to grandchildren and great grandchildren. It is not fair that future generations are paying off debts that were started years and years ago.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 202
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 14:23:27 pm
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Craig Andrews

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** not answered

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 35-44

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

This will add to my costs, the rate are to high as is! The roads need upgrading.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 203
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 14:28:30 pm
Last Seen: Jun 11, 2024 14:28:30 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** R Shirlaw

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 55-64

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Ratepayer subsidisation of a private sector development is unacceptable and yet another disappointing proposal put forward by Victor Harbor Council. The underlying driver of this proposal, to change the zoning of land, is another financially demanding project for ratepayers to fund along with the Arts Precinct, Horse-drawn tram and Aquatic centre. Why should ratepayers expect a developed sports/entertainment precinct to be any more financially viable? Viability for private sector investment must be founded on commercial terms. However, right from the start this proposal is founded on ratepayers giving up zoning of land, set aside for their use, without compensation. In fact, ratepayers will be subsidising the developer. Further, in the future, private sector operators will require community members to pay to use the developed facilities. A right of use they have already paid for through the original land purchase and subsidising the development project. Failure to charge an upfront or annualised lease fee for the use of the land is irresponsible management on behalf of ratepayers. As is failure to charge full land rates on the land. $36m over 99 years is hardly sufficient compensation for ratepayers. Given VHCC is offering to be so generous with ratepayer money for private sector investment, why not forego this development and reduce land rates for all Victor Harbor ratepayers.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 204
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 14:39:24 pm
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Geoffrey Bernard Quirk

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I cannot support the proposal to revoke the existing community land classification to enable a private developer to enter into a lease for 99 Years with two 25 year lease extension options. There are several issues that I feel haven't been adequately explained to the community: - how many expressions of interest (EOI) from deveopers and operators were received by the Council? - will gaming machines be involved in the private developers plans? - will the private developer be able to enter a mortgage over the lease with their financier? - what will happen if the private developer goes broke? - the local government act 1999 (the Act) has sections that protect the community. Why should the City of Victor Harbor (the community) consider any revocation just to appease any Private developers and their financier's funding requirements? - The Minister for Local Government must approve the council's proposal to revoke the Community Land status and the Minister for Planning has to agree to modify the Land Management Agreement (LMA). The Act and LMA are specifically to ensure that Community land was for the ongoing benefit and enjoyment of the Community. It remains to be seen whether this will be enforced to the satisfaction of the Community. - Has flood mitigation involving the Inman River been investigated? - Economists have already raised concerns that Australia is teetering on the brink of recission. My wife and I as senior citizens have already had to 'tighten our belts' given the increase in cost of living over recent years. (e.g. Victor Harbor Council rates have increased by 30% since 2017). For the council staff and elected councilors to suggest that rates will need to increase to fund debt levels is not in the City of Victor Harbor's (The community) best interests. Perhaps a 'tightening of the belt' is required by Council.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 205
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 14:41:14 pm
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** G and D Wright

Q2. **Address:** [redacted].

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** not answered

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

As local residents and rate payers of Victor, neither my wife or I are not in favour of such an elaborate plan.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 206
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 14:44:34 pm
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Diana Collins
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	not answered
Q5.	**What is your age:**	not answered
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	not answered
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I support the proposal with changes (please outline the changes in the next question)

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Good morning. I have been following progress on the proposed Community Sport and Recreation Precinct. I do support the development of the Precinct at this location. The revocation of community land,: While it was seemingly purchased by Council for this specific purpose, my concern with a 99 year lease and 2 x25 year subsequent leases is that this is 150 years we are talking about...2 lifetimes/2 generations of people. Having lived in Victor for the last 40 years, and being astounded at the huge changes in the size of the residential and commercial developments, I can hardly envisage what things will be like in 150 years. I have five concerns: 1. There will obviously need to be ongoing maintenance and depending on the initial sustainability of the design and construction materials, can it be envisaged that this particular facility will need to be replaced during that time ? If so, what constraints does the Council have that this could not become high rise residential facilities (ie hotel) or anything the private consortium wishes (ie change of function). 2. What condition is any building going to be like in even 42 years? Is this private consortium going to rebuild if needs be. 3.This land is adjoining the Inman River with significant vegetation. What is Council proposing to do about stormwater drainage in this area. There should be plans for stormwater management prior to that water entering the Inman River, especially with rising sea levels of 2mm year. I believe wetland development should be considered in some of the surrounding land that Council will retain control of. 4. None of us will still be alive in 150 years (or even in 99 years), including members of the private consortium. What plans/risk management plans are in place if the private company becomes insolvent ? 5.What are the figures for the "ongoing subsidies for the operations" going to cost taxpayers? Given that the construction costs estimates are as vague as 'between $25 and $45 million", my concern is that the ongoing subsidies are also as vague. I am an older taxpayer, on a limited fixed income(which is a pension and small super), I cant see how this facility will likely provide much for my recreational needs , however the onging council rate rises certainly do affect me..and if I am perfectly honest, would probably impact my ability to pay for fees, entrance fees to any activities provided in the facility. I think it is an excellent idea to have the childcare facility located near TAFE and sport/school precincts. Will that also be operated by the private consortium? Overall my concerns are the risks associated with cost blowouts and the length of the required leases.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 207
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 15:00:38 pm
Last Seen: Jun 11, 2024 15:00:38 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Keith Watkinson
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	No thanks
Q5.	**What is your age:**	65-74
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

As residents, ratepayers and participants in local sporting Clubs we DO NOT support the revocation of community land for the developer of a Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct. Our reasons are summarised as follows: . A complete lack of transparency on the part of Council (the most recent Council meeting where the Public Gallery was closed off is just one example) on financial and administration details of the proposed development. . The cost implication for the community as a whole of the proposed developer. . The ability of a private developer to deliver a cost effective end result which properly addresses it's needs of local sports clubs and associates. Experience across Australia is ample evidence that the privatisation of public assets does not benefit the community in the longer term. . Further imposts on ratepayers. The Victor Harbor and Alexandrina Councils already have the highest rating structures in the State. . We are ratepayers and are therefore 'de facto' owners of the Council. Any development must remain in community hands. A long term lease to a developer effectively alienates and dispossesses the community.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 208
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 15:07:26 pm
Last Seen: Jun 11, 2024 15:07:26 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** Pamela Dunlop

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

There are already two sports precincts within close proximity (Encounter Bay and Victor Harbor) Don't see the need for another one. The location is adjacent to the ring road near the roundabout. Will create extra traffic congestion on a road that is supposed to help ease congestion. Increased risk of accidents due to cars slowing down to enter the medical hub. Already seen at the new medical hub next to Drakes Foodland. The child care centre will be adjacent to the slip road. Due to the need for slowing down to 25km zone as children will be present increased traffic, danger for car accidents and children at risk. Also this council seems to be intent on losing as much natural scrub as possible to make it look like average suburbia. How about keeping Victor Harbor and surrounds unique with natural scrubland otherwise tourists won't be bothered coming to visit.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 209
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 15:13:58 pm
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Barry Briggs

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal with changes (please outline the changes in the next question)

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I support the long term development of the proposed site as a sporting precinct. But, NOT as currently proposed, tying the facilities to a private develop for up to 150 years. The site should be developed overtime, funded and controlled by the local Council, with state and federal government grants. The project should commence with 2 courts and a cafe (leased to a private tenant), with Government grants supporting a gradual expansion of the facility to 6 or more stadium courts and other sporting infrastructure. I would be happy to discuss this matter in person.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 210
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 15:15:10 pm
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Robert Mill

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** not answered

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 211
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 15:20:53 pm
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Chris Tridgell

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** not answered

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

No! Not under any circumstances. - Classification of "community land" MUST remain as it is a unique space, unspoiled and of immense worth to the community. - Cost if unacceptable: Council is already in debt, rates are already too high, residents are under increasing financial pressure - Lack of transparency and honestly in the "consultation" process and ongoing costs. - Other priorities of greater importance e.g. local infrastructure and services, addressing council overspending, rectifying Ocean St upgrade failure, delivering original request from Gt S Basketball Assoc for 4 courts more centrally on the south coast i.e. Alexandina. - build budget surplus in the event of Alexandrina pulling support from Aquatic centre - homeless - low-cost rentals to ease housing crisis.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 212
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 15:27:09 pm
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Peter Wass

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** not answered

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** not answered

Q6. **What best describes you:** not answered

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Our community land should not be revoked. I am not against sporting facilities far from it, in fact the more the better. With construction subsides for a private company on land no longer owned by the community, the rate payers will once again be hit in hip pocket. I have only been living in Victor Harbor Council area for 8 years and have observed a lot of wastage of ratepayers money. e.g. the sculptures on Grante Island that have been removed. The seal carved on Granite is the exception. Also the southern end of Ocean Street was dug up and paved only to be dug up again a couple of years or so later. This shows an absolute lack of foresight. The ratepayers of Victor Harbor are already paying as much as some of the elite suburbs of Adelaide and we don't want to surpass them. In summary keep the land in community hand and build the precinct in small stages over several years. Rent will be gained by hiring the facilities to sporting clubs with the income staying in the community.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 213
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 15:33:52 pm
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** June Wass

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** not answered

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** not answered

Q6. **What best describes you:** not answered

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Considering the Council upfront cost and Council ongoing subsidy totalling $11.7 million (which is around 1/4 of the estimated cost of stage 1 of the development) Council would be better off organising the building of the precinct itself. They would then be eligible for Government sports grants, recieve income from users of courts and facilities and allow clubs to run the food and beverage section so they can make a little money too. It is not necessary to have a $40 million sports precinct - the Adelaide crows and port power already have their sport stadiums worked out! I am sure that olympic industries would be happy to erect a very suitable sports stadium. Check out the Morphett vale sports centre at Wilfred Taylor Reserve - they have plenty of courts with a canteen/cafe and change rooms/ toilets inside the complex. The proposed building by the private consortium is unlikely to make any profit judging by a previous sports centre - the Stirling squash centre, built in the 1970s with courts, childcare, admin and I think a gym! I say NO to revoke community land, and NO to a private consortium building the precinct.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 214
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 15:36:16 pm
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Marjorie Riley

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** not answered

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** n/a

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

- cost is prohibitive - not value for money - proposed terms and conditions not beneficial to the community - cost benefit unlikely to be realised as beneficial to community - money could be used to improve roads, accommodation for disadvantaged/homeless.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No:	215	**Responded At:**	Jun 11, 2024 15:37:38 pm
Login:	[redacted]	**Last Seen:**	Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
Email:	[redacted]	**IP Address:**	103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Keith Climpson

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** not answered

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

Absolute lack of support.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 216
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 15:45:04 pm
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Anne Quirk

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I feel very sad at the moment. Many people on the current council I voted for. I believed and trusted you. However, not it appears to be a big mistake. My husband and I are in our early 70's. We have both worked very hard to pay for what we have. However, if this current proposal is allowed to go through we cannot afford to live here for much longer. I speak to many others who feel the same. I can see that many sporting groups and businesses nearby will have to close. I can see that it will be many years before we see any money can be made out of this place. It could end up as a 'white elephant'!!. At least it should be al local jobs before and after it is built. I feel what we pay in council rates, we are getting very few services. The library in my opinion is about the only place that is good value. I feel totally let down and deceived by this. I would like to know how all those extra vehicles and people are going to get here with the state of the Victor Harbor road from Mt Jaggard to Victor. There is no lighting and never a speed camera. No body seems to care!! One last thing: the consultants/designers of this project do they at least live in South Australia? At least the Victor Harbor Amateur basketball association are local.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No:	217	**Responded At:**	Jun 11, 2024 15:53:11 pm
Login:	[redacted]	**Last Seen:**	Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
Email:	[redacted]	**IP Address:**	103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Sheila Klinger

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I have a huge issue with your proposal to change the community land classification. I have a huge issue with my elected councilors reneging on their responsibility for the development and for having no control over its outcome. You appear to have hand balled everything into the hands of an unknown developer - giving up control for the next 148 years or so. It is quite extraordinary. In the short term this may appear to keep rate costs down but if the resultant precinct does not end up meeting the needs of your community I foresee the council of the future being forced to pay out a lot of money to ensure that local children and adults can access what the locals needs, and, can afford. You say that as a council you pride yourselves on transparency. Yet you have not put those actions into practice. There have been private meetings with individual sporting organisations. Those clubs have then had a confidentiality remit put on them. Some facilities have already been dropped by the developer e.g. a shed for the Victor Dragons. How many more community facilities will be axed during the planning stage as the private developer focuses on profit to the detriment of local residents' requirements.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 218
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 16:01:46 pm
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Ian Milnes

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** not answered

Q6. **What best describes you:** not answered

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I take this opportunity to lodge my objection against the City of Victor Harbor's proposed land Revocation and the allocation of taxpayer funds towards the construction and development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct. Our annual Council rates are to increase again, this year by 6.0% and at a compound rate, and future rate increases will be used to fund the projected $2 million annual operation costs. Council is to make an upfront contribution towards development of an asset of $10 million, and asset it will never own during our lifetime, or those of our present and future descendants; Council proposes to contribute a further $11.7 million during FY2025 and FY 2026; I believe your council has not presented a solid case to justify its participation in the Project for the benefit of the local community; I believe our community has adequate sporting facilities at present with the recently renewed Encounter Bay Football Club and the Victor Harbor Roos Club facilities which invested $1.05 million and $2.0 million respectively, in upgrades that now provide outstanding facilities for the community; I support the view put forward by Mr. Jeffrey Lucy AM as published in the Fleurieu Sun's edition of Thursday, 6 June 2024, that Council needs to consider alternatives and notes the lack of dialogue between itself and organisations such as the Great Southern Amateur Basketball Association and the Victor Harbor Gymnastics Club.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 219
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 16:03:54 pm
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Don Driver

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** not answered

Q6. **What best describes you:** not answered

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

We are long term local residences and respectively ask you to oppose the proposal to reclassify any of lot 202 Armstrong Road or any other COMMUNITY LANDS AND MAKE IT AVAILABLE TO ANY PRIVATE OWNER OR DEVELOPER and Seriously consider the GREAT SOUTHERN AMATEUR BASKETBALL ASSOCIATION'S suggestion instead

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 220
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 16:11:39 pm
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Ray Watson

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** Yes please!

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** not answered

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

P2 Par 2 -"need to.....demand for ..... Why does it need to be played indoors ? P3-"Affordability, "explore potential partnership "ensure the delivery of a facility ..... P4 - "expression of interest process, a potential..... P5-"Land status ..... longer term tease .... **** This seems to be written as if is a foregone conclusion to proceed. P5 P1- Our Council paid HOW MUCH for the land ? This is NOT stated. P2 - Why can't it be done by Council ? P5-The 30+% who are less well off financially will also be paying for this, when as the media state only too often, are struggling to afford RENT or/and bank repayments. P7 - As stated, you start with a COST of $10,000,000 which grows to between $25,000,000 and $45,000,000. If you were in MY OFFICE you would need a much more ACCURACY. As has been stated many times over the Covid period and beyond period, building cost are increasing rapidly, because of (1) Availability of materials, (2) Wage increases, (3) Manpower, and I am sure there are others as well. P6 P1 -"undertake to explore .... indicates EXPLORE, not a foregone decision. P 2-"strong interest from ..... They are NOT the folk who decide, they are the ones who want the business. "Council has entered into negotiations..... It READS as if Council has decided to spend money they do NOT have. Table 1 As stated earlier $10,000,000 just increased with GST. With an initial lease of 99 years, + 25 years + 25 years, it is certainly NOT even possible to estimate what inflation does to these numbers. I know how much interest was paid to Council over just 10 years by the Victor Harbor Bowling Club for the Greens that were built in 2010. P7 With a sporting/activity build -"What does F & B mean? What part does Childcare play in an activity building other than chitdcare WHILE parents are keeping active? Who pays for Car Parking and Roads? P9 P 2 -It appears that NO rent is paid in the 1st 10 years, and then 2% which means what? P 3 - "...further explored and cost escalated, P 4 - Why doesn't Council look at what it CAN afford because a debt applies to everyone in our community. P 9 - "will consider what the community has to say about the proposal BEFORE they make their decision". To me, this reads as if has been decided to proceed, in purchase of the site before it has been decided what to build, and certainly at a far greater cost than expected in 2021.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No:	221	**Responded At:**	Jun 11, 2024 16:13:58 pm
Login:	[redacted]	**Last Seen:**	Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
Email:	[redacted]	**IP Address:**	103.68.105.12

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Robert and Bronwyn Bowen
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	Yes please!
Q5.	**What is your age:**	65-74
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

We do not support the revocation of Lot 202's current zoning as community land. We say no because the developer's plan doesn't deliver what we were led to expect and hoped for. We believe the local community, not-for-profit associations and clubs can deliver what was originally planned for the site and more efficiently than the private consortium. Being local residents, we are well aware of the current needs of local community and sports groups and concerned that there seems so little pro-active investment in planning for those who will be moving here to fill the many residences under construction. As active Victor Dragons, we met various community groups while attending Council's consultations re the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct. A number of groups, including the Dragons, made it clear that storage was among the development's priorities, and the Dragons felt assured that they were still potential stakeholders when Hudson Howells were engaged as project consultants in 2022. What happened after that can be described as poor communication at best.. The plans for Lot 202 were not accessible to the public until the call for tenders was made public in Oct 2023 showing that the Victor Dragons had been struck off. Though the Club made yet another deputation at the Feb 2024 Council meeting asking to be re-instated on Lot 202, it came to nought. Having joined the Dragons when the Gillespie Land consultations amounted to nothing, and then Bron being Club Secretary Oct 2019- Aug 2023, it concerns us that the Lot 202 plans have morphed from a community based project to a commercial enterprise. Surely as the purchase of the land was enabled with an Open Space grant, the current zoning is preferable. The confirmation that Lot 202 plans were not negotiable was evident in April when Councillors voted not to even consider the documentation with estimated costings for the sports precinct building proffered by Great Southern Basketball League. The Dragons have been hamstrung by their situation- running the Club on goodwill, volunteers, maintaining assets stored at the mercy of the elements, deferring the purchase of new equipment and forever fundraising to keep abreast of the rising costs of building their facility We feel the resourcefulness and determination of local associations and clubs has been under-estimated. Surely not-for-profit clubs and groups entitled to apply for state grants, federal grants and sponsorships could deliver more bang for each buck that the Council has put aside for this development. As local residents our vision for the precinct remains akin to the original 2022 concept plan but with the interface at Ewen Tce earmarked for storage facilities built by the relevant groups to a standard set by the Council or a management body answerable to the council, the sports building as specified in the Hudson Howells document, carparking and grounds that welcome families – walks, playground, picnic tables etc.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No:	222	**Responded At:**	Jun 11, 2024 16:25:40 pm
Login:	[redacted]	**Last Seen:**	Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
Email:	[redacted]	**IP Address:**	103.68.105.12

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Barry Jagger
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	not answered
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	not answered
Q5.	**What is your age:**	75+
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	not answered

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

This feedback is prompted by the meeting on Thursday 6 June in the Civic Centre re the 2024/25 budget and the Sport Complex and is directed at the Sport Complex proposal. This letter is mostly statements that point out the obvious but Council needs ticks in boxes so I will persist. Borrowing Money. • It is sensible proposition for a Council to borrow money to build infra-structure. • As ordinary citizens we have made the decision that a debt to buy a house to raise our children is better that living in a tent whilst we save the money for purchase. • Our City is in dire need for extra facilities for many sports. We need new facilities! • We need to attract a young population of residents to service the growing tide of old people. We need child-care, sporting and cultural centres so that I will have someone to wheel my chair and spoon-feed me. A reflection on the audience on Thursday • I am 79. I suspect that I was among the younger people in the audience. Most of the audience spoke with fear of borrowing without considering that we will be dead before it is due. (And with little logical argument) • Most have had loans but cannot make the connection that debt allows us to get things for the present. Borrowing money in Victor Harbor • I do not know the percent of unoccupied houses in the City. However, I do relish the prospect of the non-residents paying for facilities that only residents will use. i.e. They pay, I use. How good is that! o We will get a large percentage of any facility at no cost to local users. Childcare in the proposed Centre. • The current public Childcare Centre that is near to the SC District Hospital has to close. The land will be acquired for the hospital expansion. • It is Council duty to find land replace that. The proposed childcare facility proposed will NOT abrogate Councils duty to find a new home. • We need more childcare not just a replacement. Already we turn potential residents away because there is no daycare available. • The childcare MUST be affordable and public. Things you do not want to hear. • 'Commercial in confidence' is a 'cop out'. Tell the other party in this bargain that residents need details or else we will find another way to get those facilities. • Ninety-nine years with a fifty-year extension is too long. Why does council only offer twenty-year leases to the sporting clubs in the town? Answer. Because of changing circumstances! • The developer will expect a return on capital. Negotiate to make it a reasonable return paid over the ninety-nine years if it is to be the ninety-nine. • The facility must be affordable to residents. • If this concept is a resurrenction of the Alan Manning scheme of 5 years ago I will oppose it. o Shared facilities only work if the sharers have their own space and fundraising capacity. o For examples of failed shared facilities look at the VH Football Club, RSL or the Port Elliot CFS and SES. Neither project have fostered community spirit but instead have created divisions in the community. Questions to ponder for a long term successful public private partnership (A PPP or a triple P) • What happens if/when global warming causes a rising sea level. Who covers the cost of the levee? o There is a weir on the River Inman not far from the High School, & SAPOL station that is supposed to be the high-water mark for a super tide, and is designed to prevent salt water getting upstream. • What will happen when technology changes our sporting needs. o When we play 'virtual' tennis/basketball etc. against teams in another town. Who will bear the cost of the technology? • Do we have a guarantee that the owners will cater for changing sports, and will adapt, or will we have an empty facility in 30 years. • What happens when the GSABA finds money to build its own facility in, say, Hindmarsh Valley and leaves 3 empty courts? Who will provide funds to adapt the facility to the needs of the community. o Can we guarantee that the new owners will not use unfair tactics to prevent the GSABA from building its own facility. o Answer: Not unless Council specifies that the governance of local sporting bodies will remain independent of the complex. • Who will provide the road ways and entrance and parking. • Will the facility be available as a refuge in the event of a natural disaster. • Will the 'No gambling' proviso hold in a court of law in 10 years' time. • Will facility only cater for able-bodied sport? What about sport for those with a physical (or other) disability? • Will the facility change rooms cater for the growing LGBQ etc., population and provide suitable facilities. • Sport for the aged? Indoor bowls? Walking frame racing? • EV charging stations? • Will Council have the first right to purchase when sold? • Can it be sold to overseas investment funds? Thank you for the opportunity Barry Jagger.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 223
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 16:31:08 pm
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Elizabeth Yeatman

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** not answered

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal with changes (please outline the changes in the next question)

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

The map of the planned area for revocation Figure 1 shows the proposed area (red outline) intruding into the floodplain of the Inman River in a triangular portion on the east side of the proposal. All land on the floodplain and all associated trees on the bank should be retained on community land, together with a buffer zone between it and the development. The natural environment of the floodplain and bank should be protected with steps taken to enhance it for future natural values .e.g week removal, restoration of flooding regimes, boardwalks, bird hides. If the development cannot fit within the current mowed grassland then it should not proceed and another site should be selected.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 224
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 16:34:27 pm
Last Seen: Jun 11, 2024 16:34:27 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1. **Full Name:** SUE THIELE

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** 65-74

Q6. **What best describes you:** not answered

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I support the proposal with changes (please outline the changes in the next question)

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I SUPPORT THE CURRENT PROPOSAL APART FROM THE CHILDCARE PRECINCT. AS THE VICTOR HARBOR CHILDCARE CENTRE NEEDS TO FIND A NEW HOME i WOULD HOPE COUNCIL WOULD BE WORKING WITH THEM REGARDING A NEW COMMUNITY BASED CHILDCARE CENTRE FOR THEM AT THE PRECINCT . THE SIZE ALLOCATED ON THE PLAN WHEN COMPARED TO SIZE ALLOCATED FOR COURTS APPEARS TO BE WOEFULLY INADEQUATE. ANY NEW CHILDCARE CENTRE WILL NEED TO CATER FOR POSSIBLY 100 CHILDREN, PLUS INCLUDE A LARGE OUTDOOR PLAY AREA. AN ADEQUATE CHILDCARE CENTRE MUST BE INCLUDED IN THE ORIGINAL BUILD AND SHOULD BE A COMMUNITY BASED CENTRE NOT PRIVATELY OWNED AND RUN FOR PROFIT.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 225
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 16:44:35 pm
Last Seen: Jun 11, 2024 16:44:35 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Robyn Baseley
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	not answered
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	not answered
Q5.	**What is your age:**	55-64
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor resident
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

This complex to make it work for future years needs to have accessibility to all main roads that won't obstruct and confine the surrounding area for all traffic and therefore should be located in a area such as Adelaide road and Welch road. That land would've been an ideal spot for all traffic access and the ability for more sporting clubs and infrastructure to be included as our young population grows. The current proposal is so close within the town area that traffic will become more constricted along with the present sporting venues as it is. I do agree we need a good sporting venue but one that can be purpose built, designed with future inclusions in an easy accessible location.

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No: 226
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 16:47:39 pm
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Robert Jeffrey

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** not answered

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** 75+

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I strongly object to the council proposition to revoke community land and I do so for the following reasons: 1. the Council exploration of their proposal is nowhere near comprehensive enough to understand the full ramifications of such an action - there are lots of things just skimmed over. 2. there must be good reasons why leases over community land are capped at 42 years and I would appreciate them having made available. 3. If a business can't make financial case over a 42 year period I don't think they have credibility 4. while council is adamant that it is not directly subsidising businesses in competition with local residents, then bottom line is just that 5. in all the information distributed by the council there is no mention of a childcare centre except on the site plan (Fig) where it appears on a proposed building. There is already a childcare centre being constructed at the health care site.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 227
Login: [redacted]
Email: [redacted]

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 16:50:13 pm
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Jeffrey Lucy

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** not answered

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** not answered

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor resident

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I have read carefully the articles in todays Fleurieu Sun, together with the Council Watch commentary. I have previously held a position on the City of VH audit committee, as well as chairing the similar committee for the Yankalilla Council. Based on the information provided in these articles, I regret that do not support the project as it is described.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 228
Login: Anonymous
Email: n/a

Responded At: Jun 11, 2024 17:23:37 pm
Last Seen: Jun 11, 2024 17:23:37 pm
IP Address: n/a

Q1.	**Full Name:**	Michael Lindsay
Q2.	**Address:**	[redacted]
Q3.	**Email:**	[redacted]
Q4.	**Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?**	Yes please!
Q5.	**What is your age:**	55-64
Q6.	**What best describes you:**	City of Victor Harbor ratepayer
Q7.	**If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:**	not answered
Q8.	**Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?**	I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

not answered

Q10.	**Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.**	not answered

Respondent No:	229	**Responded At:**	Jun 11, 2024 17:24:05 pm
Login:	[redacted]	**Last Seen:**	Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
Email:	[redacted]	**IP Address:**	103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Sally Freeman

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** not answered

Q5. **What is your age:** not answered

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

No way can you come up with this plan without the name of the developer being disclosed. Your reluctance smacks of conflict of interest. You should all remember that you are only on this council through the ratepayers votes and I am sure that before the next election all ratepayers will be taking note of your names!!

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered

Respondent No: 230
Login: LouiseHampel
Email: lhampel@victor.sa.gov.au

Responded At: Jun 12, 2024 09:56:30 am
Last Seen: Jun 13, 2024 01:37:07 am
IP Address: 103.68.105.12

Q1. **Full Name:** Sheryn Schultze

Q2. **Address:** [redacted]

Q3. **Email:** [redacted]

Q4. **Do you want to subscribe to Victor Viewpoint, Council's monthly e-newsletter to receive updates on the latest news, initiatives and projects?** No thanks

Q5. **What is your age:** n/a

Q6. **What best describes you:** City of Victor Harbor ratepayer

Q7. **If you are making this submission on behalf of an organisation or group, please provide the name:** not answered

Q8. **Do you support the proposal of revoke the community land classification for a portion of land at Lot 202 Armstrong Road, Victor Harbor to facilitate the development of the Regional Community, Sport and Recreation Precinct?** I don't support the proposal

Q9. **Explain your support, or otherwise, for the community land revocation proposal:**

I do not support the proposal with the revocation of the said land stated for the purpose of a new sports building due to the fact that there are other areas previously proposed for similar buildings with much lower costs to the community. Of course sport is a huge need in our society but it this precarious climate of uncertainty and rising costs for the middle to low income earners, many are not going to afford this. Take for example the new Mt Barker Sports Building and oval. The Mt Barker football club have had to resort to returning to their former oval as they cannot afford the costs associated with using the new facility. The private developer that is earmarked to take on this project is for some reason hidden from public knowledge until after the deal is sealed! Ratepayers keep you the council employed. We have a right to be privy in the knowledge for your plans for us! We are all adults in this matter and with your expertise in applying this proposal you need to have confidence in the fact that our views are worthwhile looking at as we are looking from the outside in and can see the bigger picture and many of us are also feeling the pinch already in these economically hard times. Our money is better spent fixing the problems we already have and not putting us further into debt. I look forward to a prompt reply.

Q10. **Use this feature to upload your written submission for Council's consideration.** not answered